arXiv:math/0703062v2 [math.FA] 4 Feb 2009

Operator theory on noncommutative domains

Gelu Popescu

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: `gelu.popescu@utsa.edu`

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A05; 47A56; 47A20; 46E40;
Secondary: 46L52; 46L07; 47A67; 47A63; 47A57; 47A60

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory, Free holomorphic function, Noncommutative domain, Noncommutative variety, Fock space, Weighted shifts, Invariant subspace, Hardy algebra, Cauchy transform, Poisson transform, von Neumann inequality, Bohr inequality, Functional calculus, Wold decomposition, Dilation, Characteristic function, Model theory, Curvature, Commutant lifting, Interpolation

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

Abstract. In this volume we study noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_f \subset B(\mathcal{H})^n$ generated by positive regular free holomorphic functions f on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Each such a domain has a universal model $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ of weighted shifts acting on the full Fock space with n generators. The study of $\mathcal{D}_f$ is close related to the study of the weighted shifts $W_1, \ldots, W_n$, their joint invariant subspaces, and the representations of the algebras they generate: the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$, the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, and the C^*-algebra $C^*(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$. A good part of this paper deals with these issues. We also introduce the symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and show that it can be identified with a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. The algebra of all its "analytic" multipliers will play an important role in the commutative case.

Free holomorphic functions, Cauchy transforms, and Poisson transforms on noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_f$ are introduced and used to provide an $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus for completely non-coisometric elements of $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, and a free analytic functional calculus for n-tuples of operators $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ with the joint spectral radius $r_p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$. Several classical results from complex analysis have analogues in our noncommutative setting of free holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}_f$.

We associate with each w^*-closed two-sided ideal J of the algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ a noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$. We develop a dilation theory and model theory for n-tuples of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ (resp. noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$). We associate with each such an n-tuple of operators a characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T}$ (resp. $\Theta_{f,T,J}$), use it to provide a functional model, and prove that it is a complete unitary invariant for completely non-coisometric elements of $\mathcal{D}_f$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$). In particular, we discuss the commutative case when $T_iT_j = T_jT_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

We introduce two numerical invariants, the curvature and $*$-curvature, defined on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p$, where p is positive regular noncommutative polynomial, and present some basic properties. We show that both curvatures can be express in terms of the characteristic function $\Theta_{p,T}$.

We present a commutant lifting theorem for pure n-tuples of operators in noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_f$ (resp. varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$) and obtain Nevanlinna-Pick and Schur-Carathéodory type interpolation results. We also obtain a corona theorem for Hardy algebras associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$).

In the particular case when $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, we recover several results concerning the multivariable noncommutative (resp. commutative) operator theory on the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Contents

Introduction 1

Chapter 1. Operator algebras associated with noncommutative domains 7
- 1.1. The noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and a universal model 7
- 1.2. The domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and its representations 15
- 1.3. The Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ 20
- 1.4. Functional calculus for n-tuples of operators in $\mathcal{D}_f$ 24
- 1.5. The noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ and a functional calculus 30
- 1.6. Weighted shifts, symmetric weighted Fock spaces, and multipliers 33

Chapter 2. Free holomorphic functions on noncommutative domains 43
- 2.1. Free holomorphic functions and Poisson transforms 43
- 2.2. Schwarz lemma and Bohr's inequality for $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ 49
- 2.3. Weierstrass and Montel theorems for the algebra $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ 54
- 2.4. Cauchy transforms and analytic functional calculus for n-tuples of operators 57

Chapter 3. Model theory and unitary invariants on noncommutative domains 69
- 3.1. Weighted shifts and invariant subspaces 69
- 3.2. C^*-algebras associated with noncommutative varieties and Wold decompositions 74
- 3.3. Dilations on noncommutative domains and varieties 80
- 3.4. Characteristic functions and model theory 91
- 3.5. Curvature invariant for n-tuples of operators in $\mathcal{D}_p$ 102

Chapter 4. Commutant lifting and applications 111
- 4.1. Interpolation on noncommutative domains 111
- 4.2. Corona theorem for a class of Hardy algebras 117

Bibliography 121

Introduction

The study of the operator unit ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})]_1 := \{T \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ \|TT^*\| \leq 1\}$$

has generated, in the last fifty years, the celebrated Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş theory of contractions on Hilbert spaces [**96**]. A key role in this theory is played by the model operator associated with $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$, i.e., the unilateral shift S acting on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, where $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \ |z| < 1\}$. The study of the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$ is very close related to the study of the unilateral shift, its invariant subspaces, and the representations of the algebras it generates: the disc algebra $A(\mathbb{D})$, the analytic Toeplitz algebra $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, and the Toeplitz C^*-algebra $C^*(S)$. This interplay between operator theory and harmonic analysis is illustrated in the dilation and model theory of contractions, and the profound implications in function theory, interpolation, prediction theory, scattering theory, and linear system theory (see [**96**], [**43**], [**44**], [**13**]).

In the noncommutative multivariable setting, the study of the operator unit n-ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 := \{(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ \|T_1T_1^* + \cdots + T_nT_n^*\| \leq 1\}$$

has generated a *free analogue* of Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş theory (see [**45**], [**29**], [**66**], [**67**], [**68**], [**69**], [**70**], [**71**], [**72**], [**73**], [**74**] and more recently [**76**], [**38**], [**17**], [**18**], [**23**], [**77**], [**78**], [**80**], [**82**], [**86**], [**83**], [**84**], [**85**], [**21**]). In this case, the model associated with $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is the n-tuple $(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ of the left creation operators acting on the full Fock space with n generators $F^2(H_n)$. The corresponding algebras are the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$, the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞, and the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$. Introduced in [**70**] in connection with a multivariable noncommutative von Neumann inequality, the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ has been studied in several papers [**68**], [**69**], [**72**], [**73**], [**74**], [**76**], [**6**], and recently in [**35**], [**36**], [**37**], [**8**], [**78**], [**39**], [**64**], [**81**], and [**87**].

Interpolation problems for the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ were first considered in [**68**], where we obtained a Sarason [**91**] type interpolation result, and in [**73**], where we obtained a Schur-Carathéodory ([**93**], [**30**]) type interpolation theorem. In 1997, Arias and the author [**8**] (see also [**75**]) obtained a distance formula to an arbitrary WOT-closed ideal in F_n^∞ as well as a Nevanlinna-Pick (see [**60**]) type interpolation theorem for the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞. Using different methods, Davidson and Pitts proved these results independently in [**35**]. Let us mention that, recently, interpolation problems for F_n^∞ and related interpolation problems on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ were also considered in [**3**], [**14**], [**15**], [**16**], [**79**], [**80**], [**42**], and [**82**].

Applications of the noncommutative dilation and model theory to prediction theory and entropy optimization were considered in [**77**] and [**82**]. It is well known that, in the classical case, there is essentially a one-to-one correspondence between any two of the following: operator model theory, scattering theory, and unitary system theory. The same is true in the noncommutative multivariable case. The model theory for row contractions (elements of $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$) (see [**66**], [**67**], and [**68**]) lead Ball and Vinikov [**17**] to their multivariable setting for Lax-Phillips scattering and conservative linear systems. Along this line, we also mention the paper [**19**] of Ball, Groenewald, and Malakorn.

In [**87**], we developed a theory of holomorphic functions in several noncommuting (free) variables and provide a framework for the study of arbitrary n-tuples of operators. This theory enhances our program to develop a *free* analogue of Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş theory [**96**], for row contractions. A free analytic functional calculus was introdued in [**87**] and studied in connection with Hausdorff derivations, noncommutative Cauchy and Poisson transforms, and von Neumann inequalities. In a related area of research, we remark the work of Helton, McCullough, Putinar, and Vinnikov, on symmetric noncommutative polynomials ([**48**], [**49**], [**51**], [**50**], [**52**]), which contains a new type of engineering application.

We should also remark that, in recent years, many results concerning the theory of row contractions were extended by Muhly and Solel ([**54**], [**55**], [**56**], [**57**]) to representations of tensor algebras over C^*-correspondences and Hardy algebras.

Motivated by the profound impact that the operator theory of the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, $n \geq 1$, has had in several areas of research, we study, in this paper, more general noncommutative domains of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \right\| \leq 1 \right\},$$

where $f := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$, $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, is any *positive regular free holomorphic function* on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. Such an f has positive coefficients with $a_{g_i} \neq 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\limsup_{k \to \infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| = k} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2k} < \infty$. Here, $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is the free semigroup with n generators $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ and $|\alpha|$ stands for the length of the word $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. For each $\alpha := g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, X_α denotes the product $X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$.

In Chapter 1, we associate with each noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ an essentially unique n-tuple $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ of weighted shifts acting on the full Fock space with n generators $F^2(H_n)$. This will play the role of *universal model* for the elements of $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. Several of its properties will be presented throughout the paper.

We introduce, in Section 1.1, the *domain algebra* $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$, as the norm closure of all polynomials in $W_1, \ldots, W_n$, and the identity, and characterize its completely contractive (resp. completely bounded) representations. In particular, we obtain a von Neumann [**98**] type inequality, i.e.,

$$\|q(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\| \leq \|q(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\|$$

for any $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ and any polynomial q in n noncommutative indeterminates. We also identify, in this section, the set of all characters of $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with the set

$$\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In Section 1.2, we introduce the Hardy type algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ (resp. $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$) and provide some basic properties including the fact that it is semisimple and coincides with its double commutant. This algebra is the analogue of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ (see [**46**] and [**47**]), for the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, and it will play an important role in our investigation.

In the next section, we show that the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is the w^*-(resp. WOT-, SOT-) closure of all polynomials in $W_1, \dots W_n$, and the identity. Based on noncommutative Poisson transforms associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$, we obtain an $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus for *completely non-coisometric* (c.n.c.) n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. More precisely, we prove that, for each c.n.c. n-tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a unital completely contractive homomorphism

$$\Phi_{f,T} : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

which is WOT-continuous and $\Phi_{f,T}(W_\beta) = T_\beta$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

An important extension of this result to noncommutative varieties of $\mathcal{D}_f$ is obtained in the next section. Let us explain a little bit more. Each w^*-closed two-sided ideal J of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generates a noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$ which, represented on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ \varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in J\},$$

where $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is defined by an appropriate functional calculus. The *universal model* associated with $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ is the n-tuple $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ of *constrained weighted shifts* defined by $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_i|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is the universal model associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$ and $\mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \overline{JF^2(H_n)}$. The Hardy algebra associated with $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ is the compression of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$, and it is denoted by $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$. It turns out that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$ is the w^*-closure of all polynomials in $B_1, \dots, B_n$ and the identity.

In Section 1.5, we show that, for each c.n.c. n-tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a unital completely contractive homomorphism

$$\Psi_{f,T,J} : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

which is WOT-continuous and $\Psi_{f,T,J}(B_\beta) = T_\beta$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

Section 1.6 is devoted to the weighted shifts $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. We determine all the eigenvectors of $W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*$, and prove that the right joint spectrum $\sigma_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ coincides with $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$. This will enable us to identify the w^*-continuous multiplicative functionals of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

We introduce the symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and prove that it can be identified with $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$, a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions defined on

$$\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

Moreover, we show that $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_f : \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$K_f(\mu, \lambda) := \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha \mu_\alpha \overline{\lambda}_\alpha}, \qquad \mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}).$$

In the end of this section, we identify the algebra of all multipliers of the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ with $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$, the w^*-closed algebra generated by the operators $L_i := P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} W_i|_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the identity, and prove that it is reflexive. This algebra will play an important role in the commutative case.

In Chapter 2, we introduce the algebra $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ of all free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and prove a version of the maximum principle [**31**]. We identify the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with subalgebras of free holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}_f$.

When p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial, we point out two Banach algebras of free holomorphic functions, $H^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$ and $A(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$, which can be identified with the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_p)$, respectively, and show that the elements of these algebras can be seen as boundary functions for noncommutative Poisson transforms on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ$. For example, we show that if u is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ$, then there exists $f \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ with

$$u = P[f] \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| < \infty.$$

Moreover, in this case, $u(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) \to f$, as $r \to 1$, in the w^*-topology (or strong operator topology).

In Section 2.2, we obtain versions of Schwarz lemma [**31**] and Bohr's inequality [**28**] for the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Our version of Bohr's inequality states, in particular, that if $\varphi = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ is in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, then

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta||\lambda_\beta| \leq \|\varphi\| \quad \text{for all} \quad (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,1/3}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{f,1/3}(\mathbb{C}) := \{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ (3\lambda_1, \ldots, 3\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})\}.$$

Notice that if $n = 1$ and $f = X$ we obtain the classical Bohr's inequality [**28**].

In Section 2.3, we obtain Weierstrass and Montel type theorems [**31**] for the algebra of free holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}_f$. This enables us to introduce a metric on $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with respect to which it becomes a complete metric space.

A noncommutative Cauchy transform is introduced, in Section 2.4, and used to provide a free analytic functional calculus $\Phi_{p,T} : Hol(\mathcal{D}_p) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ for n-tuples of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ with joint spectral radius $r_p(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$. We show that the free analytic functional calculus is continuous and unique. In the last part of this section, we present multivariable commutative versions of the free analytic functional calculus and Bohr's inequality.

Chapter 3 is devoted to dilation theory, model theory, and unitary invariants on noncommutative domains. In Section 3.1, we obtain a Beurling [**22**] type characterization of the invariant subspaces under the weighted shifts $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. Similar results are deduced for the model shifts $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$.

In Section 3.2, we develop a dilation theory for n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, or in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0, \text{ for all } q \in \mathcal{P}_J\},$$

where J is a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by a set $\mathcal{P}_J$ of noncommutative polynomials.

The case when $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ and $T_iT_j = T_jT_i$, $i, j = 1, \ldots n$, is obtained when the ideal J is generated by the commutators $W_iW_j - W_jW_i$, $i, j = 1, \ldots n$. In this commutative case, and assuming that f is a positive regular polynomial, we find some of the results of S. Pott [**90**]. More particularly, if $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, we recover some of the results obtained by Drury [**41**], Arveson [**11**], and the author [**76**].

In Section 3.4, we associate with each n-tuple of $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ a characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T}$, which is a multi-analytic operator with respect to the universal model $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$, i.e.,

$$\Theta_{f,T} \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}, \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}),$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$ are some defect spaces associated with T. We prove that the characteristic function is a *complete unitary invariant* for completely noncoisometric elements of $\mathcal{D}_f$, and provide a model. Similar results are obtained for the *constrained characteristic function* associated with noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$.

In particular, we discuss the commutative case, when the n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is completely non-coisometric and $T_iT_j = T_jT_i$ for all $i, j = 1, \ldots n$. More particularly, if f is a positive regular polynomial and T is pure, we recover the results from [**26**].

In the last section of this chapter, we introduce the curvature and the $*$-curvature associated with n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p$, where p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial. We prove the existence of these numerical invariants and present some basic properties. We also show that both curvatures can be expressed only in terms of the characteristic function $\Theta_{p,T}$.

The particular case when $p_e := a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n$ is treated in greater details. In this case, the curvature invariant curv_{p_e} is defined on the noncommutative ellipsoid

$$\mathcal{D}_{p_e}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ a_1X_1X_1^* + \cdots + a_nX_nX_n^* \leq I\}.$$

We show that the range of the curvature curv_{p_e} coincides with $[0, \infty)$, and that curv_{p_e} can detect the *pure* n-tuples of operators $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p_e}$ which are unitarily equivalent with the model operator $(W_1 \otimes I_\mathcal{K}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is finite dimensional. We remark that in the particular case when $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 1$, we recover some of the results from [**78**], [**53**], [**81**], and [**84**].

Chapter 4 deals with commutant lifting theorems and applications. We provide in Section 4.1, a Sarason type [**91**] commutant lifting theorem for pure n-tuples of operators in noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_f$ or in noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. This theorem also extends the corresponding result obtained by Arias [**5**].

As consequences, we obtain Nevanlinna-Pick [**60**] and Schur-Carathéodory ([**30**], [**93**]) type interpolation results. In particular, we obtain the following Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation result. If $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$ are distinct points in $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_1, \ldots, A_k$

are in $B(\mathcal{K})$, then there exists $\psi \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\|\psi\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\lambda_j) = A_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, k,$$

if and only if the operator matrix

$$\left[(I_{\mathcal{K}} - A_i A_j^*) K_f(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)\right]_{k\times k}$$

is positive semidefinite.

In the last section of this paper we present, as an application of our commutant lifting theorem, a corona type result [**46**] for a class of Hardy algebras associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. In particular, we show that if $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, then there exist operators $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that

$$\varphi_1 g_1 + \cdots + \varphi_k g_k = I$$

if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_1\varphi_1^* + \cdots + \varphi_k\varphi_k^* \geq \delta I.$$

A commutative version of this result for the algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ is obtained as well.

Now, a few more remarks concerning the results of the present paper are necessary. First, we mention that many results of this paper remain true if the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is replaced by domains of type $\lambda I + \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, where $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\lambda I := (\lambda_1 I, \ldots, \lambda_n I)$. We remark that we can obtain, as particular cases, all the results obtained by Arias and the author in [**7**], where we considered interpolation problems for Hardy algebras associated with a certain class of weighted shifts on Fock spaces.

On the other hand, when $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, we recover several results concerning the multivariable noncommutative (resp. commutative) operator theory on the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. However, there are many results for $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ which remain open problems for our more general noncommutative domains generated by free holomorphic functions on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. For instance, it remains an open problem whether the model theory for c.n.c n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ can be extended to the class of c.n.u (completely nonunitary) n-tuples, as in the classical Sz.-Nagy-Foias theory or the work of Ball-Vinnikov in the particular case when $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$. A similar question concerns the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus. Other open problems will be mentioned throughout the paper.

It would be interesting to see if there are analogues of our results for noncommutative polydomains $\mathcal{D}_{f_1}(\mathcal{H}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}_{f_k}(\mathcal{H})$ and certain subvarieties determined by noncommutative polynomials, extending in this way previous results obtained (in the commutative case) by Curto and Vasilescu [**33**], [**34**].

Finally, we add that several results of this paper are extended, in a forthcoming paper [**89**], to noncommutative domains

$$\mathbf{D}_g^m(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \geq 0 \right\},$$

where $g = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha X_\alpha = (1-f)^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and f is any positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. In the commutative case, we recover several results obtained in [**1**], [**2**], [**58**], [**59**], [**97**], [**32**], and [**90**].

CHAPTER 1

Operator algebras associated with noncommutative domains

1.1. The noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and a universal model

In this section, we associate with each positive regular free holomorphic function f on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ a noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) \subset B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and an essentially unique n-tuple $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ of weighted shifts, which will play the role of the *universal model* for the elements of $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$.

Let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis e_1, $e_2, \dots, e_n$, where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n. Define the left creation operators $S_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n),\ i = 1, \dots, n$, by

$$S_i \varphi := e_i \otimes \varphi, \quad \varphi \in F^2(H_n),$$

and the right creation operators $R_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n),\ i = 1, \dots, n$, by $R_i \varphi := \varphi \otimes e_i,\ \varphi \in F^2(H_n)$.

The algebra F_n^∞ and its norm closed version, the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$, were introduced by the author [**70**] in connection with a multivariable noncommutative von Neumann inequality. F_n^∞ is the algebra of left multipliers of $F^2(H_n)$ and can be identified with the weakly closed (or w^*-closed) algebra generated by the left creation operators $S_1, \dots, S_n$ acting on $F^2(H_n)$, and the identity. The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the norm closed algebra generated by $S_1, \dots, S_n$, and the identity. For basic properties concerning the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ we refer to [**68**], [**69**], [**72**], [**73**], [**74**], [**76**], [**6**], [**37**], [**36**], [**35**], [**39**], and [**8**].

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$ and the identity g_0. The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g_0$ and $|\alpha| := k$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote $X_\alpha := X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0} := I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha X_\alpha,\ a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, be a free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ with radius of convergence strictly positive. As shown in [**87**], this condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| = k} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2k} < \infty. \tag{1.1}$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $a_\alpha \geq 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $a_{g_0} = 0$, and $a_{g_i} > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. A function f satisfying all these conditions on the coefficients is called a *positive regular free holomorphic function on* $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. Define the noncommutative domain

$$\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \right\| \leq I_\mathcal{H} \right\},$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. When the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is understood, we use the notation $\mathcal{D}_f$. Due to the Schwarz type lemma for free holomorphic functions on the open unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ (see [**87**]), there exists $r > 0$ such that $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ contains the operatorial n-ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r := \left\{ (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \|X_1 X_1^* + \cdots + X_n X_n^*\|^{1/2} < r \right\}$$

and $f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is a strict contraction in the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$, i.e., $\|f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < 1$. Therefore, the operator $I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is invertible with its inverse $(I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n))^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{A}_n \subset F_n^\infty$ and has the "Fourier representation" $g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha$ for some constants $b_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, and using the fact that $r^{|\alpha|} b_\alpha = P_\mathbb{C} S_\alpha^* g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)(1)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) &= I + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^2 + \cdots \\ &= I + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_k| \geq 1} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_k} r^{|\gamma_1| + \cdots + |\gamma_k|} S_{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k} \\ &= I + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha| = m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} \right) r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the uniqueness of the Fourier representation of the elements in F_n^∞, we have

$$b_{g_0} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} \quad \text{if } |\alpha| \geq 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Since

$$b_\alpha = a_{g_{i_1}} \cdots a_{g_{i_k}} + \textit{positive terms},$$

for any $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$ and $a_{g_{i_1}} > 0, \cdots, a_{g_{i_k}} > 0$, relation (1.2) implies $b_\alpha > 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Notice that any term of the sum

$$b_\alpha b_\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{k=1}^{|\beta|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k = \beta \\ |\sigma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\sigma_k| \geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1} \cdots a_{\gamma_j} a_{\sigma_1} \cdots a_{\sigma_k} \right)$$

is also a term of the sum

$$b_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{p=1}^{|\alpha| + |\beta|} \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_p = \alpha\beta \\ |\epsilon_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\epsilon_p| \geq 1}} a_{\epsilon_1} \cdots a_{\epsilon_p}.$$

Since $a_\alpha \geq 0$, $|\alpha| \geq 1$, we deduce that

$$b_\alpha b_\beta \leq b_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \text{for any } \alpha,\beta\in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{1.3}$$

On the other hand, since $(I-f(rS_1,\dots,rS_n))g(rS_1,\dots,rS_n)=I$, we deduce that

$$I+\sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{|\gamma|=m} r^{|\gamma|}\left(b_\gamma - \sum_{\substack{\beta\alpha=\gamma\\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\beta|\geq 1}} a_\beta b_\alpha\right) S_\gamma = I$$

and, therefore,

$$b_\gamma - \sum_{\beta\alpha=\gamma, |\beta|\geq 1} a_\beta b_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{if } |\gamma|\geq 1. \tag{1.4}$$

Similarly, since $g(rS_1,\dots,rS_n)(I-f(rS_1,\dots,rS_n))=I$, we deduce that

$$b_\gamma - \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma, |\beta|\geq 1} a_\beta b_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{if } |\gamma|\geq 1. \tag{1.5}$$

We define the diagonal operators $D_i: F^2(H_n)\to F^2(H_n)$, $i=1,\dots,n$, by setting

$$D_i e_\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{g_i\alpha}}} e_\alpha, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Due to relations (1.2) and (1.3), we have $b_{g_i\alpha}\geq b_{g_i}b_\alpha = a_{g_i}b_\alpha$ and, consequently,

$$\|D_i\| = \sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{g_i\alpha}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{g_i}}}, \quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Now we define the *weighted left creation operators* $W_i: F^2(H_n)\to F^2(H_n)$, $i=1,\dots,n$, associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ by setting $W_i = S_iD_i$, where $S_1,\dots,S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Therefore, we have

$$W_i e_\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}} e_{g_i\alpha}, \quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where the coefficients b_α, $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.2). Let's show that $(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* \leq I. \tag{1.6}$$

A simple calculation reveals that

$$W_\beta e_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta\gamma}}} e_{\beta\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha=\beta\gamma\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

for any $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Notice also that $\|W_\beta\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}$, $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Indeed, due to inequality (1.3), if $f=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha e_\alpha\in F^2(H_n)$, then

$$\|W_\beta f\| = \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\alpha|^2 \frac{b_\alpha}{b_{\beta\alpha}}\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}\|f\|.$$

Since $W_\beta 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}$, the assertion follows. Now, using relation (1.7), we deduce that

$$W_\beta W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{b_\gamma}{b_\alpha} e_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha = \beta\gamma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\left(I - \sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right) e_\alpha = C_{N,\alpha} e_\alpha$, where $C_{N,\alpha} = 1$ if $\alpha = g_0$, and

$$C_{N,\alpha} = 1 - \sum_{\beta\gamma=\alpha, 1\leq|\beta|\leq N} \frac{a_\beta b_\gamma}{b_\alpha} \qquad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Due to relation (1.4), if $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$, we have $C_{N,\alpha} = 0$. Using the same relation and the fact that

$$b_\alpha - \sum_{\beta\gamma=\alpha, 1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_\beta b_\gamma \leq b_\alpha, \quad |\alpha| \geq 1,$$

we deduce that $0 \leq C_{N,\alpha} \leq 1$, whenever $|\alpha| > N$. On the other hand, notice that if $1 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq |\alpha|$, then

$$b_\alpha - \sum_{\beta\gamma=\alpha, 1\leq|\beta|\leq N_2} a_\beta b_\gamma \leq b_\alpha - \sum_{\beta\gamma=\alpha, 1\leq|\beta|\leq N_1} a_\beta b_\gamma. \tag{1.8}$$

Consequently, $\left\{I - \sum_{1\leq|\beta|\leq N} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive diagonal operators which converges in the strong operator topology to $P_{\mathbb{C}}$, the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto $1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, we have

$$I - \sum_{|\beta|\geq 1} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* = P_{\mathbb{C}}. \tag{1.9}$$

This also shows that $(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$. Now, since

$$P_{\mathbb{C}} W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

we have $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta W_\beta P_{\mathbb{C}} W_\beta^* e_\alpha = e_\alpha$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta W_\beta \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^*\right) W_\beta^* = I, \tag{1.11}$$

where the convergence is in the strong operator topology.

We can also define the *weighted right creation operators* $\Lambda_i : F^2(H_n) \to F^2(H_n)$ by setting $\Lambda_i := R_i G_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ are the right creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ and each diagonal operator G_i is defined by

$$G_i e_\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{\alpha g_i}}} e_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where the coefficients b_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.2). In this case, we have

$$\Lambda_\beta e_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma\tilde{\beta}}}} e_{\gamma\tilde{\beta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma\tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, where $\tilde{\beta}$ denotes the reverse of $\beta = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, i.e., $\tilde{\beta} = g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_1}$. Using relations (1.2), (1.3), and (1.5), we deduce that

$$\left(I - \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq N} a_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta \Lambda_\beta^* \right) e_\alpha = C_{N,\alpha} e_\alpha,$$

where $C_{N,\alpha} = 1$ if $\alpha = g_0$, and

$$C_{N,\alpha} = 1 - \sum_{\gamma\tilde{\beta} = \alpha, 1 \leq |\tilde{\beta}| \leq N} \frac{a_{\tilde{\beta}} b_\gamma}{b_\alpha} \qquad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

As in the case of weighted left creation operators, one can show that

$$I - \sum_{|\beta| \geq 1} a_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta \Lambda_\beta^* = P_\mathbb{C} \tag{1.13}$$

and, since

$$P_\mathbb{C} \Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_\beta \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha^* \right) \Lambda_\beta^* = I,$$

where the convergence is in the strong operator topology.

Notice that if $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, then so is $\tilde{f}(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ denotes the reverse of α. We also denote by $(W_1^{(f)}, \ldots, W_n^{(f)})$ the weighted left creation operators $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$. The notation $(\Lambda_1^{(f)}, \ldots, \Lambda_n^{(f)})$ is now clear.

Proposition 1.1. *Let $(W_1^{(f)}, \ldots, W_n^{(f)})$ (resp. $(\Lambda_1^{(f)}, \ldots, \Lambda_n^{(f)})$) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $(W_1^{(f)}, \ldots, W_n^{(f)}) \in \mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$;
- (ii) $(\Lambda_1^{(f)}, \ldots, \Lambda_n^{(f)}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{f}}(F^2(H_n))$;
- (iii) $U^* \Lambda_i^{(f)} U = W_i^{(\tilde{f})}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, *where* $U \in B(F^2(H_n))$ *is the unitary operator defined by* $U e_\alpha := e_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

Proof. Items (i) and (ii) follow from relation (1.6) and (1.13), respectively. Using relation (1.7) when f is replaced by $\tilde{f}$, we obtain

$$W_i^{(\tilde{f})} e_\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma}}}}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde{\gamma} g_i}}} e_{g_i \gamma}.$$

On the other hand, using relation (1.12), we deduce that

$$U^*\Lambda_i^{(f)}Ue_\gamma = U^*\left(\frac{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\gamma}}}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\gamma g_i}}}e_{\tilde\gamma g_i}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\gamma}}}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\gamma g_i}}}e_{g_i\gamma}.$$

Therefore, $U^*\Lambda_i^{(f)}U = W_i^{(\tilde f)}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The proof is complete. □

Now, we ca prove that the weighted left creation operators associated with noncommutative domains are essentially unique.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let* $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ *and* $(W_1', \dots, W_n')$ *be the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domains* $\mathcal{D}_f$ *and* $\mathcal{D}_{f'}$*, respectively. Then there exists a unitary operator* $U \in B(F^2(H_n))$ *such that*

$$UW_i = W_i'U, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

if and only if $f = f'$.

PROOF. One implication is obvious. Let $[u_{\alpha,\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}$ be the matrix representation of the unitary operator U, i.e. $u_{\alpha,\beta} := \langle Ue_\beta, e_\alpha\rangle$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Using the definition of the weighted left creation operators, we deduce that

$$\langle UW_ie_\alpha, e_\beta\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}\langle Ue_{g_i\alpha}, e_\beta\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}u_{\beta,g_i\alpha}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle W_i'Ue_\alpha, e_\beta\rangle &= \left\langle Ue_\alpha, W_i'^*e_\beta\right\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma'}}{\sqrt{b_\beta'}}, & \text{if } \beta = g_i\gamma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, if $\beta = g_i\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the equality $UW_i = W_i'U$ implies

$$\frac{\sqrt{b_{g_i\gamma}'}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}u_{g_i\gamma,g_i\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma'}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}u_{\gamma,\alpha}. \tag{1.14}$$

If β is a word which does not start with the generator g_i, then the same equality implies $\frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}u_{\beta,g_i\alpha} = 0$, which implies

$$u_{\beta,g_i\alpha} = 0, \quad \text{if } \beta \text{ does not start with } g_i. \tag{1.15}$$

In particular, $u_{g_0,\omega} = 0$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\omega| \geq 1$. Since U is a unitary operator, we must have $u_{g_0,g_0} \neq 0$. Similarly, using relations (1.14) and (1.15), we deduce that $u_{\beta,\gamma} = 0$ if $\beta \neq \gamma$ and $u_{\beta,\beta} \neq 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Let $U^* = [c_{\alpha,\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}$ be the matrix representation of U^*, where $\bar c_{\beta,\alpha} = u_{\alpha,\beta}$. Since $U^*W_i' = W_iU^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, similar arguments as those used to obtain relations (1.14) and (1.15), imply

$$\frac{\sqrt{b_{g_i\gamma}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}'}}c_{g_i\gamma,g_i\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha'}}c_{\gamma,\alpha}. \tag{1.16}$$

Using relations (1.14) and (1.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_{g_i\gamma,g_i\alpha} &= \frac{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}{\sqrt{b'_{g_i\gamma}}}\frac{\sqrt{b'_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}u_{\gamma,\alpha} \\ &= \overline{c}_{g_i\alpha,g_i\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{b'_{g_i\gamma}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}\frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b'_\gamma}}\overline{c}_{\alpha,\gamma} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{b'_{g_i\gamma}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}\frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b'_\gamma}}u_{\gamma,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\frac{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}{\sqrt{b'_{g_i\gamma}}}\frac{\sqrt{b'_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} = \frac{\sqrt{b'_{g_i\gamma}}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}\frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b'_\gamma}}$$

if $u_{\gamma,\alpha} \neq 0$, and, consequently, $\frac{b_{g_i\alpha}}{b_\alpha} = \frac{b'_{g_i\gamma}}{b'_\gamma}$. Since we already know that $u_{\alpha,\alpha} \neq 0$, we deduce that

$$\frac{b_{g_i\alpha}}{b_\alpha} = \frac{b'_{g_i\alpha}}{b'_\alpha}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Now, using the fact that $b_{g_0} = b'_{g_0} = 1$ (see (1.2)), one can easily show that $b_\omega = b'_\omega$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Based on the results preceding the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I - f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n))^{-1} = g(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) &= \sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha r^{|\omega|}S_\omega \\ &= \sum_{\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b'_\alpha r^{|\omega|}S_\omega = g'(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) \\ &= (I - f'(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $f(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) = f'(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)$ which, due to the uniqueness of the Fourier representation of the elements of the noncommutative analytic algebra F_n^∞, implies $f = f'$. This completes the proof. □

Now, we can prove the following similarity result.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ and $(W'_1, \ldots, W'_n)$ be the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_f$ and $\mathcal{D}_{f'}$, respectively. Then there exists an invertible operator $X \in B(F^2(H_n))$ such that*

$$W_i = X^{-1}W'_iX, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

if and only if there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq \frac{b_\alpha}{b'_\alpha} \leq C_2 \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \tag{1.17}$$

where the coefficients b_α and b'_α are given by relation (1.2). Moreover the operator X can be chosen such that

$$\max\left\{\|X\|, \|X^{-1}\|\right\} \leq \max\left\{\frac{1}{C_1}, C_2\right\}.$$

Proof. Assume that $X \in B(F^2(H_n))$ is a positive invertible operator such that $XW_i = W_i'X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let $X = [x_{\alpha,\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ be its matrix representation. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we denote

$$w(g_i, \alpha) := \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_{g_i\alpha}}}, \tag{1.18}$$

where the coefficients b_α are given by equation (1.2). Using the definition of the weighted left creation operators, we have $\langle XW_i e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle = w(g_i, \alpha) x_{\beta, g_i\alpha}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_i' X e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle &= \left\langle X e_\alpha, W_i'^* e_\beta \right\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} w'(g_i, \gamma) x_{\gamma,\alpha}, & \text{if } \beta = g_i\gamma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $XW_i = W_i'X$, we deduce that $x_{\beta, g_i\alpha} = 0$ if β does not start with the generator g_i, and

$$x_{g_i\gamma, g_i\alpha} = \frac{w'(g_i, \gamma)}{w(g_i, \alpha)} x_{\gamma,\alpha} \qquad \text{if } \ \beta = g_i\gamma. \tag{1.19}$$

Hence, $x_{g_0,\alpha} = 0$ if $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Since X is invertible, we must have $x_{g_0,g_0} \neq 0$. Using relations (1.18) and (1.19), we deduce that, for any $\omega = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\omega,\omega} &= \frac{w'(g_{i_1}, g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k})}{w(g_{i_1}, g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k})} \frac{w'(g_{i_2}, g_{i_3} \cdots g_{i_k})}{w(g_{i_2}, g_{i_3} \cdots g_{i_k})} \cdots \frac{w'(g_{i_k}, g_0)}{w(g_{i_k}, g_0)} x_{g_0,g_0} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\sqrt{b_{g_0}}}{\sqrt{b_\omega}}}{\frac{\sqrt{b'_{g_0}}}{\sqrt{b'_\omega}}} x_{g_0,g_0} = \frac{\sqrt{b'_\omega}}{\sqrt{b_\omega}} x_{g_0,g_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{b'_\omega}}{\sqrt{b_\omega}} = \frac{x_{\omega,\omega}}{x_{g_0,g_0}} = \frac{\langle X e_\omega, e_\omega \rangle}{x_{g_0,g_0}} \leq \frac{\|X\|}{x_{g_0,g_0}}.$$

Consequently, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $C_1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{b_\omega}}{\sqrt{b'_\omega}}$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Similarly, if we work with X^{-1} instead of X and with the equality $X^{-1}W_i' = W_i X^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we find a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{\sqrt{b_\omega}}{\sqrt{b'_\omega}} \leq C_2$ for any $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

Conversely, assume that condition (1.17) holds. Define the diagonal operator $D \in B(F^2(H_n))$ by setting $De_\alpha = d_\alpha e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. As in the first part of the proof, the relation $DW_i W_i' D$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, holds if and only if $d_\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{b'_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} d_{g_0}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. We can choose $d_{g_0} = 1$. It is clear that condition (1.17) implies that the operator D is invertible. Moreover, we have

$$\|D\| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{\sqrt{b'_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{C_1} \quad \text{ and } \quad \|D^{-1}\| = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b'_\alpha}} \leq C_2,$$

which proves the last part of the theorem. □

We remark that results similar to Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 can be obtained for the weighted right creation operators $(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$. We should mention that, in the particular case when $n = 1$, we find some results from Shields' survey paper [**92**].

1.2. The domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and its representations

We introduce the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ to be the norm closure of all polynomials in the weighted left creation operators $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ and the identity. Using noncommutative Poisson transforms associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$, we characterize the completely contractive (resp. completely bounded) representations of the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$. In particular, we identify the set of all characters of $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with the set $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

Using the weighted right creation operators associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$, one can define the corresponding domain algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and obtain similar results.

Let $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, and let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $\sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* \leq I_\mathcal{H}$. Define the positive linear mapping

$$\Phi_{f,T} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{by} \quad \Phi_{f,T}(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha X T_\alpha^*,$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology. Since $\Phi_{f,T}(I) \leq I$ and $\Phi_{f,T}(\cdot)$ is a positive linear map, it is easy to see that $\{\Phi_{f,T}^m(I)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence of positive operators and, consequently, $Q_{f,T} := \text{SOT-}\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^m(I)$ exists. We say that T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ (or *pure*) if $\text{SOT-}\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^m(I) = 0$.

We remark that, for any $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ and $0 \leq r < 1$, the n-tuple $(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. Indeed, it is enough to see that

$$\Phi_{f,rT}^m(I) \leq r^m \Phi_{f,T}^m(I) \leq r^m I$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice also that if $\|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\| < 1$, then T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. This is due to the fact that $\|\Phi_{f,T}^m(I)\| \leq \|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\|^m$.

Following [**76**] (see also [**7**]), we define the Poisson kernel associated with the n-tuple $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ to be the operator $K_{f,T} : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ defined by

$$K_{f,T} h = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha} e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{f,T} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \tag{1.20}$$

where $\Delta_{f,T} := (I - \Phi_{f,T}(I))^{1/2}$. Due to relation (1.2), we deduce that

$$\left\langle \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta T_\beta \Delta_{f,T}^2 T_\beta^* h, h \right\rangle = \left\langle \Delta_{f,T}^2 h, h\right\rangle + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{|\beta|=m} \left\langle b_\beta T_\beta \Delta_{f,T}^2 T_\beta^* h, h\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \Delta_{f,T}^2 h, h\right\rangle + \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{|\beta|=m} \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=1}^{|\beta|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_j=\beta \\ |\gamma_1|\geq 1,\ldots,|\gamma_j|\geq 1}} a_{\gamma_1}\cdots a_{\gamma_j} \right) T_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_j} \Delta_{f,T}^2 T_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_j}^* h, h \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \Delta_{f,T}^2 h, h\right\rangle + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\langle \Phi_{f,T}^k (I - \Phi_{f,T}(I)) h, h \right\rangle$$

$$= \|h\|^2 - \lim_{m\to\infty} \left\langle \Phi_{f,T}^m (I) h, h\right\rangle$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta T_\beta \Delta_{f,T}^2 T_\beta^* = I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_{f,T}. \tag{1.21}$$

Due to the above calculations, we have

$$\|K_{f,T} h\| = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta \left\langle T_\beta \Delta_{f,T}^2 T_\beta^* h, h\right\rangle = \|h\|^2 - \|Q_{f,T}^{1/2} h\|^2$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $K_{f,T}$ is a contraction and

$$K_{f,T}^* K_{f,T} = I_{\mathcal{H}} - Q_{f,T}. \tag{1.22}$$

On the other hand, a simple calculation reveals that

$$K_{f,T} T_i^* = (W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.23}$$

where $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is the n-tuple of weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. Moreover, $K_{f,T}$ is an isometry if and only if $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. In this case, we have

$$K_{f,T}^* [W_\alpha W_\beta^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T} = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Due to Proposition 1.1, the n-tuple $W := (W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$ and, due to relation (1.11), we have $K_{f,W}^* K_{f,W} = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, which implies that W is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$.

We identify $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$, the set of $m \times m$ matrices with entries in $B(\mathcal{H})$, with $B(\mathcal{H}^{(m)})$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ is the direct sum of m copies of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus we have a natural C^*-norm on $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$. If X is an operator space, i.e., a closed subspace of $B(\mathcal{H})$, we consider $M_m(X)$ as a subspace of $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$ with the induced norm. Let X, Y be operator spaces and $u : X \to Y$ be a linear map. Define the map $u_m : M_m(X) \to M_m(Y)$ by

$$u_m([x_{ij}]_{m\times m}) := [u(x_{ij})]_{m\times m}.$$

We say that u is completely bounded if

$$\|u\|_{cb} := \sup_{m\geq 1} \|u_m\| < \infty.$$

If $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$ (resp. u_m is an isometry for any $m \geq 1$) then u is completely contractive (resp. isometric), and if u_m is positive for all m, then u is called completely positive.

For more information on completely bounded maps and the classical von Neumann inequality [**98**], we refer to [**63**] and [**65**].

The next result extends Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 from [**76**].

THEOREM 1.4. *Let* $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ *be an* n*-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$*. Then there is a unital completely contractive linear map*

$$\Psi_{f,T} : \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that

$$\Psi_{f,T}(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Moreover, the Poisson transform $\Psi_{f,T}$ *satisfies the equality*

$$\Psi_{f,T}(g) = \lim_{r\to 1} K_{f,rT}^*(g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,rT}, \tag{1.24}$$

where the limit exists in the norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$*.*

PROOF. The n-tuple $rT := (rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ for each $0 < r < 1$. Consequently, $K_{f,rT}$ is an isometry and we can use relation (1.23) to deduce that

$$K_{f,rT}^*[W_\alpha W_\beta^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,rT} = r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{1.25}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} r^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} T_\alpha T_\beta^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} W_\alpha W_\beta^* \right\|$$

for any finite subset Λ of $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $c_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$. Taking $r \to 1$, we deduce that

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} T_\alpha T_\beta^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} W_\alpha W_\beta^* \right\|.$$

If $g \in \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$, we define

$$\Psi_{f,T}(g) := \lim_{k\to\infty} q_k(T_i, T_i^*), \tag{1.26}$$

where the limit is in the norm topology and $\{q_k(W_i, W_i^*)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of "polynomials" of the form $\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\Lambda} c_{\alpha,\beta} W_\alpha W_\beta^*$ such that $\|q_k(W_i, W_i^*) - g\| \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. Due to the inequality above, the operator $\Psi_{f,T}(g)$ is well-defined and

$$\|\Psi_{f,T}(g)\| \leq \|g\|.$$

Now, the first part of the theorem follows from an operator matrix version of relation (1.25) and the considerations above.

To prove the second part of the theorem, let $g \in \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ and let $\{q_k(W_i, W_i^*)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of polynomials in $\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{W_\alpha W_\beta^*;\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ such that $\|q_k(W_i, W_i^*) - g\| \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$. Due to relation (1.26), we have $\Psi_{f,rT}(g) := \lim_{k\to\infty} q_k(rT_i, rT_i^*)$ in norm. On the other hand, relation (1.25) implies

$$q_k(rT_i, rT_i^*) = K_{f,rT}^*(q_k(W_i, W_i^*) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,rT}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, we have

$$\Psi_{f,rT}(g) = K_{f,rT}^*(g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,rT}. \tag{1.27}$$

Now, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|q_m(W_i, W_i^*) - g\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Due to the first part of the theorem, we have

$$\|\Psi_{f,T}(g) - q_m(T_i, T_i^*)\| \leq \|g - q_m(W_i, W_i^*)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

and

$$\|\Psi_{f,rT}(g) - q_m(rT_i, rT_i^*)\| \leq \|g - q_m(W_i, W_i^*)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

On the other hand, since $q_m(W_i, W_i^*)$ has a finite number of terms, there exists $\delta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\|q_m(rT_i, rT_i^*) - q_m(T_i, T_i^*)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

for any $r \in (\delta, 1)$. Now, using these inequalities and relation (1.27), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\Psi_{f,T}(g) - K_{f,rT}^*(g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,rT}\right\| &= \|\Psi_{f,T}(g) - \Psi_{f,rT}(g)\| \\ &\leq \|\Psi_{f,T}(g) - q_m(T_i, T_i^*)\| \\ &\quad + \|q_m(T_i, T_i^*) - q_m(rT_i, rT_i^*)\| \\ &\quad + \|q_m(rT_i, rT_i^*) - \Psi_{f,rT}(g)\| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in (\delta, 1)$. This proves (1.24) and completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let* $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ *be in* $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. *Then* $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ *is in the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ *if and only if the map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad \Phi(p(W_1, \ldots, W_n)) = p(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$$

is completely contractive.

An n-tuple of operators $(A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ will be called completely polynomially bounded with respect to the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $m \times m$ matrices $[p_{ij}(W_1, \ldots, W_n)]$ with entries in $\mathcal{P}_n(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$, the set of all polynomials in $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ and the identity, we have

$$\|[p_{ij}(A_1, \ldots, A_n)]\|_{M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))} \leq C\|[p_{ij}(W_1, \ldots, W_n)]\|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f))}.$$

In [**62**], V. Paulsen proved that an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is similar to a contraction if and only if it is completely polynomially bounded. In what follows we will extend this result to our setting.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let* $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ *be in* $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. *Then there is an* n*-tuple of operators* $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ *and an invertible operator* X *such that*

$$A_i = X^{-1}T_iX, \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

if and only if the n*-tuple* $(A_1, \ldots, A_n)$ *is completely polynomially bounded with respect to the noncommutative domain algebra* $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

PROOF. Using Theorem 1.4 and Paulsen's similarity result [**62**], the result follows. □

COROLLARY 1.7. *A representation* $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ *is completely bounded if and only if it is given by* $\Phi(W_i) = XT_iX^{-1}, i = 1, \ldots, n$ *where* $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ *and* X *is an invertible operator.*

Now, we identify the characters of the noncommutative domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$, where

$$\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

and define the evaluation functional

$$\Phi_\lambda : \mathcal{P}_n(W_1, \dots, W_n) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \Phi_\lambda(p(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

According to Theorem 1.4, we have

$$|p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)| = \|p(\lambda_1 I_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, \lambda_n I_{\mathbb{C}})\| \leq \|p(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|.$$

Hence, Φ_λ has a unique extension to the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Therefore Φ_λ is a character of $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $M_{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)}$ be the set of all characters of $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Then the map*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)}, \quad \Psi(\lambda) = \Phi_\lambda$$

is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$ onto $M_{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)}$.

Proof. First, notice that Ψ is one-to-one. Indeed, let $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\mu := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ be in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$ and assume that $\Psi(\lambda) = \Psi(\mu)$. Then, we have

$$\lambda_i = \Phi_\lambda(W_i) = \Phi_\mu(W_i) = \mu_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

which implies $\lambda = \mu$. Now, assume that $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a character. Setting $\lambda_i := \Phi(W_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that

$$\Phi(p(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

for any polynomial $p(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Since Φ is a character it follows that it is completely contractive. Applying Corollary 1.5 in the particular case when $A_i := \lambda_i I_{\mathbb{C}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, it follows that $(\lambda_1 I_{\mathbb{C}}, \dots, \lambda_n I_{\mathbb{C}}) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, since

$$\Phi(p(W_1, \dots, S_n)) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \Phi_\lambda(p(W_1, \dots, W_n))$$

for any polynomial $p(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$, we must have $\Phi = \Phi_\lambda$.

Suppose now that $\lambda^\alpha := (\lambda_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda_n^\alpha)$; $\alpha \in J$, is a net in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \in J} \lambda^\alpha = \lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. It is clear that

$$\lim_{\alpha \in J} \Phi_{\lambda^\alpha}(p(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = \lim_{\alpha \in J} p(\lambda_1^\alpha, \dots, \lambda_n^\alpha) = p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \Phi_\lambda(p(W_1, \dots, W_n))$$

for every polynomial $p(W_1, \dots, W_n)$. Since the set of all polynomials $\mathcal{P}_n(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $\sup_{\alpha \in J} \|\Phi_{\lambda^\alpha}\| \leq 1$, it follows that Ψ is continuous. On the other hand, since both $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_{\mathcal{A}_n}$ are compact Hausdorff spaces and Ψ is also one-to-one and onto, the result follows. The proof is complete. $\square$

Due to Proposition 1.1, it is clear that $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{D}_f) = U^* \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{f}}) U$. Consequently, all the results of this section can be written for the algebra $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

1.3. The Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$

In this section, we introduce the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ (resp. $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$) associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and prove some basic properties. In particular, we show that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is semisimple and coincides with its double commutant.

Let $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)=\sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ be a formal sum with the property that $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}<\infty$, where the coefficients b_β, $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.2). Using relations (1.3) and (1.7), one can see that $\sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(p)\in F^2(H_n)$ for any $p\in\mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the set of all polynomial in $F^2(H_n)$. Indeed, for each $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have $\sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(e_\gamma)=\sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta\sqrt{\frac{b_\gamma}{b_{\beta\gamma}}}e_{\beta\gamma}$ and, due to relation (1.3), we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{b_\gamma}{b_{\beta\gamma}}\leq\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}<\infty.$$

If

$$\sup_{p\in\mathcal{P},\|p\|\leq 1}\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(p)\right\|<\infty,$$

then there is a unique bounded operator acting on $F^2(H_n)$, which we denote by $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)$, such that

$$\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)p=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta(p)\quad\text{for any }\ p\in\mathcal{P}.$$

The set of all operators $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in B(F^2(H_n))$ satisfying the above mentioned properties is denoted by $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. When $f=X_1+\cdots+X_n$, it coincides with the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞, which was introduced in [**70**] in connection with a noncommutative multivariable von Neumann inequality. As in this particular case, one can prove that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is a Banach algebra, which we call Hardy algebra associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. In Section 1.4, we will show that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is the WOT-closure (resp. SOT-closure, w^*-closure) of all polynomial in $W_1,\dots,W_n$ and the identity.

In a similar manner, using the weighted right creation operators associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$, one can define the corresponding the Hardy algebra $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. More precisely, if $g(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)=\sum\limits_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta$ is a formal sum with the property that $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}<\infty$, where the coefficients b_α, $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by relation (1.2), and such that

$$\sup_{p\in\mathcal{P},\|p\|\leq 1}\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta(p)\right\|<\infty,$$

then there is a unique bounded operator on $F^2(H_n)$, which we denote by $g(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)$, such that

$$g(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)p=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta(p)\quad\text{for any }\ p\in\mathcal{P}.$$

The set of all operators $g(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\in B(F^2(H_n))$ satisfying the above mentioned properties is denoted by $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Proposition 1.9. *Let* $(W_1^{(f)},\dots,W_n^{(f)})$*(resp.* $(\Lambda_1^{(f)},\dots,\Lambda_n^{(f)})$*) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_f$*. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)'=U^*(F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde f}))U=R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$*, where* $'$ *stands for the commutant and* $U\in B(F^2(H_n))$ *is the unitary operator defined by* $Ue_\alpha=e_{\tilde\alpha}$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$*;*

(ii) $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)''=F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *and* $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)''=R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$*.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 1.1, we have $U^*(F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde f}))U=R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Since $W_i^{(f)}\Lambda_j^{(f)}=\Lambda_j^{(f)}W_i^{(f)}$ for any $i,j=1,\dots,n$, it is clear that $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\subseteq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)'$. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $A\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)'$. Since $A(1)\in F^2(H_n)$, we have $A(1)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_{\tilde\beta}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\beta}}}e_{\tilde\beta}$ for some coefficients $\{c_\beta\}_{\mathbb{F}_n^+}$ with $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}<\infty$. On the other hand, since $AW_i^{(f)}=W_i^{(f)}A$ for $i=1,\dots,n$, relations (1.7) and (1.12) imply

$$\begin{aligned}Ae_\alpha&=\sqrt{b_\alpha}AW_\alpha(1)=\sqrt{b_\alpha}W_\alpha A(1)\\&=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_{\tilde\beta}\frac{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}{\sqrt{b_{\alpha\tilde\beta}}}e_{\alpha\tilde\beta}\\&=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta(e_\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A(q)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n}c_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta(q)$ for any polynomial q in in the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Since A is a bounded operator, $g(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n):=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n}c_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta$ is in $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $A=g(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)$. Therefore, $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)=F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)'$. The item (ii) follows easily applying part (i). This completes the proof. ☐

As in the particular case when $f=X_1+\cdots+X_n$ (see [**73**], [**79**]), one can use Proposition 1.9 to show that if $M:F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}\to F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ is an operator such that $M(W_i\otimes I_\mathcal{H})=(W_i\otimes I_\mathcal{K})M$ for any $i=1,\dots,n$, then $M\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$ and has a unique formal Fourier expansion $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\Theta_{(\alpha)}\otimes\Lambda_\alpha$ for some coefficients $\Theta_{(\alpha)}\in B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{K})$, with the property that M acts like its Fourier representation on vector-valued polynomials in $F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}$. The operator M is called multi-analytic with respect to $W_1,\dots,W_n$. Similar results can be obtained for multi-analytic operators with respect to $\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n$

In what follows, we employ some ideas from [**37**] to extend some of the results obtained by Davidson and Pitts, to our more general setting.

Theorem 1.10. *The following statements hold:*

(i) *The Hardy algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *is inverse closed.*

(ii) *The only normal elements in* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *are the scalars.*

(iii) *Every element* $A\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *has its spectrum* $\sigma(A)\neq\{0\}$ *and it is injective.*

(iv) *The algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *contains no non-trivial idempotents and no non-zero quasinilpotent elements.*

(v) *The algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *is semisimple.*

(vi) *If* $A \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, $n \geq 2$, *then* $\sigma(A) = \sigma_e(A)$.

Proof. The first item follows from Proposition 1.9 part (ii), using the fact that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)'' = F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. To prove (ii), let $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha W_\alpha$ be in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Using relation (1.7), we have

$$\langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)1, 1\rangle = c_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^*1 = \bar{c}_0 1.$$

By normality, $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)1 = c_0 1$. Using now relation (1.12), we have $\Lambda_\beta(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\beta}}} e_{\tilde\beta}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)e_\beta &= \sqrt{b_\beta}\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}(1) \\
&= \sqrt{b_\alpha}\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)(1) \\
&= c_0\sqrt{b_\beta}\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}(1) = c_0 e_\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) = c_0 I$. Now we prove (iii). Assume that $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is a nonzero element in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. As above, we have

$$\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)e_\beta = \sqrt{b_\alpha}\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)(1)$$

for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. This implies $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)e_\beta = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} e_\alpha \neq 0$. Choose an element $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ of minimal length $m := |\gamma|$ such that $c_\gamma \neq 0$. Using relation (1.7), we can prove by induction that, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^k(1) = c_\gamma^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^k}} e_{\gamma^k} + \sum_{\beta\neq\gamma^k, |\beta|\geq|\gamma|^k} d_{\beta,k} e_\beta$$

for some constants $d_{\beta,k}$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|^{1/k} &\geq \left|\left\langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^k 1, e_{\gamma^k}\right\rangle\right|^{1/k} \\
&= |c_\gamma| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma^k}}}\right)^{1/k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to [**87**] and the results of Section 1.1, since $g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha$ is convergent for some $r > 0$, the radius of convergence R of the power series $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha X_\alpha$ is strictly positive and $\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |b_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2k}$. Therefore, we have

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(|b_{\gamma^k}|^2\right)^{1/2k} \leq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left[\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=mk} |b_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/(2km)}\right]^m \leq \frac{1}{R^m}.$$

Hence, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|b_{\gamma^k}|^{1/k} \leq \frac{1}{R^m}$ for any $k \geq N$. Combining this with the above inequalities, we obtain $\|\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|^{1/k} \geq |c_\gamma| R^{m/2}$ for $k \geq N$. Consequently, the spectral radius of the operator $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is greater than or equal to $|c_\gamma| R^{m/2} > 0$, which shows that $\sigma(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)) \neq \{0\}$.

To prove injectivity of $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)$, let $x := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} d_\alpha e_\alpha \in F^2(H_n)$ be nonzero and let $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ be a word of minimal length such that $d_\sigma \neq 0$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)x, e_{\gamma\sigma}\rangle &= \langle c_\gamma d_\sigma W_\gamma(e_\sigma), e_{\gamma\sigma}\rangle \\ &= c_\gamma d_\sigma \left\langle \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma\sigma}}} e_{\gamma\sigma}, e_{\gamma\sigma}\right\rangle \\ &= c_\gamma d_\sigma \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma\sigma}}} \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ is injective. Now, it is clear that (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) and (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (v). It remains to prove (vi). The implication $\sigma_e(A)\subseteq\sigma(A)$ is obvious. To prove $\sigma(A)\subset\sigma_e(A)$, it is enough to show that $0\in\sigma(A)$ implies $0\in\sigma_e(A)$. Since A is injective, we may assume that $0\in\sigma(A)$ and range A is a closed and proper subspace of $F^2(H_n)$. Notice that due to the fact that the subspace $\sum_{|\alpha|=k}^{\oplus}\Lambda_\alpha(F^2(H_n))$ is invariant under $A:=\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$, we have

$$A\left(\sum\nolimits_{|\alpha|=k}^{\oplus}\Lambda_\alpha(F^2(H_n))\right)\bigcap \operatorname{span}\{e_\beta:\ |\beta|\leq k-1\}=\emptyset. \tag{1.28}$$

Now, we prove that

$$A\left(\sum\nolimits_{|\alpha|=k}^{\oplus}\Lambda_\alpha(F^2(H_n))\right)\bigcap \operatorname{span}\{e_\beta:\ |\beta|= k\}=\{0\}. \tag{1.29}$$

Let $x:=\sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha e_\alpha = A\left(\sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k}\Lambda_\alpha y_{(\alpha)}\right)$ for some vectors $y_{(\alpha)}\in F^2(H_n)$. If $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $|\beta|=k$, then, due to relation (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_\beta\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} &= \Lambda_{\tilde\beta}^* x = \Lambda_{\tilde\beta} A\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\Lambda_\alpha y_{(\alpha)}\right)\\ &=\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}^*\Lambda_\alpha A y_{(\alpha)} = \Lambda_{\tilde\beta}^*\Lambda_{\tilde\beta} A y_{(\alpha)}\\ &= D_{\tilde\beta} A y_{(\alpha)}\end{aligned}$$

where $D_{\tilde\beta}:=\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}^*\Lambda_{\tilde\beta}$ is the diagonal operator on $F^2(H_n)$ satisfying the relation $D_{\tilde\beta}(e_\alpha)=\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{\alpha\beta}}e_\alpha,\ \ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Notice that the constant 1 is not in the range of A because 1 is cyclic for $\{\Lambda_\alpha:\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$ and $A\Lambda_i=\Lambda_i A$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, which would contradict that the range of A is proper. Consequently, we must have $Ay_{(\tilde\beta)}=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} d_\alpha e_\alpha$, where $d_\alpha=0$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, or at least one $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $|\gamma|\geq 1$ is such that $d_\gamma\neq 0$. In the first case, the above calculations show that $a_\beta=0$. In the second case, we have

$$a_\beta\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} = D_{\tilde\beta}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} d_\alpha e_\alpha\right) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} d_\alpha\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{\alpha\beta}}e_\beta.$$

Since $d_\alpha\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{\alpha\beta}}\neq 0$, we got a contradiction. Therefore, we have $a_\beta=0$ for any $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\beta|=k$. This implies $x=0$, which proves relation (1.29). Using relations (1.28) and (1.29), one can see that

$$\dim\left[\operatorname{range} A\bigcap \operatorname{span}\{e_\alpha:\ |\alpha|\leq k\}\right]\leq 1+n+n^2+\cdots+n^{k-1}.$$

Hence the range of A has codimension at least n^k for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that the range of A has infinite codimension and, consequently, $0 \in \sigma_e(A)$. The proof is complete. □

1.4. Functional calculus for n-tuples of operators in $\mathcal{D}_f$

In this section, we obtain an $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$- functional calculus for completely non-coisometric n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$.

Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* \leq I_\mathcal{H}$, and define the positive linear mapping

$$\Phi_{f,T} : B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{by} \quad \Phi_{f,T}(X) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha X T_\alpha^*,$$

where the convergence is in the weak operator topology. The n-tuple $T \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is called *completely non-coisometric* (c.n.c) with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ if there is no vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $h \neq 0$, such that

$$\left\langle \Phi_{f,T}^m(I)h, h\right\rangle = \|h\|^2 \quad \text{for any} \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

According to Section 1.2, we have

$$\|K_{f,T}h\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - \|Q_{f,T}^{1/2}h\|^2, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $Q_{f,T} := \text{SOT-}\lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^m(I)$ and $K_{f,T}$ is the Poisson kernel associated with T and $\mathcal{D}_f$. As a consequence, one can easily prove the following.

Proposition 1.11. *An n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is c.n.c. if and only if the noncommutative Poisson kernel $K_{f,T}$ is one-to-one.*

We recall from Section 1.2 the following property of the Poisson kernel:

$$K_{f,T}T_i^* = (W_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,T}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.30}$$

wherer $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ are the weighted left creation operators associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$. Now, we can prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 1.12. *Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a completely non-coisometric (c.n.c.) n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$g(T_1, \ldots, T_n) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \tag{1.31}$$

exists in the strong operator topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$ for any $g = g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, and the mapping

$$\Phi : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \to B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \textit{defined by} \quad \Phi(g) := g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$$

has the following properties:

(i) *Φ is WOT-continuous (resp. SOT-continuous) on bounded sets;*
(ii) *Φ is a unital completely contractive homomorphism;*
(iii) *Φ coincides with the Poisson transform $\Psi_{f,T} : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by*

$$\Psi_{f,T}(g) := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} K_{f,rT}^*(g \otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,rT}.$$

In particular, if T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, then

$$\Phi(g) := g(T_1, \dots, T_n) = K_{f,T}^*(g \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,T}$$

for any $g \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, and Φ is w^*-continuous. Moreover,

$$g(T_1, \dots, T_n)^* = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g_r(T_1, \dots, T_n)^*.$$

Proof. First, we shall prove that the limit in (1.31) exists for the n-tuple of weighted left creation operators $W := (W_1, \dots, W_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$ and, moreover, that

$$g(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{t\to 1} g_t(W_1, \dots, W_n) \tag{1.32}$$

for any $g(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. According to Section 1.1, the operators $\{W_\beta\}_{|\beta|=k}$ have orthogonal ranges and $\|W_\beta\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently, $\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\| \leq 1$ for any $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Hence, and using the fact that $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} < \infty$, we deduce that, for $0 < t < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^\infty t^k \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta W_\beta\right\| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty t^k \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-t}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

which proves that $g_t(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} t^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha W_\alpha = g_t(W_1, \dots, W_n), \tag{1.33}$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm.

Fix now $\gamma, \sigma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and denote $p(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, |\beta|\leq|\gamma|} c_\beta W_\beta$. Since $W_\beta^* e_\gamma = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\beta| > |\gamma|$, we have

$$g_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* e_\alpha = p_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* e_\alpha$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \leq |\gamma|$ and any $r \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, using relation (1.30) when $T = rW$, we obtain

$$K_{f,rW} p_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* = [p(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}]K_{f,rW}$$

for any $r \in [0,1)$. Using all these facts, careful calculations reveal that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle K_{f,rW} g_r(W_1,\ &\dots, W_n)^* e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon\rangle\\ &= \langle K_{f,rW} p_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon\rangle\\ &= \left\langle [(p(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})] K_{f,rW} e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon\right\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} r^{|\beta|}\sqrt{b_\beta}\,\langle p(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* e_\beta, e_\sigma\rangle \left\langle W_\beta^* e_\gamma, \Delta_{f,rW} e_\epsilon\right\rangle\\ &= \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} r^{|\beta|}\sqrt{b_\beta}\,\langle g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* e_\beta, e_\sigma\rangle \left\langle W_\beta^* e_\gamma, \Delta_{f,rW} e_\epsilon\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle [g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}] K_{f,rW} e_\gamma, e_\sigma \otimes e_\epsilon\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0,1)$ and $\gamma, \sigma, \epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Hence, since $g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ and $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ are bounded operators, we deduce that

$$K_{f,rW} g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* = [g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}] K_{f,rW}.$$

Consequently, since the n-tuple $rW := (rW_1,\dots,rW_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, the Poisson kernel $K_{f,rW}$ is an isometry and therefore,

$$\|g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| \le \|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| \quad \text{for any } r\in[0,1). \tag{1.34}$$

Hence, and due to the fact that $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)p = \lim_{r\to 1} g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)p$ for any polynomial p in $F^2(H_n)$, we deduce relation (1.32).

Let $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers which converges to 1. Since $g_{r_k}(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \sum_{m=1} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} r_k^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha W_\alpha$, where the convergence is in the uniform topology (see (1.33)), we can find a polynomial $p_k(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ such that

$$\|g_{r_k}(W_1,\dots,W_n) - p_k(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| \le \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{for any } \ k = 1,2,\dots.$$

Using relation (1.32), it is easy to see that

$$g(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} p_k(W_1,\dots,W_n).$$

Since the WOT and w^* topology coincide on bounded sets, the principle of uniform boundedness implies

$$g(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} p_k(W_1,\dots,W_n) = w^*\text{-}\lim_{k\to\infty} p_k(W_1,\dots,W_n).$$

On the other hand, since $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) = R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)'$, it is clear that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is WOT-closed. Now, one can easily see that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is the SOT-(resp. WOT-, w^*-) closure of all polynomials in $W_1,\dots,W_n$ and the identity.

The next step is to prove that, if $T := (T_1,\dots,T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$K_{f,rT}^*(g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) = g_r(T_1,\dots,T_n) K_{f,rT}^* \tag{1.35}$$

for any $g(W_1,\dots,W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $0 < r < 1$. To this end, notice that the relation (1.30) implies

$$K_{f,rT}^*[p(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] = p(rT_1,\dots,rT_n) K_{f,rT}^* \tag{1.36}$$

for any polynomial $p(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ and $r \in [0,1)$. Since $rT := (rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, relation (1.33) and Theorem 1.4 imply

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} t^{|\alpha|} r^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha T_\alpha = g_t(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) \quad \text{for any } r, t \in [0,1),$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Using relation (1.36), when $p(W_1, \ldots, W_n) := \sum\limits_{k=0}^{m} \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k} t^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha W_\alpha$, and taking the limit as $m \to \infty$, we get

$$K_{f,rT}^*[g_t(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] = g_t(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) K_{f,rT}^*. \tag{1.37}$$

Now, let us prove that

$$\lim_{t\to 1} g_t(rT_1, \ldots, rT_n) = g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n), \tag{1.38}$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Notice that, if $\epsilon > 0$, there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(\sum\limits_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k\right) \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)(1)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. Since $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, Theorem 1.4 implies

$$\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\alpha T_\beta T_\beta^*\right\| \leq \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\alpha W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\| \leq 1.$$

Now, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta T_\beta\right\| &\leq \sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\alpha|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta T_\beta T_\beta^*\right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=m_0}^{\infty} r^k\right) \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)(1)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} t^{|\alpha|} r^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha T_\alpha - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|} c_\alpha T_\alpha\right\| \\ &\qquad\qquad \leq \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_0-1} \sum_{|\beta|=k} r^k (t^k - 1) c_\beta T_\beta\right\| + \epsilon \\ &\qquad\qquad \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m_0-1} r^k (t^k - 1) \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)(1)\| + \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Now, it clear that there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_0-1} r^k (t^k - 1) \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)(1)\| < \epsilon \quad \text{for any } \; t \in (\delta, 1),$$

which proves (1.38). Since the map $Y \mapsto Y \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is SOT-continuous on bounded sets, relations (1.32) and (1.34) imply that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}} = g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Using relation (1.38) and taking the limit, as $t \to 1$, in (1.37), we deduce (1.35).

Since the operator $g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is in the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and the sequence $\sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} W_\alpha$ is convergent in norm to $g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$, as $m \to \infty$, Theorem 1.4 and relation (1.34) imply that $\sum\limits_{k=0}^m \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} T_\alpha$ is convergent in norm to $g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, as $m \to \infty$, and

$$\|g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\| \leq \|g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \leq \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \tag{1.39}$$

for any $r \in [0,1)$. Since $T_i K_{f,T}^* = K_{f,T}^*(W_i \otimes I_\mathcal{H})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that

$$g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) K_{f,T}^* = K_{f,T}^*(g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) \qquad \text{for any } \ r \in [0,1). \tag{1.40}$$

Taking $r \to 1$ in relation (1.40), we deduce that the map $A : \text{range}\, K_{f,T}^* \to \mathcal{H}$ given by $Ay := \lim\limits_{r \to 1} g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) y$ is well-defined, linear, and

$$\|A K_{f,T}^* \varphi\| \leq \limsup_{r \to 1} \|g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \|K_{f,T}^* \varphi\| \leq \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \|K_{f,T}^* \varphi\|$$

for any $\varphi \in F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$.

Now, assume that $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is c.n.c.. According to Proposition 1.11, the Poisson kernel $K_{f,T}$ is one-to-one and therefore the range of $K_{f,T}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Consequently, the map A has a unique extension to a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$, denoted also by A, with $\|A\| \leq \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\|$. Let us show that

$$\lim_{r \to 1} g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) h = Ah \qquad \text{for any } \ h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

To this end, let $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of vectors in the range of $K_{f,T}^*$, which converges to y. Due to relation (1.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ah - g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) h\| &\leq \|Ah - Ay_k\| + \|Ay_k - g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) y_k\| \\ &\quad + \|g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) y_k - g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) h\| \\ &\leq 2\|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \|h - y_k\| + \|Ay_k - g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) y_k\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\lim\limits_{r \to 1} g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) y_k = Ay_k$, our assertion follows. Denoting the operator A by $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, we complete the proof of relation (1.31).

Due to relation (1.35), we have

$$g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = K_{f,rT}^*[g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}] K_{f,rT}, \tag{1.41}$$

which together with (1.31) imply part (iii) of the theorem. Now, using (1.31), the fact that $\|g_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \leq \|g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\|$, and taking $r \to 1$ in relation (1.40), we obtain

$$g(T_1, \ldots, T_n) K_{f,T}^* = K_{f,T}^*[g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}]. \tag{1.42}$$

To prove part (i), let $\{g_i(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\}$ be a bounded net in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ which is WOT (resp. SOT) convergent to an element $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Then, due to standard facts in functional analysis, the net $g_i(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}$ is WOT (resp. SOT) convergent to $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, as shown above, $\|g_i(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\| \leq \|g_i(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\|$ and, consequently, the net $\{g_i(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\}$ is bounded. Now, one can use relation (1.42), the fact that the range of $K_{f,T}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, and a standard approximation argument to deduce that $g_i(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is WOT (resp. SOT) convergent to $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$.

To prove part (ii), notice that since $K_{f,rT}$ is an isometry, relation (1.41) implies

$$\left\| [(f_{ij})_r(T_1,\dots,T_n)]_{k\times k} \right\| \leq \left\| [f_{ij}(W_1,\dots,W_n)]_{k\times k} \right\|$$

for any operator-valued matrix $[f_{ij}(W_1,\dots,W_n)]_{k\times k}$ in $M_k(F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f))$ and $r\in[0,1)$. Due to the first part of this theorem, SOT- $\lim\limits_{r\to 1}(f_{ij})_r(T_1,\dots,T_n)=f_{ij}(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ for each $i,j=1,\dots,k$. Now, one can easily deduce that

$$\left\| [f_{ij}(T_1,\dots,T_n)]_{k\times k} \right\| \leq \left\| [f_{ij}(W_1,\dots,W_n)]_{k\times k} \right\|.$$

Since Φ is a homomorphism on polynomials in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, the WOT-continuity of Φ and the WOT-density of the polynomials in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ shows that Φ is also a homomorphism on $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Now, assume that T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. Then the Poisson kernel $K_{f,T}$ is an isometry and the map

$$\Psi_{f,T}(g(W_1,\dots,W_n)) := K_{f,T}^*(g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,T},$$

where $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, coincides with Φ on polynomials. Since the polynomials are sequentially WOT-dense in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, and $\Psi_{f,T}$ and Φ are WOT- continuous on bounded sets, one can use the principle of uniform boundedness to deduce that $\Psi_{f,T}=\Phi$. The w^* continuity of Φ is now obvious.

Finally, to prove the last part of the theorem, notice that if $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)=\sum\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha W_\alpha\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, then using relation (1.32), we deduce that for every $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $y\in F^2(H_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*e_\beta, y\rangle &= \langle e_\beta, g(W_1,\dots,W_n)y\rangle\\ &= \left\langle e_\beta, \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\alpha|\leq|\beta|} c_\alpha W_\alpha y\right\rangle\\ &= \left\langle \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\alpha|\leq|\beta|} \overline{c}_\alpha W_\alpha^* e_\beta, y\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*e_\beta = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\alpha|\leq|\beta|} \overline{c}_\alpha W_\alpha^* e_\beta$$

and

$$g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)^*e_\beta = \left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+,|\alpha|\leq|\beta|} r^{|\alpha|}\overline{c}_\alpha W_\alpha^*\right)e_\beta.$$

Using the last two equalities, we obtain

$$\lim_{r\to 1} g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)^*e_\beta = g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*e_\beta$$

for any $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. On the other hand, using relation (1.34) and the fact that the closed span of all vectors e_α, $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, coincides with $F^2(H_n)$, we deduce (using standard arguments) that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)^* = g(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*. \tag{1.43}$$

If T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, then

$$g(T_1,\ldots,T_n) := K_{f,T}^*(g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,T}$$

and

$$g(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n) := K_{f,T}(g(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,T}.$$

Using again that $\|g(rT_1,\ldots,rT_n)\|\leq\|g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\|$ and relation (1.43), we complete the proof. □

Corollary 1.13. *The Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is the w^*- (resp. WOT-, SOT-) closure of all polynomials in $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ and the identity.*

1.5. The noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ and a functional calculus

In this section, we obtain a functional calculus for n-tuples of operators in noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ generated by w^*-closed two sided ideals of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Denote by $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$ the w^*-closed algebra generated by the operators $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J}W_i|\mathcal{N}_J$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, and the identity, where

$$\mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n)\ominus\mathcal{M}_J \quad\text{ and }\quad \mathcal{M}_J := \overline{JF^2(H_n)}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{\{\varphi(1):\ \varphi\in J\}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_J = \bigcap_{\varphi\in J}\ker\varphi^*$.

The following result is an extension of Theorem 4.2 from [**7**] to our more general setting. Since the proof follows the same lines, we shall omit it.

Theorem 1.14. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Then the map*

$$\Gamma : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)/J \to B(\mathcal{N}_J) \quad\textit{defined by}\quad \Gamma(\varphi+J) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\varphi|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$$

is a w^*-continuous, completely isometric representation.

Since the set of all polynomials in $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ and the identity is w^*-dense in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, Theorem 1.14 implies that $P_{\mathcal{N}_J}F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$ is a w^*-closed subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ and, moreover, $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$. Now, one can easily deduce that

$$F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) = \{g(B_1,\ldots,B_n):\ g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\}, \tag{1.44}$$

where $g(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ is defined by the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus of Section 1.4.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space, J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, and let $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ be a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators. We say that T is in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ if

$$\varphi(T_1,\ldots,T_n) = 0 \quad\text{for any}\quad \varphi\in J.$$

The *constrained Poisson kernel* associated with $T\in\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ is the operator

$$K_{f,T,J} : \mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})} \quad\text{defined by}\quad K_{f,T,J} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,T},$$

where $K_{f,T}$ is the Poisson kernel given by (1.20).

The next result provides a functional calculus for c.n.c. n-tuples of operators in noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 1.15. *Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, where J is a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Then the map*

$$\Psi_{f,T,J} : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad \Psi_{f,T,J}(g(B_1, \ldots, B_n)) := g(T_1, \ldots, T_n),$$

where $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is defined by the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus, has the following properties:

(i) *$\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is WOT-continuous (resp. SOT-continuous) on bounded sets;*
(ii) *$\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is a unital completely contractive homomorphism.*

Moreover, the Poisson transform $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is uniquely determined by the relation

$$\Psi_{f,T,J}(g(B_1, \ldots, B_n))K_{f,T,J}^* = K_{f,T,J}^*[g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}],$$

where $K_{f,T,J}$ is the constrained Poisson kernel associated with $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$.

In particular, if T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, then the Poisson transform

$$\Lambda_{f,T,J} : B(\mathcal{N}_J) \to B(\mathcal{H}), \quad \Lambda_{f,T,J}(X) := K_{f,T,J}^*(X \otimes I)K_{f,T,J}$$

is w^*-continuous and has the properties:

(iii) *$\Lambda_{f,T,J}(g(B_1, \ldots, B_n)) = g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ for any $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$;*
(iv) *$\Lambda_{f,T,J}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.*

PROOF. Note first the the map $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is well-defined. Indeed, let $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ and $\psi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ be in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and assume that $g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = \psi(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. Using the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus, we obtain

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J}(g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) - \psi(W_1, \ldots, W_n))|_{\mathcal{N}_J} = 0.$$

Due to Theorem 1.14, we have $g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) - \psi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in J$. On the other hand, since $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is a J-constrained n-tuple of operators, i.e., $\varphi(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0$ for $\varphi \in J$, we deduce that $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \psi(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, which proves our assertion.

The next step is to show that $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ satisfies and is uniquely determined by the equation

$$g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)K_{f,T,J}^* = K_{f,T,J}^*[g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}]$$

for any $g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$, where $K_{f,T,J}^*$ is the constrained Poisson kernel associated with $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$.

According to Theorem 1.12 (see relation (1.42)), we have

$$\langle(\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,T}h, 1 \otimes k\rangle = \langle K_{f,T}\varphi(T_1, \ldots, T_n)^*h, 1 \otimes k\rangle \tag{1.45}$$

for any $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that if $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in J$, then $\varphi(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0$, and relation (1.45) implies

$$\langle K_{f,T}h, \varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)(1) \otimes k\rangle = 0$$

for any $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. Taking into account the definition of $\mathcal{M}_J$, we deduce that $K_{f,T}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})}$. This implies

$$K_{f,T} = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,T} = K_{f,T,J}. \tag{1.46}$$

Since J is a left ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$ is invariant under each operator $W_1^*, \ldots, W_n^*$ and, therefore, $B_\alpha = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}W_\alpha|\mathcal{N}_J$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. On the other hand, since

$(B_1, \dots, B_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{N}_J)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, we can use the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus of Theorem 1.12 to deduce that

$$g(B_1, \dots, B_n) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} g(W_1, \dots, W_n)|\mathcal{N}_J \tag{1.47}$$

for any $g(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Taking into account relations (1.42), (1.46), and (1.47), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_{f,T,J} g(T_1, \dots, T_n)^* &= (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T} g(T_1, \dots, T_n)^* \\ &= (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) [g(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T} \\ &= \left[(P_{\mathcal{N}_J} g(W_1, \dots, W_n)|\mathcal{N}_J)^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right] K_{f,T,J} \\ &= [g(B_1, \dots, B_n)^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] K_{f,T,J}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$g(T_1, \dots, T_n) K_{f,T,J}^* = K_{f,T,J}^* [g(B_1, \dots, B_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] \tag{1.48}$$

for any $g(B_1, \dots, B_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$.

On the other hand, since $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is c.n.c. the Poisson kernel $K_{f,T} : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ is one-to-one (see Proposition 1.11). Since $K_{f,T}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})}$ and $K_{f,T,J} = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T}$, we deduce that the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J}$ is also one-to-one. Consequently, the range of $K_{f,T,J}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$ and the equation (1.48) uniquely determines the operator $g(T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

If $\varphi \in J$ and $g(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, then Theorem 1.12 implies

$$\|g(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| = \|(g + \varphi)(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \le \|g(W_1, \dots, W_n) + \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|.$$

Hence, and using now Theorem 1.14, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| &\le \operatorname{dist}(g(W_1, \dots, W_n), J) = \|P_{\mathcal{N}_J} g(W_1, \dots, W_n)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}\| \\ &= \|g(B_1, \dots, B_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is a completely contractive map.

To prove part (i), let $\{g_i(B_1, \dots, B_n)\}$ be a bounded net in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$ which is WOT (resp. SOT) convergent to an element $g(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ in the algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$. Then, due to standard facts in functional analysis, the net $g_i(B_1, \dots, B_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is WOT (resp. SOT) convergent to $g(B_1, \dots, B_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

On the other hand, as shown above, $\|g_i(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \le \|g_i(B_1, \dots, B_n)\|$ and, consequently, the net $\{g_i(T_1, \dots, T_n)\}$ is bounded. Now, one can use relation (1.48), the fact that the range of $K_{f,T,J}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, and a standard approximation argument to deduce that $g_i(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is WOT (resp. SOT) convergent to $g(T_1, \dots, T_n)$.

Le us prove part (ii). First, note that we have already proved that $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is a completely contractive map. Due to relation (1.48), the map $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is a homomorphism on polynomials in $B_1, \dots, B_n$ and the identity. Using the WOT-continuity of $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ and the WOT-density of the polynomials in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$, one can deduce that $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ is also a homomorphism on $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$.

Now, notice that if T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, then the Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J}$ is an isometry and, due to (1.48), we have

$$\Psi_{f,T,J}(g(B_1, \dots, B_n)) := K_{f,T,J}^* (g(B_1, \dots, B_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T,J}$$

for any $g(B_1, \dots, B_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$. The rest of the proof is now clear. □

THEOREM 1.16. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by some homogenous polynomials $q_1, \ldots q_d$, and let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ be an arbitrary n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., $q_j(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the constrained Poisson transform*

$$\Psi_{f,T,J} : \overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$\Psi_{f,T,J}(X) := \lim_{r \to 1} K_{f,rT,J}^*(X \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) K_{f,rT,J},$$

where the limit exists in the operator norm, has the following properties:

(i) $\Psi_{f,T,J}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$ *for any* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.
(ii) $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ *is a unital completely contractive linear map.*

If, in addition, T is a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$g(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} K_{f,rT,J}^*(g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) K_{f,rT,J}$$

for any $g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$, where $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is defined by the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus.

PROOF. As in the proof of Lemma 2.11 from [**86**], we can use the fact that J is generated by homogenous polynomials to show that $K_{f,rT}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and

$$K_{f,rT,J} g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n)^* = (g(B_1, \ldots, B_n)^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) K_{f,rT,J}$$

for any $0 < r < 1$ and $g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \in \mathcal{W}(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. Since rT is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,rT,J}$ is an isometry and, consequently,

$$g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = K_{f,rT,J}^*(g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) K_{f,rT,J}. \tag{1.49}$$

Using this relation, the proof of (i) and (ii) is similar to that of Theorem 1.4, we should omit it. If T is a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, then Theorem 1.12 shows that $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} g_r(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$. Using again relation 1.49, we deduce the last part of the theorem. $\square$

1.6. Weighted shifts, symmetric weighted Fock spaces, and multipliers

In this section we find the joint right spectrum of the weighted left creation operators $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and identify the w^*-continuous multiplicative linear functional of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. We introduce the symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and identify the algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ of all its multipliers, which turns out to be reflexive.

Let $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and define

$$\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \ \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1 \right\},$$

where $\lambda_\alpha := \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_m}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and λ_{g_0}=1.

Theorem 1.17. *Let* $(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ *(resp.* $(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)$*) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_f$*. The eigenvectors for* $W_1^*,\dots,W_n^*$ *(resp.* $\Lambda_1^*,\dots,\Lambda_n^*$*) are precisely the vectors*

$$z_\lambda := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\beta}\overline{\lambda}_\beta e_\beta \in F^2(H_n) \quad \text{for } \lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the coefficients b_β, $\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, *are defined by relation* (1.2)*. They satisfy the equations*

$$W_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda, \quad \Lambda_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda \qquad \text{for } i=1,\dots,n.$$

Each vector z_λ *is cyclic for* $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *and*

$$z_\lambda = \left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-1}(1),$$

where $\tilde\alpha$ *denotes the reverse of* α.

If $\lambda:=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n):=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ *is in the Hardy algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$*, then* $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta||\lambda_\beta|<\infty$ *and the map*

$$\Phi_\lambda : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\to\mathbb{C}, \qquad \Phi_\lambda(\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)):=\varphi(\lambda),$$

is w^**-continuous and multiplicative. Moreover,* $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* z_\lambda=\overline{\varphi(\lambda)}z_\lambda$ *and*

$$\varphi(\lambda)=\langle\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)1,z_\lambda\rangle=\langle\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)u_\lambda,u_\lambda\rangle,$$

where $u_\lambda:=\frac{z_\lambda}{\|z_\lambda\|}$.

Proof. First, notice that if $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, then λ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$. Using relation (1.21) in our particular case, we get

$$\left(1-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta|\lambda_\beta|^2\right)=1.$$

Consequently, the vector z_λ is in $F^2(H_n)$ and

$$\|z_\lambda\|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2}}.$$

Due to relation (1.7), we have

$$W_i^* e_\alpha=\begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha=g_i\gamma\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

A simple computation shows that $W_i^* z_\lambda=\overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. Similarly, one can use relation (1.12) to prove that $\Lambda_i^* z_\lambda=\overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ for $i=1,\dots,n$.

Conversely, let $z=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta\in F^2(H_n)$ and assume that $W_i^* z=\overline{\lambda}_i z$, $i=1,\dots,n$, for some n-tuple $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$. Using the definition of the weighted left creation operators $W_1,\dots,W_n$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha &= \langle z,e_\alpha\rangle=\left\langle z,\sqrt{b_\alpha}W_\alpha(1)\right\rangle\\ &=\sqrt{b_\alpha}\,\langle W_\alpha^* z,1\rangle=\sqrt{b_\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_\alpha\,\langle z,1\rangle\\ &=c_0\sqrt{b_\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, whence $z=a_0\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\sqrt{b_\beta}\lambda_\beta e_\beta$. Since $z\in F^2(H_n)$, we must have $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta|\lambda_\beta|^2<\infty$. On the other hand, relation (1.2) implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^m\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^j\leq\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta|\lambda_\beta|^2<\infty$$

for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Letting $m\to\infty$ in the relation above, we must have $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2<1$, whence $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. A similar result can be proved for the weighted right creation operators $\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n$ if one uses relation (1.12).

Since $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*$ is SOT-convergent (see Section 1.1) and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right\|&\leq\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*\right\|\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2}\\&\leq\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2}<1,\end{aligned}$$

the operator $\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-1}$ is well-defined. Due to the results of Section 1.1, we have

$$\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-1}=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta.$$

Hence, and using relation (1.12), we obtain

$$z_\lambda=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta(1)=\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-1}(1),$$

which implies that z_λ is a cyclic vector for $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Now, let us prove the last part of the theorem. Since $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ is in the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, we have $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}<\infty$ (see Section 1.3). As shown above, if $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, then $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta|\lambda_\beta|^2<\infty$. Applying Cauchy's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta||\lambda_\beta|\leq\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|c_\beta|^2\frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta|\lambda_\beta|^2\right)^{1/2}<\infty.$$

Note also that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)1,z_\lambda\rangle&=\left\langle\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}e_\beta,\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\sqrt{b_\beta}\lambda_\beta e_\beta\right\rangle\\&=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta\lambda_\beta=\varphi(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n).\end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have

$$\left\langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* z_\lambda, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} e_\beta \right\rangle = \langle z_\lambda, \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) W_\beta(1) \rangle$$
$$= \overline{\lambda_\beta \varphi(\lambda)} = \left\langle \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda, \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} e_\beta \right\rangle.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda. \tag{1.50}$$

One can easily see that

$$\langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) u_\lambda, u_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{\|z_\lambda\|^2} \langle z_\lambda, \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* z_\lambda \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\|z_\lambda\|^2} \left\langle z_\lambda, \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda \right\rangle = \varphi(\lambda).$$

The fact that the map Φ_λ is multiplicative and w^*-continuous is now obvious. This completes the proof. □

We recall that the joint right spectrum $\sigma_r(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of an n-tuple $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of operators in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all n-tuples $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of complex numbers such that the right ideal of $B(\mathcal{H})$ generated by the operators $\lambda_1 I - T_1, \dots, \lambda_n I - T_n$ does not contain the identity operator. We recall [**86**] that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \notin \sigma_r(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i I - T_i)(\overline{\lambda}_i I - T_i^*) \geq \delta I$.

Proposition 1.18. *If $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ are the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, then the right joint spectrum $\sigma_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ coincide with $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. Let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \sigma_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)$. Since the left ideal of $B(F^2(H_n))$ generated by the operators $W_1^* - \overline{\lambda}_1 I, \dots, W_n^* - \overline{\lambda}_n I$ does not contain the identity, there is a pure state φ on $B(F^2(H_n))$ such that $\varphi(X(W_i^* - \overline{\lambda}_i I)) = 0$ for any $X \in B(F^2(H_n))$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, we have $\varphi(W_i) = \lambda_i = \overline{\varphi(W_i^*)}$ and

$$\varphi(W_\alpha W_\alpha^*) = \overline{\lambda}_\alpha \varphi(W_\alpha) = |\lambda_\alpha|^2, \qquad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Hence, using relation (1.6), we infer that

$$\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 = \varphi\left(\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^* \right) \leq \left\| \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^* \right\|$$
$$\leq \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^* \right\| = 1$$

for any $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \leq 1$, which proves that $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$. Now, let $\mu := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathbb{C})$ and assume that there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|(W_i - \mu_i I)^* h\|^2 \geq \delta \|h\|^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad h \in F^2(H_n).$$

Taking $h = z_\lambda := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\beta}\lambda_\beta e_\beta$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, in the inequality above, and using Theorem 1.17, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_i|^2 \geq \delta \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}),$$

which is a contradiction. Due to the remarks preceding the theorem, we deduce that $\mu := (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in \sigma_r(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$, which completes the proof. ☐

Now, we define the symmetric weighted Fock space associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$.

We need a few definitions. For each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and each n-tuple $\mathbf{k} := (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 := \{0, 1, \ldots\}$, let $\lambda^{\mathbf{k}} := \lambda_1^{k_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{k_n}$. For each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, we denote

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ : \ \lambda_\alpha = \lambda^{\mathbf{k}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n\}.$$

For each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$, define the vector

$$w^{\mathbf{k}} := \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}} \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \sqrt{b_\alpha} e_\alpha \in F^2(H_n), \qquad \text{where } \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} := \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} b_\alpha$$

and the coefficients b_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are defined by relation (1.2). Note that the set $\{w^{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{N}_0^n\}$ consists of orthogonal vectors in $F^2(H_n)$ and $\|w^{\mathbf{k}}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}}}$. We denote by $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ the closed span of these vectors, and call it the symmetric weighted Fock space associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$.

Theorem 1.19. *Let $f = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let J_c be the w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by the commutators*

$$W_iW_j - W_jW_i, \qquad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f) = \overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\} = \mathcal{N}_{J_c} := F^2(H_n) \ominus \overline{J_c(1)}$.

(ii) *The symmetric weighted Fock space $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ can be identified with the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ of all functions $\varphi : \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ which admit a power series representation $\varphi(\lambda) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\mathbf{k}}\lambda^{\mathbf{k}}$ with*

$$\|\varphi\|_2 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}} < \infty.$$

More precisely, every element $\varphi = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ in $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ has a functional representation on $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\varphi(\lambda) := \langle \varphi, z_\lambda \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\mathbf{k}}\lambda^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$|\varphi(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_2}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2}}, \quad \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}).$$

(iii) *The mapping* $K_f : \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ *defined by*

$$K_f(\mu,\lambda) := \langle z_\lambda, z_\mu\rangle = \frac{1}{1-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha \mu_\alpha \overline{\lambda}_\alpha} \quad \text{for all} \ \ \lambda,\mu\in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$$

is positive definite.

Proof. First, notice that $z_\lambda = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} \overline{\lambda}^{\mathbf{k}} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$, $\lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, and therefore

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \ \lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\} \subseteq F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f).$$

Now, we prove that $w^{\mathbf{k}}\in\mathcal{N}_{J_c} := F^2(H_n)\ominus\overline{J_c(1)}$. Indeed, relation 1.7 implies

$$W_\gamma(W_jW_i - W_iW_j)W_\beta(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma g_j g_i \beta}}} e_{\gamma g_j g_i\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma g_i g_j \beta}}} e_{\gamma g_i g_j\beta}. \tag{1.51}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\left\langle \sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \sqrt{b_\alpha} e_\alpha, W_\gamma(W_jW_i - W_iW_j)W_\beta(1)\right\rangle = 0$$

for any $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$. This shows that $w^{\mathbf{k}}\in\mathcal{N}_{J_c}$ and proves our assertion. Consequently, $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)\subseteq\mathcal{N}_{J_c}$. To complete the proof of part (i), it is enough to show that

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \ \lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\} = \mathcal{N}_{J_c}.$$

Assume that there is a vector $x := \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta \in \mathcal{N}_{J_c}$ and $x\perp z_\lambda$ for all $\lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta, z_\lambda\right\rangle &= \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta \sqrt{b_\beta}\lambda_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} c_\beta\sqrt{b_\beta}\right)\lambda^{\mathbf{k}} = 0\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Since $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ contains an open ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} c_\beta\sqrt{b_\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ \ \mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n. \tag{1.52}$$

Fix $\beta_0\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ and let $\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ be such that β is obtained from β_0 by transposing just two generators. So we can assume that $\beta_0 = \gamma g_j g_i\omega$ and $\beta = \gamma g_i g_j \omega$ for some $\gamma,\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $i\neq j$, $i,j=1,\ldots,n$. Since $x\in\mathcal{N}_{J_c} = F^2(H_n)\ominus\overline{J_c(1)}$, we must have

$$\langle x, W_\gamma(W_jW_i - W_iW_j)W_\omega(1)\rangle = 0,$$

which implies $\frac{c_{\beta_0}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta_0}}} = \frac{c_\beta}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}$. Since any element $\gamma\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ can be obtained from β_0 by successive transpositions, repeating the above argument, we deduce that

$$\frac{c_{\beta_0}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta_0}}} = \frac{c_\gamma}{\sqrt{b_\gamma}} \quad \text{for all} \ \ \gamma\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Setting $t := \frac{c_{\beta_0}}{\sqrt{b_{\beta_0}}}$, we have $c_\gamma = t\sqrt{b_\gamma}$, $\gamma\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$, and relation (1.52) implies $t=0$ (remember that $b_\beta > 0$). Therefore, $c_\gamma = 0$ for any $\gamma\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$, so $x=0$. Consequently, we have $\overline{\text{span}}\{z_\lambda : \ \lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\} = \mathcal{N}_{J_c}$.

Now, let us prove part (ii) of the theorem. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle w^{\mathbf{k}}, z_\lambda\rangle &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}}\left\langle \sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} \sqrt{b_\beta}\, e_\beta, z_\lambda\right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}}\sum_{\beta\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}} b_\beta \lambda_\beta = \lambda^{\mathbf{k}}\end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n$. Hence, every element $\varphi=\sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ in $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ has a functional representation on $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\varphi(\lambda) := \langle \varphi, z_\lambda\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\mathbf{k}}\lambda^{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}),$$

and

$$|\varphi(\lambda)| \leq \|\varphi\|_2\|z_\lambda\| = \frac{\|\varphi\|_2}{\sqrt{1-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2}}.$$

We recall that $\|z_\lambda\|$ was calculated in the proof of Theorem 1.17. The identification of $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ is now clear.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.17, we deduce that

$$\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\right)^{-1} = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}\overline{\lambda}_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\beta$$

if $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Now, the $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus applied to the n-tuple $(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\cap\mathcal{D}_{\tilde f}^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and the equation above imply

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta\mu_\beta\overline{\lambda}_\beta = \left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha\mu_\alpha\overline{\lambda}_\alpha\right)^{-1}.$$

Since

$$K_f(\mu,\lambda) := \langle z_\lambda, z_\mu\rangle = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta\mu_\beta\overline{\lambda}_\beta,$$

the result in part (iii) follows. The proof is complete. □

Proposition 1.20. *Let J_c be the w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by the commutators*

$$W_iW_j - W_jW_i, \qquad i,j=1,\ldots,n.$$

Then the following statements hold:

(i) *J_c coincides with the w^*-closure of the commutator ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.*
(ii) *$\overline{J_c(1)}$ coincides with*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma g_j g_i\beta}}}e_{\gamma g_j g_i\beta} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\gamma g_i g_j\beta}}}e_{\gamma g_i g_j\beta}:\ \gamma,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, i,j=1,\ldots,n\right\}.$$

Proof. One inclusion is obvious. Since $W_iW_j - W_jW_i \in J_c$ and every permutation of k objects is a product of transpositions, it is clear that $W_\alpha W_\beta - W_\beta W_\alpha \in J_c$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently, $W_\gamma(W_\alpha W_\beta - W_\beta W_\alpha)W_\omega \in J_c$ for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since the polynomials in $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ are w^* dense in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ (see Corollary 1.13), item (i) follows. Part (ii) is obvious if one uses relation (1.51). $\square$

Theorem 1.21. *A map* $\varphi : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \to \mathbb{C}$ *is a* w^**-continuous multiplicative linear functional if and only if there exists* $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi_\lambda(A) := \langle Au_\lambda, u_\lambda \rangle, \quad A \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f),$$

where $u_\lambda := \frac{z_\lambda}{\|z_\lambda\|}$.

Proof. Note that $J := \ker \varphi$ is a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ of codimension one. The subspace $\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{J(1)}$ has codimension one and $\mathcal{M}_J + \mathbb{C}1 = F^2(H_n)$. Indeed, assume that there exists a vector $y \in (\mathcal{M}_J + \mathbb{C}1)^\perp$, $\|y\| = 1$. Then we can choose a polynomial $p := p(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that $\|p(1) - y\| < 1$. Since $p - \varphi(p)I \in \ker \varphi = J$, we have $p(1) - \varphi(p) \in \mathcal{M}_J$. Since $y \perp \mathcal{M}_J + \mathbb{C}1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \|y\| = \langle y - \varphi(p), y \rangle &\leq |\langle h - p(1), y \rangle| + |\langle p(1) - \varphi(p), y \rangle| \\ &= |\langle h - p(1), y \rangle| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, M_J is an invariant subspace under $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ and has codimension one. Due to Theorem 1.17, there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathcal{M}_J = \{z_\lambda\}^\perp$.

On the other hand, due to the same theorem the map $\varphi : F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\varphi_\lambda(A) := \langle Au_\lambda, u_\lambda \rangle$, $A \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, is a w^*-continuous multiplicative linear functional, and $\varphi_\lambda(A) = \langle A(1), z_\lambda \rangle$. Hence, $J := \ker \varphi \subseteq \ker \varphi_\lambda \subset F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Since both $\ker \varphi$ and $\ker \varphi_\lambda$ are w^*-closed two-sided maximal ideals of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ of codimension one, we must have $\ker \varphi = \ker \varphi_\lambda$. Therefore, $\varphi = \varphi_\lambda$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

Proposition 1.22. *Let* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ *be the* w^**-closed algebra generated by operators*

$$L_i := P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} W_i|_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n$$

and the identity, where $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ *are the weighted left creation operators associated with* $\mathcal{D}_f$. *Then the following statements hold:*

(i) $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c}) = P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)|_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}$;
(ii) $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ *can be identified with the algebra of all multipliers of the Hilbert space* $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. The first part of the proposition is a particular case of a result mentioned in the beginning of Section 1.5. To prove the last part, let $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $\varphi(L_1, \ldots, L_n) = P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} \varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)|_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}$. Since $z_\lambda \in F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$

for $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, and $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\varphi(L_1,\dots,L_n)\psi](\lambda) &= \langle \varphi(L_1,\dots,L_n)\psi, z_\lambda\rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)\psi, z_\lambda\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi, \varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* z_\lambda\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \psi, \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda\right\rangle = \varphi(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\psi \in F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the operators in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ are "analytic" multipliers of $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Moreover,

$$\|\varphi(L_1,\dots,L_n)\| = \sup\{\|\varphi f\|_2 : \ f \in F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f),\ \|f\| \le 1\}.$$

Conversely, suppose that $g = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{N}_0} c_{\mathbf{k}} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ is a bounded multiplier, i.e., $M_g \in B(F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f))$. As in [**37**] (see Lemma 1.1), using Cesaro means, one can find a sequence of polynomials $p_m = \sum c_{\mathbf{k}}^{(m)} w^{\mathbf{k}}$ such that M_{p_m} converges to M_g in the strong operator topology and, consequently, in the w^*-topology. The latter is due to the fact that a sequence of operators converges w^* if and only if it converges WOT. Since M_{p_m} is a polynomial in $L_1,\dots,L_n$, we conclude that $M_g \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$. In particular L_i is is the multiplier M_{λ_i} by the coordinate function. The proof is complete. □

If $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$ then the set of all invariant subspaces of A is denoted by Lat A. For any $\mathcal{U} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ we define

$$\text{Lat}\,\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{A\in\mathcal{U}} \text{Lat}\ A.$$

If $\mathcal{S}$ is any collection of subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, then we define Alg $\mathcal{S}$ by setting

$$\text{Alg}\,\mathcal{S} := \{A \in B(\mathcal{H}) : \ \mathcal{S} \subset \text{Lat}\ A\}.$$

We recall that the algebra $\mathcal{U} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ is reflexive if $\mathcal{U} = \text{Alg Lat}\,\mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 1.23. *The algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ is reflexive.*

Proof. Let $X \in B(F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f))$ be an operator that leaves invariant all the invariant subspaces under each operator $L_1,\dots,L_n$. Due to Theorem 1.17 and Proposition 1.22, we have $L_i^* z_\lambda = \overline{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and $i = 1,\dots,n$. Since X^* leaves invariant all the invariant subspaces under $L_1^*,\dots,L_n^*$, the vector z_λ must be an eigenvector for X^*. Consequently, there is a function $\varphi : \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $X^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Notice that, if $f \in F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$, then, due to Theorem 1.17, Xf has the functional representation

$$(Xf)(\lambda) = \langle Xf, z_\lambda\rangle = \langle f, X^* z_\lambda\rangle = \varphi(\lambda) f(\lambda) \quad \text{for all } \ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, if $f = 1$, then the the functional representation of $X(1)$ coincide with φ. Consequently, φ admits a power series representation on $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and can be identified with $X(1) \in F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Moreover, the equality above shows that $\varphi f \in H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ for any $f \in F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Applying Proposition 1.22, we deduce that $X = M_\varphi \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$. This completes the proof. □

We remark that, in the particular case when $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, we recover some of the results obtained by Arias and the author, Davidson and Pitts, and Arveson (see [**6**], [**74**], [**37**], and [**11**]), where some of the ideas originated.

CHAPTER 2

Free holomorphic functions on noncommutative domains

2.1. Free holomorphic functions and Poisson transforms

We introduce the algebra $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ of all free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ and prove a maximum principle. We identify the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with subalgebras of free holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}_f$. When p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial, we point out two Banach algebras of free holomorphic functions $H^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$ and $A(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$, which can be identified with the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_p)$, respectively. The elements of these algebras can be seen as boundary functions for noncommutative Poisson transforms on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ$.

A formal power series $g(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ is called free holomorphic function on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ if the series

$$g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} W_\alpha < \infty$$

is convergent in the operator norm topology for any $r \in [0,1)$, where $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. We remark that if $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, then our definition is equivalent to the one for free holomorphic function (see [**87**]) on the unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$

We denote by $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ the set of all free holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{D}_f$. Since $g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)$ in the noncommutative domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$, one can easily see that $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is an algebra.

Theorem 2.1. *A formal power series* $g(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ *is a free holomorphic function on* $\mathcal{D}_f$ *if and only if*

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} \right)^{1/2k} \leq 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where the coefficients b_β, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, *are given by relation* (1.2).

In particular, if $\mathcal{H}$ *is infinite dimensional and* $f = p := \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ *is a positive regular free polynomial, then the above condition is equivalent to the convergence in the operator norm of the series*

$$g(X_1,\ldots,X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha X_\alpha \qquad \text{for all } \ (X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ \left\| \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \right\| < 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Assume that relation (2.1) holds and let $0<r<\rho<1$. Then, we can find $m_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} < \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{2k} \qquad \text{for any } \ k\geq m_0.$$

Since $\left\| \sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* \right\| \leq 1$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta r^{|\beta|} W_\beta \right\| &\leq r^k \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* \right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^k\end{aligned}$$

for any $k=0,1,\ldots$. Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\| \sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta r^{|\beta|} W_\beta \right\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^k < \infty,$$

which shows that $g(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)$ is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f$. To prove the converse, assume that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2k} > \gamma > 1.$$

Then there is k as large as needed such that $\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} > \gamma^{2k}$. Fix r such that $\frac{1}{\gamma} < r < 1$ and notice that, using relation (1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta r^{|\beta|} W_\beta \right\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta r^{|\beta|} W_\beta(1) \right\| \\ &= r^k \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} > (r\gamma)^k.\end{aligned}$$

Since $r\gamma > 1$, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|=k} c_\beta r^{|\beta|} W_\beta$ is divergent and therefore $g(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n)$ is not a free holomorphic function.

Now, we prove the last part of the theorem. Assume that relation (2.1) holds and let $(X_1 \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$. Since p is a polynomial, $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$ is an open set in $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and consequently there exists $r \in (0,1)$ such that

$$(X_1 \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p,r}(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (Y_1 \ldots, Y_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left\| \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \frac{1}{r^{|\alpha|}} Y_\alpha Y_\alpha^* \right\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore, $(\frac{1}{r}X_1, \ldots, \frac{1}{r}X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and, due to Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha X_\alpha \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} W_\alpha \right\|.$$

Hence, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha X_\alpha \right\|$ is convergent. The converse follows taking into account that $(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(F^2(H_n))$ for $0 < r < 1$, and, since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} W_\alpha$ converges in the operator norm topology for any $r \in [0,1)$. The proof is complete. □

For $0 < r < 1$, we define $\mathcal{D}_{f,r} = \mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H}) \subset B(\mathcal{H})^n$ by setting

$$\mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left(\frac{1}{r} X_1, \ldots, \frac{1}{r} X_n \right) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) \right\}.$$

Notice that $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f$ if and only if $(rX_1, \ldots, rX_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,r}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $g(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ be a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f$.*

(i) *If $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$, then $\mathcal{D}_{f,r_1} \subset \mathcal{D}_{f,r_2} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$ and*
$$\|g(r_1 W_1, \ldots, r_1 W_n)\| \leq \|g(r_2 W_1, \ldots, r_2 W_n)\|.$$

(ii) *If $0 < r < 1$, then the map $G : \mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by*
$$G(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha X_\alpha, \qquad (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H}),$$
is continuous and $\|G(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\| \leq \|G(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\|$. Moreover, the series defining G converges uniformly on $\mathcal{D}_{f,r}$ in the operator norm topology.

Proof. Since $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r_2^{|\alpha|} W_\alpha$ is in the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$, Theorem 1.4 implies

$$\|\varphi(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| \leq \|\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \quad \text{for any } r \in [0,1).$$

Taking $r := \frac{r_1}{r_2}$, we complete the proof of part (i).

To prove (ii), notice that $g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{r}X_1,\dots,\frac{1}{r}X_n\right)\in\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. Using again Theorem 1.4, we obtain

$$\|G(X_1,\dots,X_n)\|=\left\|g_r\left(\frac{1}{r}X_1,\dots,\frac{1}{r}X_n\right)\right\|\le\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|=k}c_\alpha X_\alpha\right\|\le\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left\|\sum_{|\alpha|=k}c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|}W_\alpha\right\|.$$

Now, one can easily complete the proof. □

Now we can identify the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with a subalgebra of free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let* $G(Z_1,\dots,Z_n):=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ *be a free holomorphic function on the operatorial domain* $\mathcal{D}_f$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $g(W_1,\dots,W_n):=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}c_\alpha W_\alpha$ *is in the noncommutatice Hardy algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$*;*

(ii) $\sup_{0\le r<1}\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|<\infty$.

In this case, we have

$$\|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|=\sup_{0\le r<1}\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|=\lim_{r\to1}\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|. \tag{2.2}$$

PROOF. Assume (i) holds. Since $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, Theorem 1.12 implies

$$\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|=\|g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|\le\|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| \tag{2.3}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Therefore, (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). To prove that (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i), assume that (ii) holds. Consequently, due to relation (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}r^{2|\alpha|}|a_\alpha|^2\frac{1}{b_\alpha}&=\left\|\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}r^{|\alpha|}a_\alpha W_\alpha(1)\right\|\\&\le\sup_{0\le r<1}\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|<\infty\end{aligned}$$

for any $0\le r<1$. Hence, $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|a_\alpha|^2\frac{1}{b_\alpha}<\infty$, which implies that $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)p$ is in $F^2(H_n)$ for any polynomial $p\in F^2(H_n)$. Now assume that $g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\notin F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Due to the definition of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, given an arbitrary positive number M, there exists a polynomial $q\in F^2(H_n)$ with $\|q\|=1$ such that

$$\|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)q\|>M.$$

Since $\|g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)(1)-g(W_1,\dots,W_n)(1)\|\to0$ as $r\to1$, we have

$$\|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)q-g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)q\|\to0,\quad\text{as } r\to1.$$

Therefore, there is $r_0\in(0,1)$ such that $\|g_{r_0}(W_1,\dots,W_n)q\|>M$. Hence,

$$\|g_{r_0}(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|>M.$$

Since $M > 0$ is arbitrary, we deduce that

$$\sup_{0\leq r<1} \|g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\| = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Consequently, (i)$\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii).

We prove now the last part of the theorem. If $g(W_1,\dots,W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a polynomial $q \in F^2(H_n)$ with $\|q\| = 1$ such that

$$\|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)q\| > \|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| - \epsilon.$$

Due to Theorem 1.12, there is $r_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\|g_{r_0}(W_1,\dots,W_n)q\| > \|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| - \epsilon.$$

Using now relation (2.3), we deduce that

$$\sup_{0\leq r<1} \|g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\| = \|g(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|.$$

Due to Proposition 2.2, the function $[0,1) \ni r \to \|g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\| \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is increasing. Hence, and using the above equality, we complete the proof. $\square$

We can identify the domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with a subalgebra of $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let* $G := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ *be a free holomorphic function on the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_f$. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $g(W_1,\dots,W_n) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha W_\alpha$ *is in the noncommutative domain algebra* $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$*;*
(ii) $\{G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\}_{0\leq r<1}$ *is convergent in the operator norm as* $r \to 1$.

Proof. The implication (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) is due to Theorem 1.4. Conversely, assume item (ii) holds. Since $G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$, which is an operator algebra, there exists $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that

$$\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \lim_{r\to\infty} G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)$$

in the operator norm topology. On the other hand, due to Theorem 2.3, we deduce that $g(W_1,\dots,W_n) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n} a_\alpha W_\alpha$ is in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Since $g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n) = G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)$ for $0 \leq r < 1$, and

$$g(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n),$$

we conclude that $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n) = g(W_1,\dots,W_n)$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Define

$$\partial\mathcal{D}_f := \left\{(X_1,\dots,X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f : \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^*\right\| = 1\right\}.$$

Notice that $(rX_1,\dots,rX_n) \in \partial\mathcal{D}_{f,r}$ if and only if $(X_1,\dots,X_n) \in \partial\mathcal{D}_f$.

Here is our version of the maximum principle [**31**] for free holomorphic functions on noncommutative domains.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and let $r\in[0,1)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\max\{\|G(X_1,\dots,X_n)\|:\ (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathcal{D}_{f,r}\}&\\ &=\max\{\|G(X_1,\dots,X_n)\|:\ (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\partial\mathcal{D}_{f,r}\}\\ &=\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Due to Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\|G(X_1,\dots,X_n)\|\leq\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|\quad\text{for any}\quad (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathcal{D}_{f,r}. \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, there exists a subspace $\mathcal{K}\subset\mathcal{H}$ and a unitary operator $U:F^2(H_n)\to\mathcal{K}$. Define the operators

$$V_i:=\begin{pmatrix}UW_iU^* & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix},\quad i=1,\dots,n,$$

with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{K}\oplus\mathcal{K}^\perp$, where $W_1,\dots,W_n$ are the weighted left creation operators associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$. Notice that $(rV_1,\dots,rV_n)\in\partial\mathcal{D}_{f,r}$ and

$$G(rV_1,\dots,rV_n)=\begin{pmatrix}UG(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)U^* & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|G(rV_1,\dots,rV_n)\|=\|G(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|.$$

Hence, and using inequality (2.4), we can complete the proof. $\square$

Let us consider the particular case when f is equal to a positive regular polynomial $p:=\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m}a_\alpha X_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. According to Theorem 2.1, the algebra $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ of all free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p$ can be identified with the set all functions $G:\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$ of the form

$$G(X_1,\dots,X_n)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}c_\alpha X_\alpha,\quad (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H}),$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Let $H^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$ denote the set of all elements G in $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that

$$\|G\|_\infty:=\sup\|G(X_1,\dots,X_n)\|<\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all n-tuples $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$. We denote by $A(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$ be the set of all elements G in $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that the mapping

$$\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})\ni(X_1,\dots,X_n)\mapsto G(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})$$

has a continuous extension to $\mathcal{D}_p$. Using the results of this section, one can easily prove that $H^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$ and $A(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ)$ are Banach algebras under pointwise multiplication and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, which can be identified with the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and the noncommutative domain algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_p)$, respectively.

Given an operator $A\in B(F^2(H_n))$, the noncommutative Poisson transform associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p$ generates a function

$$P[A]:\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})\to B(\mathcal{H})$$

by setting

$$P[A](X_1,\ldots,X_n) := \Psi_{f,X}(A) \quad \text{for any } \; X := (X_1,\ldots,X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\Psi_{f,X}(A) := K_{f,X}^*(A \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_{f,X}$ and $K_{f,T}$ is the Poisson kernel associated with f and $X \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$ (see Section 1.2).

Using some of the results from Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.12, Theorem 2.3, and Theorem 2.4, we can provide classes of operators $A \in B(F^2(H_n))$ such that the mapping $P[A]$ is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, the operator A can be seen as the boundary function of the Poisson transform $P[A]$. The following theorem can be easily deduced from the above-mentioned results.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and u be a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$, where p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial. Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *There exists $f \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ with $u = P[f]$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{0\le r<1} \|u(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\| < \infty.$$

In this case, $u(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n) \to f$, as $r \to 1$, in the w^*-topology (or strong operator topology).

(ii) *There is $f \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_p)$ with $u = P[f]$ if and only if $\{u(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\}_{0\le r<1}$ is convergent in norm, as $r \to 1$. In this case, $u(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n) \to f$ in the operator norm, as $r \to 1$.*

2.2. Schwarz lemma and Bohr's inequality for $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$

In this section we obtain versions of Schwarz lemma [**31**] and Bohr's inequality [**28**] for the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

First, we prove an analogue of Schwarz lemma from complex analysis, in our multivariable noncommutative setting.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $G = G(W_1,\ldots,W_n) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha W_\alpha$ be in the noncommutative Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with the properties $G(0) = 0$, $\|G\| \le 1$, and such that*

$$M := \sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ i=1,\ldots,n}} \left\{\sqrt{\frac{b_{g_i\alpha}}{b_\alpha}}\right\} < \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

where the coefficients b_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are given by (1.2). Then, for any c.n.c. n-tuple of operators $(X_1,\ldots,X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\|G(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\| \le M\|[X_1,\ldots,X_n]\|.$$

Proof. For each $i = 1,\ldots,n$, define the formal power series

$$\Phi_i(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} a_{g_i\alpha} Z_\alpha.$$

Since

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |a_{g_i\alpha}|^2 \le \sum_{|\gamma|=k+1} |a_\gamma|^2, \quad i = 1,\ldots,n,$$

we deduce that

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}|a_{g_i\alpha}|^2\right)^{1/2k}\leq\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(\sum_{|\gamma|=k+1}|a_\gamma|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2(k+1)}}.$$

Consequently, due to Theorem 2.1, Φ_i represents a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f$. Since $G(0)=0$, we have

$$G(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)=\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}a_{g_i\alpha}Z_\alpha\right)=\sum_{i=1}^n Z_i\Phi_i(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)$$

and

$$G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)=\sum_{i=1}^n W_i\Phi_i(W_1,\ldots,W_n). \tag{2.6}$$

According to Section 1.1, we have $W_i=S_iD_i$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, where $S_1,\ldots,S_n$ are the left creation operators on $F^2(H_n)$ and $D_1,\ldots,D_n$ are the diagonal operators defined by

$$D_ie_\alpha:=\sqrt{\frac{b_\alpha}{b_{g_i\alpha}}}e_\alpha,\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

The condition (2.5) shows that the positive diagonal operator D_i is invertible and $\max\limits_{i=1,\ldots,n}\|D_i^{-1}\|=M$. Since $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ have orthogonal ranges, we deduce that

$$G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^*G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\geq\frac{1}{M^2}\sum_{i=1}^n\Phi_i(W_1,\ldots,W_n)^*\Phi_i(W_1,\ldots,W_n). \tag{2.7}$$

Now, due to Theorem 1.12, we deduce that

$$\left\|\begin{bmatrix}\Phi_1(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\\ \vdots\\ \Phi_n(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\end{bmatrix}\right\|\leq\left\|\begin{bmatrix}\Phi_1(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\\ \vdots\\ \Phi_n(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\end{bmatrix}\right\| \tag{2.8}$$

for any c.n.c n-tuple of operators $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. Consequently, using relations (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \Phi_i(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \right\| \\
&\leq \|[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1} X_i X_i^* \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* \Phi_i(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \right\|^{1/2} \\
&\leq M \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^* \right\|^{1/2} \|G(W_1, \ldots, W_n)^* G(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \\
&\leq M \left\| \sum_{i=1} X_i X_i^* \right\|^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|G(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\| \leq M \|[X_1, \ldots, X_n]\| \quad \text{for any } (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}),$$

which completes the proof. □

Notice that, in particular, if the domain $\mathcal{D}_p$ is generated by the polynomial $p = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, then $M = 1$.

Let $f(z) := \sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ be an analytic function on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$. Bohr's inequality [**28**] asserts that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k |a_k| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

The fact that $\frac{1}{3}$ is the best possible constant was obtained independently by M. Riesz, Schur, and Wiener. In recent years, multivariable analogues of Bohr's inequality were considered by several authors (see [**4**], [**27**], [**40**], [**64**], and [**88**]).

In what follows we extend Bohr's inequality to the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. First, let us recall the following result from [**64**].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces, let A be a bounded operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$, and let z be a complex number. Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} zI_\mathcal{H} & 0 \\ A & zI_\mathcal{K} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a contraction if and only if $\|A\| \leq 1 - |z|^2$.

We can use this result to prove a Wiener type inequality for the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Theorem 2.9. *If* $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ *is in the noncommutative Hardy algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *and* $\|\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| \le 1$*, then*

$$\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} \le 1 - |c_0|^2$$

for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the subspace spanned by the vectors 1 and $\{e_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=k}$. Due to the definition of the weighted left creation operators $W_1,\dots,W_n$ (see (1.7)), we have

$$\langle P_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)e_\alpha, 1\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |\alpha| = k\\ c_0 & \text{if } \alpha = g_0\end{cases}$$

and

$$\langle P_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)1, e_\alpha\rangle = \frac{c_\alpha}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} \quad \text{if } |\alpha| = k.$$

Therefore the operator $P_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a contraction and its matrix with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{1, \{e_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=k}\}$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_0 & 0\\ A & c_0 I_{n^k}\end{bmatrix},$$

where A is the column matrix with entries $\frac{c_\alpha}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}$, $|\alpha| = k$. Now, applying Lemma 2.8, we complete the proof. $\square$

We recall that, for each $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{(X_1,\dots,X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left(\frac{1}{r}X_1,\dots,\frac{1}{r}X_n\right) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})\right\}.$$

Now, we can prove the following.

Theorem 2.10. *Let* $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ *be in the noncommutative Hardy algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *and such that* $\|\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)\| \le 1$*. If* $(T_1,\dots,T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H})$*, where* $0 \le r < 1$*, then*

$$\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| T_\beta\right\| \le |c_0| + (1 - |c_0|^2)\frac{r}{1-r}.$$

Proof. Due to the results of Section 2.1, since $\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta| r^{|\beta|} W_\beta\right\| < \infty$. Therefore, the series $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| r^{|\beta|} W_\beta$ converges in the operator norm for $0 \le r < 1$ and it is in the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Since $\left(\frac{1}{r}T_1,\dots,\frac{1}{r}T_n\right) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, Proposition 2.2, part(ii), implies

$$\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| T_\beta\right\| \le \left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| r^{|\beta|} W_\beta\right\|.$$

On the other hand, according to Section 1.1, the operators $\{W_\beta\}_{|\beta|=k}$ have orthogonal ranges and $\|W_\beta\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently, $\left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\| \le 1$ for any

$k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Hence, and using the fact that $\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} < \infty$, which is due to the fact that $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| r^{|\beta|} W_\beta\right\| &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta| W_\beta\right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} b_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\right\|^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\|f(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \leq 1$, we can use the Wiener type inequality of Theorem 2.9 and combine it with the inequalities above to complete the proof. $\square$

Our version of Bohr's inequality for the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is the following.

THEOREM 2.11. *If* $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta W_\beta$ *is in* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$*, then*

$$\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| T_\beta\right\| \leq \|\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\|$$

for any $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,1/3}(\mathcal{H})$*. In particular, if* $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,1/3}(\mathbb{C})$*, then*

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta||\lambda_\beta| \leq \|\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\|$$

for any $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$*.*

Moreover the inequalities above are strict unless $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ *is a multiple of the identity.*

PROOF. Let $s \in (0, 1/3)$ and assume $\|\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| = 1$. Using the inequality from Theorem 2.10, we have

$$\left\|\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta| T_\beta\right\| \leq |c_0| + (1 - |c_0|^2)\frac{s}{1-s} \leq |c_0| + \frac{1 - |c_0|^2}{2} \leq 1,$$

for any $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,1/3}(\mathcal{H})$ and $s \in (0, 1/3)$. To prove the last part of the theorem, assume that we have equality in the inequality above and $\|\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| = 1$. Then,

$$|c_0| + \frac{1 - |c_0|^2}{2} = 1.$$

Hence, we deduce that $|c_0| = 1$. Since

$$\|\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)(1)\|^2 = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} \leq 1,$$

we must have $c_\beta = 0$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\beta| \geq 1$. Therefore $\varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is a multiple of the identity.

□

Whether 1/3 is the best possible constant in Theorem 2.11 remains an open problem. The answer is affirmative in the particular case when $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ (see [**64**]). We remark that a commutative version of Bohr's inequality for holomorphic functions on the Reinhardt domain $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ will be presented at the end of Section 2.4.

2.3. Weierstrass and Montel theorems for the algebra $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$

In this section, we obtain Weierstrass and Montel type theorems for the algebra of free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. This enables us to introduce a metric on $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ with respect to which it becomes a complete metric space.

The first result of this section is a multivariable operatorial version of Weierstrass theorem [**31**].

THEOREM 2.12. *Let* $\{G_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *be a sequence of free holomorphic functions such that, for each* $r \in [0,1)$*, the sequence* $\{G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ *is convergent in the operator norm topology. Then there is a free holomorphic function* $G \in Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *such that* $G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)$ *converges to* $G(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)$ *for any* $r \in [0,1)$.

PROOF. Let $G_m := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha^{(m)} Z_\alpha$ and fix $r \in (0,1)$. Since

$$G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|} a_\alpha^{(m)} W_\alpha$$

is in the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and the sequence of operators $\{G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is convergent in the operator norm of $B(F^2(H_n))$, there exists $G \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that

$$G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n) \to G(W_1,\ldots,W_n), \quad \text{as } m \to \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} d_\alpha(r) W_\alpha$, $d_\alpha(r) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, be the Fourier representation of G. Since $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ have orthogonal ranges and using (1.7), we have

$$\frac{d_\alpha(r)}{b_\alpha} = \langle W_\alpha^* G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)(1), 1\rangle, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{2.10}$$

If $\lambda_{(\beta)} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\beta| = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\left\langle \sum_{|\beta|=k} \lambda_{(\beta)} W_\beta^* (G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n) - G(W_1,\ldots,W_n))1, 1\right\rangle\right| \\ &\qquad \le \|G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n) - G(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\| \left\|\sum_{|\beta|=k} \lambda_{(\beta)} W_\beta^*\right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (2.10) and the fact that $W_1, \dots, W_n$ have orthogonal ranges and $\|W_\beta\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta}(r^k a_\beta^{(m)} - d_\beta(r))\lambda_{(\beta)} \right|$$
$$\leq \|G_m(rW_1, \dots, rW_n) - G(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |\lambda_{(\beta)}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

for any $\lambda_{(\beta)} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\beta| = k$. Consequently,

$$\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |r^k a_\beta^{(m)} - d_\beta(r)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|G_m(rW_1, \dots, rW_n) - G(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|$$

for any $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Now, since $\|G_m(rW_1, \dots, rW_n) - G(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| \to 0$, as $m \to \infty$, the inequality above implies $r^k a_\beta^{(m)} \to d_\beta(r)$, as $m \to \infty$, for any $|\beta| = k$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Therefore, $a_\beta := \lim_{m\to\infty} a_\beta^{(m)}$ exists and $d_\beta(r) = r^k a_\beta$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\beta| = k$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Define the formal power series $F := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha Z_\alpha$ and let us prove that F is a free holomorphic function on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. The calculations above show that, for each $r \in [0, 1)$,

$$r^k \left| \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta^{(m)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right|$$
$$\leq \|G_m(rW_1, \dots, rW_n) - G(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|.$$

This shows that

$$\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta^{(m)}|^2 \to \sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta|^2, \quad \text{as } m \to \infty, \tag{2.11}$$

uniformly with respect to $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Assume now that $\gamma > 1$ and

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta|^2 \right)^{1/2k} > \gamma.$$

Then, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as large as needed such that

$$\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta|^2 \right)^{1/2} > \gamma^k. \tag{2.12}$$

Let λ be such that $1 < \lambda < \gamma$ and let $\epsilon > 0$ be with the property that $\epsilon < \gamma - \lambda$. It is clear that $\epsilon < \gamma^k - \lambda^k$ for any $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Now, the convergence in (2.11) implies that there exists $K_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta^{(m)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| < \epsilon$$

for any $m > K_\epsilon$ and any $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Hence, and due to (2.12), we have

$$\left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta^{(m)}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \gamma^k - \epsilon > \lambda^k$$

for any $m > K_\epsilon$ and some k as large needed. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta^{(m)}|^2 \right)^{1/2k} \geq \lambda > 1$$

for $m \geq K_\epsilon$. This shows that G_m is not a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f$ (see Theorem 2.1), which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(\sum_{|\beta|=k} \frac{1}{b_\beta} |a_\beta|^2 \right)^{1/2k} \leq 1$$

and, due to Theorem 2.1, F is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_f$. Consequently, $F(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} r^{|\alpha|} a_\alpha W_\alpha$ is convergent in the operator norm topology. Since G and $F(rW_1, \ldots, rG_n)$ have the same Fourier coefficients, we must have $G = F(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)$. Due to relation (2.9), for each $r \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\|G_m(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) - F(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| \to 0, \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

The proof is complete. □

We say that a set $\mathcal{G} \subset Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is normal if each sequence $\{G_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{G}$ has a subsequence $\{G_{m_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which converges to an element $G \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e., for any $r \in [0, 1)$,

$$\|G_{m_k}(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) - G(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

The set $\mathcal{G}$ is called locally bounded if, for any $r \in [0, 1)$, there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|f(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| \leq M$ for any $f \in \mathcal{G}$.

An important consequence of Theorem 2.3 is the following noncommutative version of Montel theorem (see [**31**]). Since the proof is similar to that from [**87**], we shall omit it.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{G} \subset Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a family of free holomorphic functions. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathcal{F}$ is a normal set.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{F}$ is locally bounded.*

If $\varphi, \psi \in Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $0 < r < 1$, we define

$$d_r(\varphi, \psi) := \|\varphi(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n) - \psi(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\|.$$

Due to the maximum principle of Theorem 2.5, if $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, then

$$d_r(\varphi, \psi) = \sup_{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{f,r}(\mathcal{H})} \|\varphi(X_1, \ldots, X_n) - \psi(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\|.$$

Let $0 < r_m < 1$ be such that $\{r_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence convergent to 1. For any $\varphi, \psi \in Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$, we define

$$\rho(\varphi, \psi) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m \frac{d_{r_m}(\varphi, \psi)}{1 + d_{r_m}(\varphi, \psi)}.$$

Using standards arguments, one can show that ρ is a metric on $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Theorem 2.14. *$(Hol(\mathcal{D}_f), \rho)$ is a complete metric space.*

Proof. First, notice that if $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $\delta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $\varphi, \psi \in Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$, $d_{r_m}(\varphi, \psi) < \delta \implies \rho(\varphi, \psi) < \epsilon$. Conversely, if $\delta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ are fixed, then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for any $\varphi, \psi \in Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$, $\rho(\varphi, \psi) < \epsilon \implies d_{r_m}(\varphi, \psi) < \delta$.

Now, let $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a Cauchy sequence in the metric ρ. An immediate consequence of the above observation is that $\{g_k(r_m W_1, \ldots, r_m W_n)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $B(F^2(H_n))$, for any $m = 1, 2, \ldots$. Consequently, for each $m = 1, 2 \ldots$, the sequence $\{g_k(r_m W_1, \ldots, r_m W_n)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is convergent in the operator norm of $B(F^2(H_n))$. According to Theorem 2.3, there is a free holomorphic function $g \in Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that $g_k(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)$ converges to $g(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Using again the observation made at the beginning of this proof, we deduce that $\rho(g_k, g) \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$, which completes the proof. $\square$

We remark that, Theorem 2.13 implies the following compactness criterion for subsets of $Hol(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Corollary 2.15. *A subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $(Hol(\mathcal{D}_f), \rho)$ is compact if and only if it is closed and locally bounded.*

2.4. Cauchy transforms and analytic functional calculus for n-tuples of operators

In this section, we define a Cauchy transform $\mathcal{C}_{f,T}$ associated with any positive regular free holomorphic function f on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and any n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ with joint spectral radius $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$.

This is used to provide a free analytic functional calculus for such n-tuples of operators. In particular, if p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial, we prove that

$$g(T_1, \ldots, T_n) = \mathcal{C}_{p,T}(g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)), \quad g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p),$$

where $g(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is defined by the free analytic functional calculus associated with the domain $\mathcal{D}_p$. In the last part of this section we obtain an analytic functional calculus and Bohr type inequalities in the commutative case.

Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, be a positive regular free holomorphic on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. For any n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ such that $\left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* \right\| < \infty$, we define the joint spectral radius of T with respect to the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$ to be

$$r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) := \lim_{m \to \infty} \|\Phi_{f,T}^m(I)\|^{1/2m},$$

where the positive linear map $\Phi_{f,T}: B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$\Phi_{f,T}(X) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha X T_\alpha^*, \quad X \in B(\mathcal{K}),$$

and the convergence is in the week operator topology. In the particular case when $f := X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, we obtain the usual definition of the joint operator radius for n-tuples of operators.

Since $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha^*$ is SOT convergent (see Section 1.1), one can easily see that the series $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*$ is SOT-convergent in $B(F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H})$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha^* \right\| \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} T_{\tilde{\alpha}} T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right\|.$$

We call the operator

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*$$

the *reconstruction operator* associated with the n-tuple $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ and the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. Notice also that

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right)^m \right\| \leq \left\| \Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}^m(I) \right\|^{1/2} \left\| \Phi_{f,T}^m(I) \right\|^{1/2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\tilde{f} := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha$ and $\Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}(Y) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha Y \Lambda_\alpha^*$. Hence, we deduce that

$$r\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right) \leq r_{\tilde{f}}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n), \tag{2.14}$$

where $r(A)$ denotes the usual spectral radius of an operator A. Due to the results of Section 1.1, we have $\left\| \Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}(I) \right\| \leq 1$, which implies $r_{\tilde{f}}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) \leq 1$. Consequently, we have

$$r\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right) \leq r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n). \tag{2.15}$$

Assume now that $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is an n-tuple of operators with $r_f(T_1, \ldots, T_n) < 1$. We introduce the *Cauchy kernel* associated with T to be the operator

$$C_{f,T}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) := \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* \right)^{-1}, \tag{2.16}$$

which is well-defined due to relation (2.15). Notice that

$$\tilde{f}(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n) = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*$$

where $\tilde{f}(Y_1,\dots,Y_n) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} Y_\alpha$ and $Y_i := \Lambda_i \otimes T_i^*$, $i = 1,\dots,n$. Due to inequality (2.15), we have $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \|\tilde{f}(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)^k\| < \infty$ and, therefore,

$$C_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \tilde{f}(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)^k, \tag{2.17}$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology.

We remark that $C_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)$ is in $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{H})$, the WOT-closed operator algebra generated by the spatial tensor product. Moreover, due to the results of Section 1.1 and Section 1.3, its Fourier representation is

$$C_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n) = \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} (\Lambda_\beta \otimes b_{\tilde{\beta}} T_{\tilde{\beta}}^*), \tag{2.18}$$

where the coefficients b_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ are given by relation (1.2). In the particular case when f is a polynomial, the Cauchy kernel is in $\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{H})$.

In what follows we also use the notation $C_{f,T} := C_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)$. Here are a few properties of the noncommutative Cauchy kernel.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $T := (T_1,\dots,T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be an n-tuple of operators with joint spectral radius $r_f(T_1,\dots,T_n) < 1$. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) $\|C_{f,T}\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\|\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)\right\|^{1/2}$,

(ii) $C_{f,T} - C_{f,X} = C_{f,T}\left[\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes (T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^* - X_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*)\right] C_{f,X}$, *and*

$$\|C_{f,T} - C_{f,X}\| \leq \|C_{f,T}\|\|C_{f,X}\| \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha (T_\alpha - X_\alpha)(T_\alpha - X_\alpha)^*\right\|^{1/2}$$

for any n-tuple of operators $X := (X_1,\dots,X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ with joint spectral radius $r_f(X_1,\dots,X_n) < 1$.

Proof. Since $\|\Phi_{\tilde{f},\Lambda}(I)\| \leq 1$, relation (2.13) implies

$$\|C_{f,T}\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\|\tilde{f}(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)^k\right\| = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\|\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)\right\|^{1/2}.$$

To prove (ii), notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_{f,T} - C_{f,X}\\
&= \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes T^*_{\tilde\alpha}\right)^{-1} \left[I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes X^*_{\tilde\alpha} - \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes T^*_{\tilde\alpha}\right)\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes X^*_{\tilde\alpha}\right)^{-1}\\
&= C_{f,T}\left[\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes (T^*_{\tilde\alpha} - X^*_{\tilde\alpha})\right] C_{f,X},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_{f,T} - C_{f,X}\| &\leq \|C_{f,T}\|\|C_{f,X}\| \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes (T^*_{\tilde\alpha} - X^*_{\tilde\alpha})\right\|\\
&\leq \|C_{f,T}\|\|C_{f,X}\| \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\alpha}(T_\alpha - X_\alpha)(T^*_{\alpha} - X^*_{\alpha})\right\|^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

We remark that, in particular, if the n-tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}^\circ_f(\mathcal{H})$, then $\|C_{f,T}\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\|^{1/2}}$. Indeed, in this case, we have $\|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\| < 1$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty \left\|\Phi^k_{f,T}(I)\right\|^{1/2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\|^{k/2} = \frac{1}{1-\|\Phi_{f,T}(I)\|^{1/2}}.$$

Now, the assertion follows from part (i) of Proposition 2.16.

Given an n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ with joint spectral radius $r_f(T_1, \dots, T_n) < 1$, we define the *Cauchy transform* at T to be the mapping

$$\mathcal{C}_{f,T} : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{f,T}(A)x, y\rangle := \langle (A \otimes I_\mathcal{H})(1 \otimes x), C_{f,T}(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)(1 \otimes y)\rangle$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, where $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ are the weighted right creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. The operator $\mathcal{C}_{f,T}(A)$ is called the Cauchy transform of A at T.

Now, we provide a *free analytic functional calculus* for n-tuples of operators with joint spectral radius $r_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) < 1$, which now turns out to be continuous and unique.

Theorem 2.17. *Let p be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be an n-tuple of operators with joint spectral radius*

$r_p(T_1,\dots,T_n)<1$. If $g:=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ is a free holomorphic function on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$, then the series

$$g(T_1,\dots,T_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha T_\alpha$$

is convergent in the operator norm of $B(\mathcal{H})$ and the mapping

$$\Psi_{p,T}:Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)\to B(\mathcal{H})\quad \text{defined by}\quad \Psi_{p,T}(g):=g(T_1,\dots,T_n)$$

is a continuous unital algebra homomorphism. Moreover, the free analytic functional calculus is uniquely determined by the mapping

$$Z_i\mapsto T_i,\qquad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Proof. Let $T:=(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be such that $r_p(T_1,\dots,T_n)<1$. Using relations (2.18), (1.7), (1.12), and the definition of the reconstruction operator, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,T}(W_\alpha)x,y\rangle &= \langle (W_\alpha\otimes I_\mathcal{H})(1\otimes x), C_{p,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)(1\otimes y)\rangle\\ &=\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}e_\alpha\otimes x, \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde\beta}(\Lambda_\beta\otimes T_{\tilde\beta}^*)(1\otimes y)\right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}e_\alpha\otimes x, \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_{\tilde\beta}}e_{\tilde\beta}\otimes T_{\tilde\beta}^*y\right\rangle\\ &=\langle T_\alpha x,y\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for any $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$. Hence we deduce that, for any polynomial $q(W_1,\dots,W_n)$,

$$\langle q(T_1,\dots,T_n)x,y\rangle=\langle (q(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_\mathcal{H})(1\otimes x), C_{p,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)(1\otimes y)\rangle$$

and, therefore,

$$\|q(T_1,\dots,T_n)\|\le \|q(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|\|C_{p,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\| \tag{2.19}$$

for any polynomial $q(W_1,\dots,W_n)$. Since $g:=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p$, the series $g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|}W_\alpha$ converges in the operator norm topology. Now, using (2.19), we deduce that $g_r(T_1,\dots,T_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k} c_\alpha r^{|\alpha|}T_\alpha$ converges in the operator norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\|g_r(T_1,\dots,T_n)\|\le \|g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|\|C_{p,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\|, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle g_r(T_1,\dots,T_n)x,y\rangle\\ &\qquad=\langle (g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_\mathcal{H})(1\otimes x), C_{p,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)(1\otimes y)\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

for any $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$. Now, we need to prove that if $r_p(T_1,\dots,T_n)<1$, then there exists a constant $t>1$ such that $r_p(tT_1,\dots,tT_n)<1$. Indeed, notice first that if

$p(T_1,\dots,T_n)=\sum\limits_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha T_\alpha$, then

$$r_p(T_1,\dots,T_n)=r\left(\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} V_{(\alpha)}\otimes\sqrt{a_\alpha}T_\alpha^*\right),$$

where $\{V_{(\alpha)}\}_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m}$ is any sequence of isometries with orthogonal ranges. Since the spectrum of an operator is upper semi-continuous, so is the spectral radius. Consequently, for any $\delta>0$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that if

$$\|[T_1-Y_1,\dots,T_n-Y_n]\|<\epsilon, \quad \text{then} \quad r_p(Y_1,\dots,Y_n)<r_p(T_1,\dots,T_n)+\delta.$$

Since $r_p(T_1,\dots,T_n)<1$, we deduce that there exists a constant $t>1$ such that $r_p(tT_1,\dots,tT_n)<1$. Applying relation (2.20) and (2.21) when $r:=\frac{1}{t}$ and T_i is replaced by tT_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, we deduce that

$$g(T_1,\dots,T_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}c_\alpha T_\alpha$$

is convergent in the operator norm of $B(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle g(T_1,\dots,T_n)x,y\rangle\\ &\quad=\left\langle(g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(1\otimes x), C_{p,\frac{1}{r}X}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)(1\otimes y)\right\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{2.22}$$

for any $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$. Hence , we have

$$\|g(T_1,\dots,T_n)\|\leq\|g_r(W_1,\dots,W_n)\|\|C_{p,\frac{1}{r}T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\|.\tag{2.23}$$

Now, to prove the continuity of $\Psi_{p,T}$, let g_m and g be in $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $g_m\to g$, as $m\to\infty$, in the metric ρ of $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$. This is equivalent to the fact that, for each $r\in[0,1)$,

$$g_m(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\to g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n), \quad \text{as } m\to\infty,\tag{2.24}$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm of $B(F^2(H_n))$. According to (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|g_m(T_1,\dots,T_n)-g(T_1,\dots,T_n)\|\\ &\quad\leq\|g_m(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)-g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)\|\|C_{p,\frac{1}{r}T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.24), we deduce that

$$\|g_m(T_1,\dots,T_n)-g(T_1,\dots,T_n)\|\to 0, \quad \text{as } m\to\infty.\tag{2.25}$$

which proves the continuity of $\Psi_{p,T}$.

To prove the uniqueness of the free analytic functional calculus, assume that $\Phi: Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a continuous unital algebra homomorphism such that $\Phi(Z_i)=T_i$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\Psi_{p,T}(q(Z_1,\dots,Z_n))=\Phi(q(Z_1,\dots,Z_n))\tag{2.26}$$

for any polynomial $q(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)$ in $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$. Let $g=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}a_\alpha Z_\alpha$ be an element in $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and let $q_m:=\sum_{k=0}^m\sum_{|\alpha|=k}a_\alpha Z_\alpha$, $m=1,2,\dots$. Since

$$g(rW_1,\dots,rW_n)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}r^k a_\alpha W_\alpha$$

and the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k \left\|\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha W_\alpha\right\|$ converges, we deduce that

$$q_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\to g(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)$$

in the operator norm, as $m\to\infty$. Therefore, $q_m\to g$ in the metric ρ of $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$. Hence, using (2.26) and the continuity of Φ and $\Psi_{p,T}$, we deduce that $\Phi=\Psi_{p,T}$. This completes the proof. ☐

Corollary 2.18. *If $(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is an n-tuple of operators with $r_p(T_1,\ldots,T_n)<1$ and $g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$, then*

$$g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)=\mathcal{C}_{p,T}(g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)),$$

where $g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ is defined by the free analytic functional calculus.

Proof. Assume that $g:=\sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty\sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha W_\alpha$ is in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and $0<r<1$. Then, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^m r^k\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha W_\alpha = g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_p)$$

in the operator norm of $B(F^2(H_n))$, and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^m r^k\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha T_\alpha = g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$$

in the operator norm of $B(\mathcal{H})$. Now, due to the continuity of the noncommutative Cauchy transform in the operator norm, we deduce that

$$g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)=\mathcal{C}_{p,T}(g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)). \tag{2.27}$$

Since $g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$, we know that $\lim\limits_{r\to 1} g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)=g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$ in the strong operator topology. Since $\|g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|\leq\|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|$, we deduce that

$$\text{SOT}-\lim_{r\to 1}[g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}]=g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

On the other hand, notice that $\lim\limits_{t\to 1} g_t(T_1,\ldots,T_n)=g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$ in the operator norm. Indeed, using relation (2.22), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)-g_t(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\|\\ &\qquad\leq\|g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)-g_r(tW_1,\ldots,tW_n)\|\|C_{p,\frac{1}{r}T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)-g_r(tW_1,\ldots,tW_n)\|\to 0$, as $t\to 1$, we prove our assertion.

Now, passing to the limit, as $r\to 1$, in the equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle g_r(T_1,\ldots,T_n)x,y\rangle\\ &\qquad=\left\langle (g_r(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(1\otimes x), C_{p,T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)(1\otimes y)\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where $x,y\in\mathcal{H}$, we obtain $g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)=\mathcal{C}_{p,X}(g(W_1,\ldots,W_n))$, which completes the proof. ☐

Using the Cauchy representation provided by Corollary 2.18, one can deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.19. *Let $(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be an n-tuple of operators with $r_p(T_1,\ldots,T_n)<1$.*

(i) *If* $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ *and* g *are free holomorphic functions in* $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ *such that* $\|g_k - g\|_\infty \to 0$, *as* $k \to \infty$, *then* $g_k(T_1, \dots, T_n) \to g(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ *in the operator norm of* $B(\mathcal{H})$.

(ii) *If* $\{g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ *and* g *are in the noncommutative Hardy algebra* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *such that*

$$g_k(W_1, \dots, W_n) \to g(W_1, \dots, W_n)$$

in the w^**-topology (or strong operator topology) and* $\|g_k(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| \le M$ *for any* $k = 1, 2, \dots$, *then* $g_k(T_1, \dots, T_n) \to g(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ *in the weak operator topology.*

Using Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.17, and the results from Section 1.4, one can deduce the following.

PROPOSITION 2.20. *For any* n*-tuple of operators* $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathcal{H})$, *the free analytic functional calculus coincides with the* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$*-functional calculus.*

Now, we present a connection between free holomorphic functions on noncommutative domains and holomorphic functions on Reinhardt domains.

PROPOSITION 2.21. *Let* p *be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial. If* $G(Z_1, \dots, Z_m) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha Z_\alpha$ *is a free holomorphic function on the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_p$, *then* $g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\alpha \lambda_\alpha$ *is a holomorphic function on the Reinhardt domain*

$$\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le m} a_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

Moreover, the map $\Phi : Hol(\mathcal{D}_p) \to Hol(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ *defined by* $\Phi(G) := g$ *is continuous.*

PROOF. For each $\mu := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, define $z_\mu := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha}\, \overline{\mu}_\alpha e_\alpha$. Since $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_p$, relation (1.21) implies

$$\left(1 - \sum_{|\alpha| \ge 1} a_\alpha |\mu_\alpha|^2\right) \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\beta |\mu_\beta|^2\right) = 1,$$

which shows that $z_\mu \in F^2(H_n)$. If $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ$, then there exists $r \in (0,1)$ such that $(\frac{1}{r}\lambda_1, \dots, \frac{1}{r}\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Applying the above relation to the latter n-tuple of complex numbers, we deduce that $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{r^{2|\beta|}} b_\beta |\lambda_\beta|^2 < \infty$. On the other hand, since G is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p$, the operator $G(rW_1, \dots, rW_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{D}_p)$. Hence, $G(rW_1, \dots, rW_n)(1) \in F^2(H_n)$ and consequently $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} r^{2|\beta|} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta} < \infty$. Now, we have

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |c_\beta||\lambda_\beta| \le \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} r^{2|\beta|} |c_\beta|^2 \frac{1}{b_\beta}\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{r^{2|\beta|}} b_\beta |\lambda_\beta|^2\right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

which clearly implies that $g(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a holomorphic function on the Reinhardt domain $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$.

To prove the last part of the proposition, let $\{G_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ and G be in $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and let $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ and g be the corresponding representations on $\mathbb{C}$, respectively. Due to to Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_{p,r}(\mathbb{C})} &|g_m(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)-g(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)|\\ &\leq \|G_m(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)-G(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\|\end{aligned}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Hence, we deduce that if $G_m\to G$ in the metric ρ of $Hol(\mathcal{D}_p)$, then $g_m\to g$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, which completes the proof. $\square$

Using Proposition 2.16 and Proposition 2.18, we can obtain the following.

COROLLARY 2.22. *Let $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be an n-tuple of operators with joint spectral radius $r_f(T_1,\ldots,T_n)<1$. Then, the map $\Psi_{f,T}:F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\to B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by*

$$\Psi_{f,T}(g)=g(T_1,\ldots,T_n):=\mathcal{C}_T(g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)),$$

for any $g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, is a unital WOT continuous homomorphism such that $\Psi_{f,T}(W_\alpha)=T_\alpha$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Moreover,

$$\|g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\|\leq\left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left\|\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)\right\|^{1/2}\right)\|g(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|.$$

In the last part of this section, we introduce a Banach space of analytic functions on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})\subset\mathbb{C}^n$ and obtain von Neumann and Bohr type inequalities in the commutative setting.

Let $p:=\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m}a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let $\mathbf{p}:=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ be a multi-index in $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$. If $\lambda:=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n$, then we set $\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}:=\lambda_1^{p_1}\cdots\lambda_n^{p_n}$ and define the symmetrized functional calculus

$$(\lambda^{\mathbf{p}})_{\rm sym}(W_1,\ldots,W_n):=\frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}\sum_{\alpha\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{p}}}b_\alpha W_\alpha,$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{p}}:=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+:\lambda_\alpha=\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}\text{ for any }\lambda\in\mathbb{B}_n\},$$

the coefficients b_α are defined by (1.2), and $W_1,\ldots,W_n$ are the weighted left creation operators associated with $\mathcal{D}_p$. Denote by $H_{\rm sym}(\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ the set of all analytic functions on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ with scalar coefficients

$$g(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n):=\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbf{n}}}\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}a_{\mathbf{p}},\quad a_{\mathbf{p}}\in\mathbb{C},$$

such that

$$g_{\rm sym}(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,|\mathbf{p}|=k}r^k a_{\mathbf{p}}[(\lambda^{\mathbf{p}})_{\rm sym}(W_1,\ldots,W_n)]$$

is convergent in the operator norm for any $r\in[0,1)$.

Setting $g_{\rm sym}(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}r^{|\alpha|}c_\alpha W_\alpha$, we have $c_0:=a_0$ and $c_\alpha:=b_\alpha\frac{a_{\mathbf{p}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}$, where $\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $\mathbf{p}\neq(0,\ldots,0)$, is uniquely determined such that $\lambda_\alpha=\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}$ for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{B}_n$.

Notice that $g_{\mathrm{sym}}(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)$ is a free holomorphic function on $\mathcal{D}_p$. We define $H^\infty_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$ as the set of all functions $g\in H_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$\|g\|_{\mathrm{sym}}:=\sup_{0\le r<1}\|g_{\mathrm{sym}}(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)\|<\infty.$$

Theorem 2.23. *$\big(H^\infty_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C})),\ \|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{sym}}\big)$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. First notice that if $g\in H^\infty_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$ then $g_{\mathrm{sym}}(rW_1,\ldots,rW_n)$ is norm convergent and $g_{\mathrm{sym}}(Z_1,\ldots,Z_n)$ is a free holomorphic function on the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p$. Using Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that $H^\infty_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$ is a vector space and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{sym}}$ is a norm. Let $\{g_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence of functions in $H^\infty_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$. According to Theorem 2.3, $(g_m)_{\mathrm{sym}}\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ and $\{(g_m)_{\mathrm{sym}}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, the norm of the Banach algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$. Therefore, there exists $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that

$$\|(g_m)_{\mathrm{sym}}-\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|_\infty\to 0,\qquad \text{as }\ m\to\infty.$$

If $g_m(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n):=\sum\limits_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbf{n}}}a^{(m)}_{\mathbf{p}}\lambda^{\mathbf{p}},\quad a_{\mathbf{p}}\in\mathbb{C}$, then $(g_m)_{\mathrm{sym}}=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}c^{(m)}_\alpha W_\alpha$, where $c^{(m)}_\alpha:=b_\alpha\frac{a^{(m)}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}$. If $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}b_\alpha W_\alpha$ is the Fourier representation of the operator $\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{b_\alpha}|c^{(m)}_\alpha-d_\alpha|&=|\langle W^*_\alpha[(g_m)_{\mathrm{sym}}(W_1,\ldots,W_n)-\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)]1,1\rangle|\\&\le\|(g_m)_{\mathrm{sym}}(W_1,\ldots,W_n)-\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)]\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $m\to\infty$, we deduce that $c^{(m)}_\alpha\to d_\alpha$ for each $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since $c^{(m)}_\alpha:=b_\alpha\frac{a^{(m)}_{\mathbf{p}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}$, we deduce that $d_\alpha=b_\alpha\frac{a'_{\mathbf{p}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}$, where $a'_{\mathbf{p}}=\frac{d_\alpha\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}{b_\alpha}$. Setting $h(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n):=\sum\limits_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbf{n}}}a'_{\mathbf{p}}\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}$, we have $h_{\mathrm{sym}}=\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)$. Moreover, $\|h\|_{\mathrm{sym}}=\|\varphi(W_1,\ldots,W_n)\|<\infty$. This shows that $H^\infty_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$ is a Banach space. □

Now, using Theorem 2.17, we can deduce the following.

Proposition 2.24. *If $T:=(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is a commuting n-tuple of operators with the joint spectral radius $r_p(T_1,\ldots,T_n)<1$ and $g(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n):=\sum\limits_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^{\mathbf{n}}}a_{\mathbf{p}}\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}$ is in $H_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$, then*

$$g(T_1,\ldots,T_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,|\mathbf{p}|=k}a_{\mathbf{p}}T^{\mathbf{p}}$$

is a well-defined operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$, where the series is convergent in the operator norm topology. Moreover, the map

$$\Psi_T:H_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))\to B(\mathcal{H})\qquad \Psi_T(g):=g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$$

is continuous and

$$\|g(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\|\le M\|g\|_{\mathrm{sym}},$$

where $M=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\left\|\Phi^k_{p,T}(I)\right\|^{1/2}$.

Using now the Bohr type inequality of Section 2.2, we can obtain the following commutative version for $H_{\mathrm{sym}}(\mathcal{D}^\circ_p(\mathbb{C}))$.

Corollary 2.25. *Let* $g(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) := \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n} \lambda^{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}$ *be a holomorphic function in* $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ *such that*

$$\|g_{\mathrm{sym}}(rW_1, \ldots, rW_n)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\mathbf{p}|=k} |\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}||a_{\mathbf{p}}| \leq 1$$

for any $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p,1/3}(\mathbb{C})$.

In particular, we obtain the following Bohr type inequality for analytic polynomials.

Corollary 2.26. *Let* $N = 2, 3, \ldots$, *and let*

$$q(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) := \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\mathbf{p}|\leq N-1} \lambda^{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad a_{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathbb{C},$$

be an analytic polynomial such that $\|q_{\mathrm{sym}}(W_1, \ldots, W_n)\| \leq 1$. *Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n, |\mathbf{p}|=k} |\lambda^{\mathbf{p}}||a_{\mathbf{p}}| \leq 1$$

for any $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p,1/3}(\mathbb{C})$.

CHAPTER 3

Model theory and unitary invariants on noncommutative domains

3.1. Weighted shifts and invariant subspaces

In this section we obtain a Beurling type characterization of the invariant subspaces under the weighted left creation operators $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. We deduce a similar result for the model shifts $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ associated with a noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$, generated by a w^*-closed two sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Define the positive linear mapping $\Phi_{f,W\otimes I} : B(F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}) \to B(F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$\Phi_{f,W\otimes I}(Y) := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha (W_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) Y (W_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{H})^*,$$

where the convergence of the series is in the weak operator topology. We recall that an operator $M : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is called multi-analytic with respect to $W_1, \dots, W_n$ if $M(W_i \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) = (W_i \otimes I_\mathcal{K})M$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. In case M is a partial isometry, we call it inner.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. If $Y \in B(F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H})$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *There is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and a multi-analytic operator $\Psi : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the weighted left creation operators $W_1, \dots, W_n$, such that $Y = \Psi\Psi^*$.*
- (ii) *$\Phi_{f,W\otimes I}(Y) \leq Y$.*

Proof. Assume that (ii) holds. Then $Y - \Phi^m_{f,W\otimes I}(Y) \geq 0$ for any $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f$, we have SOT-$\lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi^m_{f,W\otimes I}(Y) = 0$, which implies $Y \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{M} := \overline{\text{range} Y^{1/2}}$ and define

$$A_i(Y^{1/2}x) := Y^{1/2}(W_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H})x, \quad x \in F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}, \tag{3.1}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha \|A_{\tilde\alpha} Y^{1/2}x\|^2 &\leq \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} \|Y^{1/2}(\sqrt{a_\alpha} W_\alpha^* \otimes I)x\|^2 \\ &= \langle \Phi_{f,W\otimes I}(Y)x, x\rangle \leq \|Y^{1/2}x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Hence, we have $a_{g_i}\|A_i Y^{1/2}x\|^2 \leq \|Y^{1/2}x\|^2$, for any $x \in F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Since $a_{g_i} \neq 0$, A_i can be uniquely be extended to a bounded operator (also denoted by A_i) on the subspace $\mathcal{M}$. Denoting $T_i := A_i^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, an approximation argument shows that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* \leq I_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

On the other hand, due to (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \Phi_{f,T}^m(I) Y^{1/2}x, Y^{1/2}x\right\rangle &= \left\langle \Phi_{f,W\otimes I}^m(Y)x, x\right\rangle\\ &\leq \|Y\| \left\langle \Phi_{f,W\otimes I}^m(I)x, x\right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Since SOT-$\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{f,W\otimes I}^m(I) = 0$, we have SOT-$\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^m(I) = 0$, which shows that $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{M})$. According to Section 1.2, the Poisson kernel $K_{f,T} : \mathcal{M} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E}$ ($\mathcal{E}$ is an appropriate Hilbert space) is an isometry with the property that

$$T_i K_{f,T}^* = K_{f,T}^*(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}), \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\Psi := Y^{1/2} K_{f,T}^* : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) &= Y^{1/2}K_{f,T}^*(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}}) = Y^{1/2}T_i K_{f,T}^*\\ &= (W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})Y^{1/2}K_{f,T}^* = (W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\Psi\end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Notice also that $\Psi\Psi^* = Y^{1/2}K_{f,T}^* K_{f,T} Y^{1/2} = Y$. ☐

Now, we can obtain a Beurling [**22**] type characterization of the invariant subspaces under the weighted left creation operators associated with a noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, and let $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ be the weighted left creation operators associated with a noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. A subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is invariant under each operator $W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if there exists an inner multi-analytic operator $\Psi : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the weighted left creation operators $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M} = \Psi[F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E}].$$

PROOF. Assume that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is invariant under each operator $W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $P_{\mathcal{M}}(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})P_{\mathcal{M}} = (W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})P_{\mathcal{M}}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(F^2(H_n))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{f,W\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}}(P_{\mathcal{M}}) &= P_{\mathcal{M}}\left[\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha (W_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})P_{\mathcal{M}}(W_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\right] P_{\mathcal{M}}\\ &\leq P_{\mathcal{M}}\left[\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha (W_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(W_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\right] P_{\mathcal{M}}\\ &\leq P_{\mathcal{M}}\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to our particular case, there is multi-analytic operator $\Psi : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ with respect to the weighted left creation operators $W_1, \ldots, W_n$ such that $P_{\mathcal{M}} = \Psi\Psi^*$. Since $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an orthogonal projection, we deduce that Ψ is a partial isometry and $\mathcal{M} = \Psi[F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{E}]$. The converse is obvious. The proof is complete. $\square$

Now, we turn our attention to noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_f$ and the constrained shifts associated with them.

Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, and define the subspaces of $F^2(H_n)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{JF^2(H_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_J.$$

It is easy to see that the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J$ is invariant under the operators $W_1^*, \ldots, W_n^*$ and $\Lambda_1^*, \ldots, \Lambda_n^*$. On the other hand, notice that $\mathcal{N}_J \neq 0$ if and only if $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Indeed, due to Theorem 1.14, for any $\varphi \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$,

$$d(\varphi, J) = \|P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \varphi(W_1, \ldots, W_n)|\mathcal{N}_J\|.$$

Define the *constrained weighted left* (resp. *right*) *creation operators* associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ by setting

$$B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_i|\mathcal{N}_J \quad \text{and} \quad C_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \Lambda_i|\mathcal{N}_J, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$ be the w^*-closed algebra generated by $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ and the identity. We showed, in Section 1.5, that

$$F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)|\mathcal{N}_J = \{g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) : \ g(W_1, \ldots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\},$$

where, according to the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus,

$$g(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \to 1} g(rB_1, \ldots, rB_n).$$

Note that if $\varphi \in J$, then $\varphi(B_1, \ldots, B_n) = 0$. Therefore, $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is in $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{N}_J)$ and, due to the results of Section 1.5, it plays the role of universal model for the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.

Similar results hold for $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$, the w^*-closed algebra generated by $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ and the identity. Moreover, one can prove that

$$F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})' = R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \quad \text{and} \quad R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})' = F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}), \tag{3.3}$$

where $'$ stands for the commutant. An operator $M \in B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}')$ is called multi-analytic with respect to the constrained shifts $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ if

$$M(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}) = (B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}'})M, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

If M is partially isometric, then we call it an inner multi-analytic operator. Using (3.3), we can show that the set of all multi-analytic operators with respect to $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ coincides with

$$R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}') = P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}'}[R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}')]|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

and a similar result holds for the algebra $F_n(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})$.

The next result provides a Beurling type characterization of the invariant subspaces under the constrained weighted shifts $B_1, \ldots, B_n$, associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $B_1, \ldots, B_n$ be the corresponding constrained left creation operators associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{N}_J)$. A subspace $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is invariant* *under each operator* $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, *if and only if there exists a Hilbert space* $\mathcal{G}$ *and an inner operator*

$$\Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n) \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K})$$

such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n) \left[\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{G}\right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Due to the remarks preceding the theorem, the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is invariant under each operator $W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$(W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K} = B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since the subspace $[\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}] \ominus \mathcal{M}$ is invariant under $B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that it is also invariant under each operator $W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, the subspace

$$\mathcal{E} := [F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}] \ominus \{[\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}] \ominus \mathcal{M}\} = [\mathcal{M}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}] \oplus \mathcal{M} \tag{3.5}$$

is invariant under $W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $\mathcal{M}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{N}_J$. Using Theorem 3.2, we find a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$ and an inner multi-analytic operator

$$\Theta(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K})$$

such that

$$\mathcal{E} = \Theta(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)[F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{G}].$$

Since $\Theta(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$ is a partial isometry, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{E}} = \Theta(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)\Theta(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)^*, \tag{3.6}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{E}$. Notice that the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is invariant under the operators $\Lambda_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, using the remarks preceding the theorem we have

$$\Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}} \Theta(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

Now, it is clear that equation (3.6)

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}} P_{\mathcal{E}}|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K} = \Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n)\Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n)^*.$$

Due to relation (3.5), the left hand side of this equality is equal to $P_{\mathcal{M}}$, the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{M}$. Hence, we deduce that

$$P_{\mathcal{M}} = \Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n)\Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n)^*,$$

the operator $\Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n)$ is a partial isometry, and

$$\mathcal{M} = \Theta(C_1, \dots, C_n) \left[\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{G}\right].$$

The proof is complete. □

From now on, throughout this section, we assume that J is a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$. We recall that a subspace $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ is called co-invariant under $\mathcal{S} \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ if $X^*\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* J *be a* w^**-closed two-sided ideal of* $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ *such that* $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ *and let* $\mathcal{K}$ *be a Hilbert space. If* $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$ *is a co-invariant subspace under* $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, *then there exists a subspace* $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ *such that*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\left\{(B_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})\mathcal{M} : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. Define the subspace $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{K}$ by $\mathcal{E} := (P_\mathbb{C} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\mathcal{M}$ and let φ be an arbitrary nonzero element of $\mathcal{M}$ with Fourier representation

$$\varphi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} e_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha, \quad h_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ be such that $h_\beta \neq 0$ and notice that

$$(P_\mathbb{C} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(B_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi = 1 \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} h_\beta. \tag{3.8}$$

Indeed, since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and using relation (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\langle (P_\mathbb{C} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(B_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi, 1 \otimes h\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(W_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi, 1 \otimes h\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \big\langle (W_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi, 1 \otimes h\big\rangle = \big\langle (P_\mathbb{C} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(W_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi, 1 \otimes h\big\rangle \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} \langle h_\beta, h\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{K}$. Since $\Big\langle (P_\mathbb{C} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(B_\beta^* \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi, e_\gamma \otimes h\Big\rangle = 0$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\gamma| \geq 1$, and $h \in \mathcal{K}$, the result follows. Now, since $\mathcal{M}$ is a co-invariant subspace under $B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{K}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, relation (3.8) implies that $h_\beta \in \mathcal{E}$. Hence, and taking into account that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, we have

$$(B_\beta \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(1 \otimes h_\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\beta) \otimes h_\beta \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}, \quad \text{for } \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}$, we deduce that

$$\varphi = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\varphi = \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\beta \otimes h_\beta$$

is in $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}$. Therefore $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and

$$\mathcal{Y} := \overline{\text{span}}\, \big\{(B_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{K})\,\mathcal{M} :\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\big\} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}.$$

To prove the reverse inclusion, we show first that $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace under each operator W_i^*, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and contains the constants, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^* &= P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^* \right) |\mathcal{N}_J \\
&= P_{\mathcal{N}_J} P_\mathbb{C} |\mathcal{N}_J \\
&= P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J},
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J$ onto $\mathbb{C}$. If $h_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, $h_0 \neq 0$, then there exists $g \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}$ such that $g = 1 \otimes h_0 + \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} e_\alpha \otimes h_\alpha$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \otimes h_0 &= (P_\mathbb{C} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})g = (P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_\mathcal{K})g \\
&= \left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha (B_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{K})(B_\alpha \otimes I_\mathcal{K})^* \right) g.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence and since $\mathcal{M}$ is co-invariant under $B_i\otimes I_\mathcal{K},\ i=1,\dots,n$, we deduce that $h_0\in\mathcal{Y}$ for any $h_0\in\mathcal{E}$, i.e., $\mathcal{E}\subset\mathcal{Y}$. The latter inclusion shows that $(B_\alpha\otimes I_\mathcal{K})(1\otimes\mathcal{E})\subset\mathcal{Y}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, which implies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}P_{\mathcal{N}_J}e_\alpha\otimes\mathcal{E}\subset\mathcal{Y},\quad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{3.9}$$

Assume that $\varphi\in\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{E}\subset F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{E}$ has Fourier representation $\varphi=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha,\quad y_\alpha\in\mathcal{E}$. Due to (3.9), we deduce that

$$\varphi=(P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes I_\mathcal{E})\varphi=\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^m\sum_{|\alpha|=k}P_{\mathcal{N}_J}e_\alpha\otimes y_\alpha\in\mathcal{Y}.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{E}\subseteq\mathcal{Y}$. The proof is complete. □

Now, we can easily deduce the following result.

Corollary 3.5. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space. A subspace $\mathcal{M}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}$ is reducing under each operator $B_i\otimes I_\mathcal{K},\ \ i=1,\dots,n$, if and only if there exists a subspace $\mathcal{E}\subseteq\mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{E}.$$

3.2. C^*-algebras associated with noncommutative varieties and Wold decompositions

Given a positive regular noncommutative polynomial p and a w^*-closed two-sided ideal J of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$, we associate with each noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}\subset\mathcal{D}_p$ the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ generated by the model operators $B_1,\dots,B_n$ and the identity. We obtain Wold type decompositions for nondegenerate $*$-representations of $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$.

We recall that the universal model $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$ is defined by $B_i:=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}W_i|\mathcal{N}_J,\ i=1,\dots,n$, where $\mathcal{N}_J:=F^2(H_n)\ominus\overline{JF^2(H_n)}$ and $(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ is the universal model for the domain $\mathcal{D}_p$.

Assume that the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p$ is generated by a positive regular noncommutative polynomial. We keep the notation of Section 3.1.

Theorem 3.6. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$, and let $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ be the universal model associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$. Then all the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are contained in the operator space*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}.$$

Moreover, the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ is irreducible.

Proof. Since $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$ and $\mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace $W_i^*,\ \ i=1,\dots,n$, we deduce that

$$I_{\mathcal{N}_J}-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq1}a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^*=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\left(I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq1}a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^*\right)|\mathcal{N}_J=P_\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{N}_J},$$

where $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J$ onto $\mathbb{C}$. Fix

$$g(W_1,\ldots,W_n):=\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} d_\alpha W_\alpha \quad \text{ and } \quad \xi:=\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} c_\beta e_\beta\in\mathcal{N}_J\subset F^2(H_n),$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1,\ldots,B_n)^*\xi &= \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} P_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{d}_\alpha W_\alpha^*\xi=\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}\overline{d}_\alpha c_\alpha\\ &=\left\langle \xi, \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} d_\alpha e_\alpha\right\rangle=\left\langle \xi, g(B_1,\ldots,B_n)(1)\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$q(B_1,\ldots,B_n)P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1,\ldots,B_n)^*\xi=\left\langle \xi, g(B_1,\ldots,B_n)(1)\right\rangle q(B_1,\ldots,B_n)(1) \tag{3.10}$$

for any polynomial $q(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ in $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{p,J})$. Hence, we deduce that the operator $q(B_1,\ldots,B_n)P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} g(B_1,\ldots,B_n)^*$ has rank one. Moreover, since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}=I_{\mathcal{N}_J}-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^*$, it is clear that the above operator is also in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$. Since the set

$$\mathcal{E}:=\left\{\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} d_\alpha B_\alpha\right)(1):\ m\in\mathbb{N}, d_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}\right\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{N}_J$, relation (3.10) implies that all compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are included in the operator space $\overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^*:\ \alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\}$.

To prove the last part of this theorem, let $\mathcal{M}\neq\{0\}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{N}_J\subseteq F^2(H_n)$, which is jointly reducing for each operator B_i, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{M}$, $\varphi\neq 0$, and assume that $\varphi=c_0+\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} c_\alpha e_\alpha$. If c_β is a nonzero coefficient of φ, then $P_{\mathbb{C}}B_\beta^*\varphi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}c_\beta$. Indeed, since $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$, one can use relation (1.7) to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle P_{\mathbb{C}}B_\beta^*\varphi,1\right\rangle &=\left\langle P_{\mathcal{N}_J}W_\beta^*\varphi,1\right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle W_\beta^*\varphi,1\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{\mathbb{C}}W_\beta^*\varphi,1\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}}c_\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\langle P_{\mathbb{C}}B_\beta^*\varphi, e_\gamma\right\rangle=0$ for any $\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\gamma|\geq 1$, our assertion follows. On the other hand, since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}=I_{\mathcal{N}_J}-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^*$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is reducing for $B_1,\ldots,B_n$, we deduce that $c_\beta\in\mathcal{M}$, so $1\in\mathcal{M}$. Using once again that $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under the operators $B_1,\ldots,B_n$, we have $\mathcal{E}\subseteq\mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{E}$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}_J$, we deduce that $\mathcal{N}_J\subset\mathcal{M}$. Therefore $\mathcal{N}_J=\mathcal{M}$. This completes the proof. □

We say that two n-tuples of operators $(T_1,\ldots,T_n)$, $T_i\in B(\mathcal{H})$, and $(T_1',\ldots,T_n')$, $T_i'\in B(\mathcal{H}')$, are unitarily equivalent if there exists a unitary operator $U:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}'$ such that

$$T_i=U^*T_i'U \quad \text{for any } \ i=1,\ldots,n.$$

If $(B_1,\ldots,B_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$, then the n-tuple $(B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}},\ldots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})$ is called constrained weighted shift with multiplicity $\dim\mathcal{H}$. Using Theorem 3.6, we can prove the following.

Proposition 3.7. *Two constrained weighted shifts associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$ are unitarily equivalent if and only if their multiplicities are equal.*

Proof. One implication is trivial. Let $(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}, \ldots, B_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})$ and $(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'}, \ldots, B_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})$ be two constrained shifts and let $U : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}'$ be a unitary operator such that

$$U(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) = (B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})U, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Since U is unitary , we have

$$U(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})U, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Since the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is irreducible, we must have $U = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes A$, where $A \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$ is a unitary operator . Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \dim \mathcal{H}'$. The proof is complete. ☐

In what follows, we prove a Wold type decomposition for non-degenerate $*$-representations of the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$, generated by the the constrained weighted shifts associated with $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$, and the identity.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $p = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the noncommutative Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$. If $\pi : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K})$ is a nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, then π decomposes into a direct sum*

$$\pi = \pi_0 \oplus \pi_1 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1,$$

where π_0 and π_1 are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ on the Hilbert spaces

$$\mathcal{K}_0 := \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ \pi(B_\beta) \left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \pi(B_\alpha) \pi(B_\alpha)^* \right) \mathcal{K} : \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_1 := \mathcal{K}_0^\perp,$$

respectively. Moreover, up to an isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \simeq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{G}, \quad \pi_0(X) = X \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} \quad \text{for} \ \ X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n), \tag{3.11}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is a Hilbert space with

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim \left[\text{range} \left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \pi(B_\alpha) \pi(B_\alpha)^* \right) \right],$$

and π_1 is a $*$-representation which annihilates the compact operators and

$$\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha \pi_1(B_\alpha) \pi_1(B_\alpha)^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_1}.$$

If π' is another nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}'$, then π is unitarily equivalent to π' if and only if $\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim \mathcal{G}'$ and π_1 is unitarily equivalent to π_1'.

Proof. Since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, Theorem 3.6 shows that all the compact operators $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J))$ in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are contained in the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$. Due to standard theory of representations of the C^*-algebras [**10**], the representation π decomposes into a direct sum $\pi = \pi_0 \oplus \pi_1$ on $\mathcal{K} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0 := \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(X)\mathcal{K} : \ X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1 := \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0^{\perp},$$

and the representations $\pi_j : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n) \to \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_j$, $j = 1, 2$, are defined by $\pi_j(X) := \pi(X)|\mathcal{K}_j$, $j = 0, 1$. It is clear that π_0, π_1 are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ such that π_1 annihilates the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$, and π_0 is uniquely determined by the action of π on the ideal $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J)$ of compact operators. Since every representation of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J)$ is equivalent to a multiple of the identity representation, we deduce (3.11).

Now, we show that the space $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0$ coincides with the space $\mathcal{K}_0$. Indeed, using Theorem 3.6 and its proof, one can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_0 &:= \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(X)\mathcal{K} : \ X \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J)\} \\
&= \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(B_\beta P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} B_\alpha^*)\mathcal{K} : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \\
&= \overline{\text{span}}\left\{\pi(B_\beta)\left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le m} a_\alpha \pi(B_\alpha)\pi(B_\alpha)^*\right)\mathcal{K} : \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\} \\
&= \mathcal{K}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} = I - \sum\limits_{1 \le |\alpha| \le m} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^*$ is a projection of rank one in $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le m} a_\alpha \pi_1(B_\alpha)\pi_1(B_\alpha)^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_1},$$

and

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim \left[\text{range}\, \pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\right] = \dim \left[\text{range}\left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le m} a_\alpha \pi(B_\alpha)\pi(B_\alpha)^*\right)\right].$$

To prove the uniqueness, one can use standard theory of representations of C^*-algebras. Consequently, π and π' are unitarily equivalent if and only if π_0 and π_0' (resp. π_1 and π_1') are unitarily equivalent. By Proposition 3.7, we deduce that $\dim \mathcal{G} = \dim \mathcal{G}'$. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 3.9. *Assume the hypotheses and notations of Theorem 3.8. Setting* $V_i := \pi(B_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, *and*

$$\Phi_{p,V}(Y) := \sum_{1 \le |\alpha| \le m} a_\alpha V_\alpha Y V_\alpha^*, \quad Y \in B(\mathcal{K}),$$

we have:

(i) $Q := I_{\mathcal{K}} - \Phi_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}})$ *is an orthogonal projection and* $Q\mathcal{K} = \bigcap\limits_{i=1}^n \ker V_i^*$*;*

(ii) $\mathcal{K}_0 = \left\{x \in \mathcal{K} : \ \lim\limits_{k \to \infty} \left\langle \Phi_{p,V}^k(I_{\mathcal{K}})x, x\right\rangle = 0\right\}$*;*

(iii) $\mathcal{K}_1 = \left\{x \in \mathcal{K} : \ \left\langle \Phi_{p,V}^k(I_{\mathcal{K}})x, x\right\rangle = \|x\|^2 \ \text{ for any } k = 1, 2, \dots\right\}$*;*

(iv) SOT-$\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\Phi^k_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}}) = P_{\mathcal{K}_1}$;

(v) $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}\Phi^k_{p,V}(Q) = P_{\mathcal{K}_0}$.

Proof. Due to the proof of Theorem 3.6, the operator $I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \Phi_{p,B}(I) = P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$ is an orthogonal projection. Therefore, so is $Q = \pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})$. Taking into account that $a_\alpha \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $a_\alpha \neq 0$ if $|\alpha| = 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} Q\mathcal{K} &= \{x \in \mathcal{K} :\ (I - \Phi_{p,V}(I))\,x = x\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \mathcal{K} :\ \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha V_\alpha V_\alpha^* x = 0 \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \ker V_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (i) holds. By Theorem 3.8, we have

$$\Phi^k_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^k_{p,B}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{3.12}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_J$ is co-invariant under each operator W_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $B_i^* = W_i^*|\mathcal{N}_J$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\Phi^k_{p,B}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} = \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\left(\Phi^k_{p,W}(I_{F^2(H_n)})\right)|\mathcal{N}_J\right] \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}} = 0.$$

The latter equality holds due to the fact that $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. This proves part (iv). Hence, and taking into account that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}\Phi^k_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \Phi_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}})) = I - \Phi^{m+1}_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}}),$$

we deduce item (v). To prove (ii), let $x \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_1$, $x = x_0 + x_1$, with $x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$. Using (3.12), we have

$$\left\langle \Phi^m_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}})x, x\right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\Phi^m_{p,B}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}\right)x_0, x_0\right\rangle + \|x_1\|^2, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{3.13}$$

Consequently, $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty}\left\langle \Phi^m_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}})x, x\right\rangle = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = 0$, i.e., $x = x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Now item (ii) follows. It remains to prove (iii). Relation (3.13) shows that $\left\langle \Phi^m_{p,V}(I_{\mathcal{K}})x, x\right\rangle = \|x\|^2$ for any $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, if and only if

$$\left\langle \left(\Phi^m_{p,B}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\mathcal{G}}\right)x_0, x_0\right\rangle = \|x_0\|^2 \quad \text{for any} \;\; m = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Since $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, we have SOT-$\lim\limits_{m\to\infty}\Phi^m_{p,B}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) = 0$. Therefore, the above equality holds for any $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, if and only if $x_0 = 0$, which is equivalent to $x = x_1 \in \mathcal{K}_1$. This completes the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B(\mathcal{K})$ be a set of operators acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. We call a subspace $\mathcal{H}$ cyclic for $\mathcal{S}$ if

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}}\{Xh :\ X \in \mathcal{S},\ h \in \mathcal{H}\ \}.$$

Corollary 3.10. *Let π be a nondegenerate $*$-representation of $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, and let $V_i := \pi(B_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ *is a constrained weighted shift;*
(ii) $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}}\,\{V_\beta\,(I - \Phi_{p,V}(I))\,\mathcal{K} : \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$;
(iii) SOT-$\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{p,V}^m(I) = 0$.

In this case, the multiplicity of V *satisfies the equality*

$$\text{mult}\,(V) = \dim[(I - \Phi_{p,V}(I))\mathcal{K}], \tag{3.14}$$

and it is also equal to the minimum dimension of a cyclic subspace for $V_1, \ldots, V_n$*.*

Proof. It is clear that the statements above are equivalent due to Corollary 3.9. On the other hand, relation (3.14) follows from Theorem 3.8. Using (ii) and Corollary 3.9, we deduce that the subspace

$$\mathcal{L} := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker V_i^* = (I - \Phi_{p,V}(I))\mathcal{K}$$

is cyclic for $V_1, \ldots, V_n$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a cyclic subspace for $V_1, \ldots, V_n$, i.e., $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee\limits_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha \mathcal{E}$, and denote $A := P_\mathcal{L}|\mathcal{E} \in B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{L})$, where $P_\mathcal{L}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{L}$. Assume that $x \in \mathcal{L} \ominus T\mathcal{E}$ and let $y \in \mathcal{E}$. Note that

$$\langle x, y\rangle = \langle x, P_\mathcal{L} y\rangle = \langle Ax, y\rangle = 0.$$

On the other hand, since $V_\alpha^* x = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\langle V_\alpha x, y\rangle = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Therefore, $y \perp V_\alpha \mathcal{E}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a cyclic subspace for $V_1, \ldots, V_n$, we deduce that $y = 0$. Therefore, $\overline{A\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{L}$. Hence, the operator $A^* \in B(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$ is one-to-one and, consequently, we have $\dim \mathcal{L} \leq \dim \mathcal{E}$. This completes the proof. □

We can use now Corollary 3.10 and Proposition 3.7 to obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.11. *Two constrained weighted shifts associated to the noncommutative variety* $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$ *are similar if and only if they are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. One implication is clear. Let $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$, $V_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$, and $V' := (V_1', \ldots, V_n')$, $V_i' \in B(\mathcal{K}')$, be two constrained weighted shifts associated with $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$, and let $X : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}'$ be an invertible operator such that

$$XV_i = V_i' X, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a cyclic subspace for $V_1, \ldots, V_n$, then we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}' = X\mathcal{K} = X\left(\bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha \mathcal{M}\right) \subseteq \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} XV_\alpha\mathcal{M} = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha' X\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{K}'.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{K}' = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha' X\mathcal{M}$. This shows that the subspace $X\mathcal{M}$ is cyclic for V'. Since X is an invertible operator, $\dim \mathcal{M} = \dim X\mathcal{M}$. Hence, and by Corollary 3.10, we deduce that the two constrained weighted shifts have the same multiplicity. Now, using Proposition 3.7, the result follows. □

3.3. Dilations on noncommutative domains and varieties

In this section, we develop a dilation theory for n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ or in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0, q \in \mathcal{P}_J\},$$

where J is a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by a set of noncommutative polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J$. Under natural conditions on the ideal J and the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ associated with the variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$, we have uniqueness for the minimal dilation.

Let $f := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, and let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J \subset F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Fix an n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative variety

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = 0 \quad \text{for any} \quad q \in \mathcal{P}_J\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert spaces and let $(U_1, \ldots, U_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{K})$ be such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = I_\mathcal{K}.$$

An n-tuple $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ of operators having the matrix representation

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D} & 0 \\ 0 & U_i \end{bmatrix}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{3.15}$$

where the n-tuple $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is the universal model associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$, is called *constrained* (or J-*constrained*) *dilation* of $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ if the following conditions hold:

(i) $(V_1, \ldots, V_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}((\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}) \oplus \mathcal{K})$;
(ii) $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace under each operator V_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$T_i = P_\mathcal{H} V_i|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

The dilation is called *minimal* if

$$(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}) \oplus \mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}}\left\{V_\alpha \mathcal{H} : \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}.$$

The *dilation index* of T, denoted by dil-ind(T), is the minimum dimension of $\mathcal{D}$ such that V is a constrained dilation of T.

Our first dilation result on noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$ is the following.

THEOREM 3.12. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J \subset F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, then there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ and and n-tuple $(U_1 \ldots, U_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{K})$ with*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = I_\mathcal{K}$$

and such that

(i) *$\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}$ under the operators*

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & U_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\Delta_{f,T} := \left(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* \right)^{1/2}$;

(ii) $T_i^* = V_i^*|\mathcal{H}$, *$i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Moreover, $\mathcal{K} = \{0\}$ if and only if $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Define the subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset F^2(H_n)$ be setting

$$\mathcal{M} := \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ W_\alpha q(W_1, \dots, W_n) W_\beta(1) : \; q \in \mathcal{P}_J, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \right\}.$$

We prove that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_J$. Since $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_J$, it remains to prove that $\mathcal{M}_J \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. To this end, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{M}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{M}_J^\perp$. Let $g \in F^2(H_n)$ be such that

$$\langle g, W_\alpha p(W_1, \dots, W_n) W_\beta(1) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for any} \;\; p \in \mathcal{P}_J, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Due to Corollary 1.13, , for any $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, there is a sequence of polynomials such that $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \text{SOT} - \lim_{m \to \infty} \{q_m(W_1, \dots, W_n)\}_{m=1}^\infty$. Hence,

$$\langle g, \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) q(W_1, \dots, W_n) W_\beta(1) \rangle = 0$$

for any $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, $q \in \mathcal{P}_J$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently, $g \in \mathcal{M}_J^\perp$ and, therefore, $\mathcal{M}_J = \mathcal{M}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.15, using the properties of the Poisson kernel $K_{f,T}$, we obtain

$$\langle K_{f,T} x, W_\alpha q(W_1, \dots, W_n) W_\beta(1) \otimes y \rangle = \langle x, T_\alpha q(T_1, \dots, T_n) T_\beta \Delta_{f,T} y \rangle = 0$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $y \in \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$, and $q \in \mathcal{P}_J$. Since $\mathcal{M}_J = \mathcal{M}$, we deduce that

$$\text{range}\, K_{f,T} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}. \tag{3.16}$$

The *constrained Poisson kernel* $K_{f,T,J} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by

$$K_{f,T,J} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}) K_{f,T},$$

where $K_{f,T}$ is the Poisson kernel associated with $T \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ (see Section 1.2). Due to relation (3.16) and (1.23), we obtain

$$K_{f,T,J} T_\alpha^* = (B_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{f,T,J}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+. \tag{3.17}$$

We introduce the operators $Q := \text{SOT-}\lim_{m \to \infty} \Phi_{f,T}^m(I)$ and

$$Y : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K} := \overline{Q^{1/2}\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{defined by} \quad Yh := Q^{1/2} h, \; h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, define the operator $L_i : Q^{1/2}\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ by setting

$$L_i Y h := Y T_i^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{3.18}$$

Note that L_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, are well-defined due to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\|L_iYh\|^2&=\langle T_iQT_i^*h,h\rangle\\&=\frac{1}{a_{g_i}}\langle a_{g_i}T_iQT_i^*h,h\rangle\leq\frac{1}{a_{g_i}}\langle\Phi_{f,T}(Q)h,h\rangle\\&=\frac{1}{a_{g_i}}\|Q^{1/2}h\|^2=\frac{1}{a_{g_i}}\|Yh\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

We recall that, since f is positive regular free holomorphic function, $a_{g_i}\neq 0$ for any $i=1,\dots,n$. Consequently, L_i can be extended to a bounded operator on $\mathcal{K}$, which will also be denoted by L_i. Now, setting $U_i:=L_i^*$, $i=1,\dots,n$, relation (3.18) implies

$$Y^*U_i=T_iY^*,\quad i=1,\dots,n. \tag{3.19}$$

Due to relation (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}Y^*\Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K})Y&=Y^*\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_\alpha U_\alpha U_\alpha^*\right)Y=\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_\alpha T_\alpha YY^*T_\alpha\\&=\Phi_{f,T}(YY^*)=\Phi_{f,T}(Q)=Q=YY^*.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\langle\Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K})Yh,Yh\rangle=\langle Yh,Yh\rangle,\quad h\in\mathcal{H}$$

which implies $\Phi_{f,U}(I_\mathcal{K})=I_\mathcal{K}$. Now, using relation (3.19), we obtain

$$Y^*q(U_1,\dots,U_n)=q(T_1,\dots,T_n)Y^*=0,\quad q\in\mathcal{P}_J.$$

Since Y^* is injective on $\mathcal{K}=\overline{Y\mathcal{H}}$, we have $q(U_1,\dots,U_n)=0$ for any $q\in\mathcal{P}_J$. Let $V:\mathcal{H}\to[\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}]\oplus\mathcal{K}$ be defined by

$$V:=\begin{bmatrix}K_{f,T,J}\\Y\end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that V is an isometry. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Vh\|^2&=\|K_{f,T,J}h\|^2+\|Yh\|^2\\&=\|h\|^2-\text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\langle\Phi_{f,T}^k(I)h,h\right\rangle+\|Yh\|^2\\&=\|h\|^2-\langle Qh,h\rangle+\langle Qh,h\rangle\\&=\|h\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for any $h\in\mathcal{H}$. Now, using relations (3.17) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}VT_i^*&=\begin{bmatrix}K_{f,T,J}\\Y\end{bmatrix}T_i^*h=K_{f,T,J}T_i^*h\oplus YT_i^*h\\&=(B_i^*\otimes I_\mathcal{H})K_{f,T,J}h\oplus U_i^*Yh\\&=\begin{bmatrix}B_i^*\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}&0\\0&U_i^*\end{bmatrix}Vh.\end{aligned}$$

Identifying $\mathcal{H}$ with $V\mathcal{H}$ we complete the proof of (i) and (ii). The last part of the theorem is obvious. $\square$

EXAMPLE 3.13. (i) *When f is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and the two-sided ideal J is generated by the polynomials*

$$W_iW_j - W_jW_i, \qquad i,j = 1,\dots,n,$$

we obtain the dilation theorem of Pott [**90**].

(ii) *When $f = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $\mathcal{P}_J = 0$ we obtain a version of the noncommutative dilation theorem for row contractions (see* [**67**]*).*

(ii) *In the particular case when $n = 1$, $f = X$, and $\mathcal{P}_J = 0$, we obtain the classical isometric dilation theorem for contractions obtained by Sz.-Nagy (see* [**95**], [**96**]*).*

We can evaluate the dilation index of an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ and show that it does not depend on the constraints.

COROLLARY 3.14. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J \subset F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $T := (T_1,\dots,T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the dilation index of T is equal to* $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{f,T}$.

PROOF. Let $(U_1,\dots,U_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ be such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha U_\alpha U_\alpha^* = I_\mathcal{K}$$

and assume that the n-tuple $V := (V_1,\dots,V_n)$ given by

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_\mathcal{D} & 0 \\ 0 & U_i \end{bmatrix}, \qquad i = 1,\dots,n, \tag{3.20}$$

is a constrained dilation of T. Since the subspace $\mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant under each operator V_i, $i = 1,\dots,n$, and $\mathcal{N}_J$ is co-invariant under the weighted left creation operators W_i, $i = 1,\dots,n$, associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, we have

$$I_\mathcal{H} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* = P_\mathcal{H}\begin{bmatrix} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^*\right)|\mathcal{N}_J\right] \otimes I_\mathcal{D} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{H}.$$

Hence, and taking into account that $I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^* = P_\mathbb{C}$ is an operator of rank one, we have

$$\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{f,T} \leq \operatorname{rank}\,[P_{\mathcal{N}_J}P_\mathbb{C}|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes I_\mathcal{D}] \leq \dim \mathcal{D}.$$

Due to Theorem 3.12, we deduce that the dilation index of T coincides with $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{f,T}$. The proof is complete. □

Let $C^*(\mathcal{Y})$ be the C^*-algebra generated by a set of operators $\mathcal{Y} \subset B(\mathcal{K})$ and the identity. A subspace $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ is called $*$-cyclic for $\mathcal{Y}$ if

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\operatorname{span}}\,\{Xh :\ X \in C^*(\mathcal{Y}),\ h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

THEOREM 3.15. *Let $p = \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial and let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ generated by a family $\mathcal{P}_J$ of homogenous polynomials. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space, and $T := (T_1,\dots,T_n)$ be an n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{H})$.*

Then there exists a $*$-representation $\pi : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{K}_\pi)$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}_\pi$, which annihilates the compact operators and

$$\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha \pi(B_\alpha)\pi(B_\alpha)^* = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi},$$

such that

(i) *$\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a $*$-cyclic co-invariant subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} := (\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$ under each operator*

$$V_i := \begin{bmatrix} B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(B_i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\Delta_{p,T} := \left(I_\mathcal{H} - \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* \right)^{1/2}$;

(ii) $T_i^* = V_i^*|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$

Proof. According to Theorem 1.16, there is a unique unital completely contractive linear map

$$\Psi_{p,T,J} : \overline{\text{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\Psi_{p,T,J}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Applying Arveson extension theorem [**9**] to the map $\Psi_{p,T,J}$, we find a unital completely positive linear map $\Psi_{p,T,J} : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\tilde{\pi} : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n) \to B(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})$ be a minimal Stinespring dilation [**94**] of $\Psi_{p,T,J}$. Then

$$\Psi_{p,T,J}(X) = P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(X)|\mathcal{H}, \quad X \in C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n),$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(X)h : \ h \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Since , for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{p,T,J}(B_iB_i^*) &= T_iT_i^* = P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(B_i)\tilde{\pi}(B_i^*)|\mathcal{H} \\ &= P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(B_i)(P_\mathcal{H} + P_{\mathcal{H}^\perp})\tilde{\pi}(B_i^*)|\mathcal{H} \\ &= \Psi_{p,T,J}(B_iB_i^*) + (P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp})(P_{\mathcal{H}^\perp}\tilde{\pi}(B_i^*)|\mathcal{H}), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{p,T,J}(B_\alpha X) &= P_\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha)\tilde{\pi}(X))|\mathcal{H} \\ &= (P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha)|\mathcal{H})(P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(X)|\mathcal{H}) \\ &= \Psi_{p,T,J}(B_\alpha)\Psi_{p,T,J}(X) \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

for any $X \in C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Since $P_\mathcal{H}\tilde{\pi}(B_i)|_{\mathcal{H}^\perp} = 0$, $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant subspace under each $\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*, \ i = 1, \dots, n$. Consequently, we have

$$\tilde{\pi}(B_i)^*|\mathcal{H} = \Psi_{p,T,J}(B_i^*) = T_i^*, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand, since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, Theorem 3.6 implies that all the compact operators in $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$ are contained in the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$. By Theorem 3.8, the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ decomposes into a direct sum $\tilde{\pi} = \pi_0 \oplus \pi$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi$, where π_0, π are disjoint representations of $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ on the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{K}_0$ and $\mathcal{K}_\pi$, respectively, such that

$$\mathcal{K}_0 \simeq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{G}, \quad \pi_0(X) = X \otimes I_\mathcal{G}, \quad X \in C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n), \tag{3.23}$$

for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$, and π is a representation such that $\pi(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J)) = 0$. Now, taking into account that $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} = I - \sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^*$ is a projection of rank one in $C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, we have that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha \pi(B_\alpha)\pi(B_\alpha^*) = I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \mathcal{G} = \dim(\operatorname{range} \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})).$$

Since the Stinespring representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is minimal and using the proof of Theorem 3.6, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{range} \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) &= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(X)h : \ X \in C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n), h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\
&= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(Y)h : \ Y \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{N}_J), h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\
&= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} B_\beta^*)h : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, h \in \mathcal{H}\} \\
&= \overline{\operatorname{span}}\{\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(B_\beta^*)h : \ \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, h \in \mathcal{H}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, due to relation (3.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha^*)h, \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(B_\beta^*)k \right\rangle &= \left\langle h, \pi(B_\alpha)\pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\pi(B_\beta^*)h \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle h, T_\alpha \left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{|\gamma|\geq 1} a_\gamma T_\gamma T_\gamma^* \right) T_\beta^* h \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \Delta_{p,T} T_\alpha^* h, \Delta_{p,T} T_\beta^* k \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. Consequently, there is a unitary operator $\Lambda : \operatorname{range} \tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}) \to \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\pi}(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})\tilde{\pi}(B_\alpha^*)h) := \Delta_{p,T} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

This shows that

$$\dim[\operatorname{range} \pi(P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J})] = \dim \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{G}.$$

The required dilation is obtained using relations (3.22) and (3.23), and identifying $\mathcal{G}$ with $\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}$. The proof is complete. □

COROLLARY 3.16. *Let $V := (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ be the dilation of Theorem 3.15. Then,*

(i) *V is a constrained weighted shift if and only if $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_p$;*
(ii) *$\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha V_\alpha V_\alpha^* = I$ if and only if $\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* = I$.*

PROOF. Since

$$\Phi_{p,T}^k(I_{\mathcal{H}}) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{p,B}^k(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi} \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{H}$$

we have

$$\text{SOT-}\Phi_{p,T}^k(I_{\mathcal{H}}) = P_{\mathcal{H}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi} \end{bmatrix} |\mathcal{H}.$$

Consequently, T is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_p$ if and only if $P_{\mathcal{H}} P_{\mathcal{K}_\pi}|\mathcal{H} = 0$. The latter condition is equivalent to $\mathcal{H} \perp (0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_\pi)$, which implies $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}$ is reducing for $V_1, \dots, V_n$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ is the smallest reducing subspace for $V_1, \dots, V_n$, which contains $\mathcal{H}$, we must have $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}$. Therefore, (i) holds.

Assume now that $\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}^{n} a_\alpha V_\alpha V_\alpha^* = I_{\tilde{\mathcal{K}}}$. Since

$$\Phi_{p,V}^k(I_{\mathcal{K}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{p,B}^k(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{K}_\pi} \end{bmatrix},$$

we must have $\Phi_{p,B}^k(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}} = I_{\mathcal{K}_0}$ for any $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. On the other hand, since SOT-$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi_{p,B}^k(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}) = 0$, we deduce that $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{0\}$. Now, the proof of Theorem 3.15 implies $\mathcal{G} = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\Delta_{p,T} = 0$ and the proof is complete. □

The dilation is unique up to a unitary equivalence, under additional hypotheses on the C^*-algebra $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$.

Corollary 3.17. *Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 3.15 and that*

$$\overline{\text{span}}\,\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} = C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n). \tag{3.24}$$

Then the dilation of Theorem 3.15 is minimal, i.e., $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha \mathcal{H}$, *and it is unique up to a unitary equivalence.*

Proof. If condition (3.24) holds, then the map $\Psi_{f,T,J}$ in the proof of Theorem 3.15 is unique. The uniqueness of the minimal Stinespring representation [**94**] implies the uniqueness of the minimal dilation of Theorem 3.12. □

Using Theorem 3.4 and standard arguments concerning representation theory of C^*-algebras [**10**], one can deduce the following result.

Remark 3.18. *In addition to the hypotheses of Corollary 3.17, let* $T' := (T_1', \ldots, T_n') \in \mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{H}')$ *and let* $V' := (V_1', \ldots, V_n')$ *be the corresponding constrained dilation. Then the* n*-tuples* T *and* T' *are unitarily equivalent if and only if*

$$\dim \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}} = \dim \overline{\Delta_{p,T'}\mathcal{H}'}$$

and there are unitary operators $U : \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}} \to \overline{\Delta_{p,T'}\mathcal{H}'}$ *and* $\Gamma : \mathcal{K}_\pi \to \mathcal{K}_{\pi'}$ *such that* $\Gamma\pi(B_i) = \pi'(B_i)\Gamma$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, n$, *and*

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes U & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}'.$$

Let J be a two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f$ generated by a set $\mathcal{P}_J$ of polynomials and consider the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. For any n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, let $\mathcal{G}_J$ be the largest subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ which is invariant under each operator T_i^*, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that

$$(P_{\mathcal{G}_J} T_1|\mathcal{G}_J, \ldots, P_{\mathcal{G}_J} T_n|\mathcal{G}_J) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}.$$

We call the n-tuple

$$(P_{\mathcal{G}_J} T_1|\mathcal{G}_J, \ldots, P_{\mathcal{G}_J} T_n|\mathcal{G}_J)$$

the *maximal piece* of $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{G}_J = \overline{\text{span}}\left\{T_\alpha q(T_1, \ldots, T_n)\mathcal{H} : \ q \in \mathcal{P}_J, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\right\}^\perp.$$

If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is c.n.c., then the definition above makes sense when J is any w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Using Theorem 1.19 and its proof, one can easily deduce the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.19. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be an arbitrary w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $W_1, \dots, W_n$ be the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. Then the universal model $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, associated with $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$, is the maximal piece of $(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.*

Now, we turn our attention to the particular case when $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$ with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, the results of this section can be extended to a larger class of noncommutative varieties.

THEOREM 3.20. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. If $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$, then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *The constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$ defined by setting*
$$K_{f,T,J} := (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I) K_{f,T}$$
is an isometry, $K_{f,T,J}\mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant under each operator $B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and
$$T_i = K_{f,T,J}^*(B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}})K_{f,T,J}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.25}$$
- (ii) *The dilation index of T coincides with* $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{f,T}$.
- (iii) *If $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, then the J-constrained dilation $(B_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}})$, provided by* (3.25), *is minimal.*

If, in addition, $f = p$ is a polynomial, $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, and
$$\overline{\operatorname{span}}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} = C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n), \tag{3.26}$$
then the following statements hold:

- (iv) *The minimal J-constrained dilation $(B_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}})$ is unique up to an isomorphism.*
- (v) *The minimal J-constrained dilation $(B_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}})$ is the maximal piece of the dilation of T (provided by Theorem 3.15 when $J := \{0\}$), i.e., $(W_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \dots, W_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}})$, in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$.*

PROOF. Part (i) was proved in Section 1.5. To prove (ii), let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Hilbert space such that $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with a co-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ under each operator $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and such that $T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})|\mathcal{H}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, using relation (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* &= P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} \left[\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] |\mathcal{H} \\
&= P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} (I - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha W_\alpha W_\alpha^*) |\mathcal{N}_J \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] |\mathcal{H} \\
&= P_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}} \left[P_{\mathcal{N}_J} P_{\mathbb{C}} |\mathcal{N}_J \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \right] |\mathcal{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{f,T} \leq \dim \mathcal{D}$. Now, part (i) implies that the dilation index of T is equal to $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{f,T}$.

To prove (iii), assume that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$. Due to relation (1.7), $e_\alpha = \sqrt{b_\alpha} W_\alpha(1)$ and therefore $P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $|\alpha| \geq 1$. On the other hand, the definition of the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J}$ implies

$$P_0 K_{f,T,J} h = \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} P_{\mathcal{N}_J} e_\alpha \otimes \Delta_{f,T} T_\alpha^* h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H},$$

where $P_0 := P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}$. Therefore, $P_0 K_{f,T,J}\mathcal{H} = \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$. Using now Theorem 3.4 in the particular case when $\mathcal{M} := K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D} := \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$, we deduce that the subspace $K_{f,T,J}\mathcal{H}$ is cyclic for $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, which proves the minimality of the J-dilation provided by (3.25), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}) K_{J,T}\mathcal{H}. \tag{3.27}$$

To prove the last part of the theorem, assume that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, $f = p$ is a polynomial, and relation (3.26) holds. Consider another minimal J-constrained dilation of T, i.e.,

$$T_i = V^*(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})V, i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{3.28}$$

where $V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is an isometry, $V\mathcal{H}$ is co-invariant under each operator $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}) V\mathcal{H}. \tag{3.29}$$

Due to (3.25), there exists a unital completely positive linear map

$$\Psi : \operatorname{span}\{B_\alpha B_\beta^* : \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\} \to B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\Psi(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Moreover, Ψ has a unique extension to $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$. Consider the $*$-representations

$$\pi_1 : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}), \quad \pi_1(X) := X \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \text{ and}$$
$$\pi_2 : C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n) \to B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}), \quad \pi_2(X) := X \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Due to relations (3.25), (3.28), (3.26), and the co-invariance of the subspaces $K_{p,T,J}\mathcal{H}$ and $V\mathcal{H}$ under $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have

$$\Psi(X) = K_{p,T,J}^* \pi_1(X) K_{p,T,J} = V^* \pi_2(X) V \quad \text{for } \ X \in C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n).$$

Now, due to the minimality conditions (3.27) and (3.29), and relation (3.26), we deduce that π_1 and π_2 are minimal Stinespring dilations of Ψ. Since they are unique, there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$U(B_i \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}) = (B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})U, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{3.30}$$

and $UK_{p,T,J} = V$. Since U is unitary, we also have

$$U(B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}) = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})U, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

On the other hand, since $C^*(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ is irreducible (see Theorem 3.6), we must have $U = I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes Z$, where $Z \in B(\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{D})$ is a unitary operator. Therefore, $\dim \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{D}$ and $UK_{f,T,J}\mathcal{H} = V\mathcal{H}$, which proves that the two dilations are unitarily equivalent.

In the particular case when $J = \{0\}$, item (iv) shows that

$$W := (W_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \dots, W_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}})$$

is a realization of the minimal dilation of $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$. Using Proposition 3.19, one can easily see that the maximal piece of W in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$ coincides with $(B_1 \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}})$, which proves (v). The proof is complete. □

PROPOSITION 3.21. *Let p be a positive regular polynomial and let J be a w^*-closed ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$ and condition* (3.26) *holds. A pure n-tuple of operators $T \in \mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{H})$ has* $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{p,T} = m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, *if and only if it is unitarily equivalent to one obtained by compressing $(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}, \dots, B_n \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m})$ to a co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ under each operator $B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with the property that* $\dim P_0\mathcal{M} = m$, *where P_0 is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ onto the subspace $1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$.*

PROOF. The implication " $\Longrightarrow$ " follows from item (i) of Theorem 3.20. Conversely, assume that

$$T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m})|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathbb{C}^m$ is a co-invariant subspace under each operator $B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\dim P_0\mathcal{H} = m$. First, notice that $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{H})$ is of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. Consider the case when $m < \infty$. Since $P_0\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\dim P_0\mathcal{H} = m$, we deduce that $P_0\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^m$. Since $1 \in \mathcal{N}_J$, the latter condition is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{H}^\perp \cap \mathbb{C}^m = \{0\}. \tag{3.31}$$

Since $I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^* = P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank} \Delta_{p,T} &= \operatorname{rank} P_{\mathcal{H}} \left[\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^* \right) \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m} \right] |\mathcal{H} \\ &= \operatorname{rank} P_{\mathcal{H}} P_0 |\mathcal{H} = \dim P_{\mathcal{H}} P_0 \mathcal{H} \\ &= \dim P_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbb{C}^m. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{p,T} < m$, then there exists $h \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $h \neq 0$, with $P_{\mathcal{H}} h = 0$. This contradicts relation (3.31). Consequently, we must have $\operatorname{rank} \Delta_{p,T} = m$.

Now, we consider the case when $m = \infty$. According to Theorem 3.4 and its proof, we have

$$\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} (B_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m})\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E},$$

where $\mathcal{E} := P_0\mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{E}$ is reducing for $B_i \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we deduce that

$$T_i = P_{\mathcal{H}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{E}})|\mathcal{H}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Due to the uniqueness of the minimal J-constrained dilation of T (see part (iv) of Theorem 3.20), we deduce that

$$\dim \overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{E} = \infty.$$

This completes the proof. □

We can characterize now the pure n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$, having rank one, i.e., $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{p,T}=1$.

Corollary 3.22. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $1\in\mathcal{N}_J$ and condition (3.26) is satisfied.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{M}\subset\mathcal{N}_J$ is a co-invariant subspace under B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, then*

$$T:=(T_1,\dots,T_n),\quad T_i:=P_{\mathcal{M}}B_i|\mathcal{M},\ \ i=1,\dots,n,$$

is a pure n-tuple of operators in $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{p,T}=1$.

(ii) *If $\mathcal{M}'$ is another co-invariant subspace under B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, which gives rise to an n-tuple T', then T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}'$.*

(iii) *Every pure n-tuple $T\in\mathcal{V}_{p,J}$ with* $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{p,T}=1$ *is unitarily equivalent to one obtained by compressing $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ to a co-invariant subspace under B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}\subset\mathcal{N}_J$ is a co-invariant subspace under each operator B_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, we can use the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$-functional calculus and deduce that

$$\varphi(T_1,\dots,T_n)=P_{\mathcal{M}}\varphi(B_1,\dots,B_n)|\mathcal{M}=0,\quad \varphi\in J.$$

This shows that $(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{M})$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{M}}-\sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1}a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^* &= P_{\mathcal{M}}\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}-\sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1}a_\alpha B_\alpha B_\alpha^*\right)|\mathcal{M}\\ &= P_{\mathcal{M}}P_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathcal{N}_J}|\mathcal{M}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{p,T}\le 1$. Since

$$\Phi_{p,T}^k(I)=P_{\mathcal{M}}\Phi_{p,B}^k(I_{\mathcal{N}_J})|\mathcal{M},\quad k=1,2,\dots,$$

and $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ is a pure n-tuple in $\mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{N}_J)$, we deduce that $(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathcal{V}_{p,J}(\mathcal{M})$ is pure. This also implies that $\Delta_{p,T}\ne 0$, so $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{p,T}\ge 1$. Consequently, we have $\operatorname{rank}\Delta_{p,T}=1$.

To prove (ii), notice that, as in the proof of Proposition 3.21, one can show that T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if there exists a unitary operator $\Lambda:\mathcal{N}_J\to\mathcal{N}_J$ such that

$$\Lambda B_i=B_i\Lambda,\ \text{ for } i=1,\dots,n,\ \text{ and }\ \Lambda\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}'.$$

Hence $\Lambda B_i^*=B_i^*\Lambda$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Since $C^*(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ is irreducible (see Theorem 3.6) Λ must be a scalar multiple of the identity. Hence, we have $\mathcal{M}=\Lambda\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}'$.

Part (iii) of this corollary follows from Theorem 3.15, Corollary 3.16, and Corollary 3.17. □

3.4. Characteristic functions and model theory

Given a positive regular free holomorphic function f on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and an n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, we associate a characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T}$, which is a multi-analytic operator with respect to the universal model $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ of $\mathcal{D}_f$. We prove that the characteristic function is a complete unitary invariant and obtain a functional model for the class of completely non-coisometric elements of $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. Similar results are obtained for constrained characteristic functions $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ associated with the noncommutative varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$. In particular, the commutative case is discussed.

Let $f = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. Given the noncommutative domain

$$\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) := \left\{ (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \right\| \leq 1 \right\},$$

define $\Gamma := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ : \ a_\alpha \neq 0\}$ and $N := \operatorname{card} \Gamma$. If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, we define the row operator $C(T) := [\sqrt{a_{\tilde\alpha}} T_{\tilde\alpha} : \ \alpha \in \Gamma]$, where the entries are arranged in the lexicographic order of $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Note that $C(T)$ is an operator acting from $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ (the direct sum of N copies of $\mathcal{H}$) to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $(W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ (resp. $(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$) be the weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$.

We define the characteristic function of T to be the multi-analytic operator (with respect to the operators $W_1, \ldots, W_n$)

$$\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) : F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$$

with the formal Fourier representation

$$-I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes C(T) + \left(I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \Delta_{C(T)}\right) \left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde\alpha}^* \right)^{-1}$$
$$[\sqrt{a_{\tilde\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}} : \ \alpha \in \Gamma] \left(I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \Delta_{C(T)^*}\right),$$

where the defect operators associated with the row contraction $C(T)$ are

$$\Delta_{C(T)} := (I_{\mathcal{H}} - C(T)C(T)^*)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and}$$
$$\Delta_{C(T)^*} := (I - C(T)^* C(T))^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}^{(N)}),$$

while the defect spaces are $\mathcal{D}_{C(T)} := \overline{\Delta_{C(T)}\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*} := \overline{\Delta_{C(T)^*}\mathcal{H}^{(N)}}$.

The following factorization result will play an important role in our investigation.

Theorem 3.23. *If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, then the characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T}$ associated with T is a well-defined contractive multi-analytic operator with respect to the weighted left creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. Moreover,*

$$I - \Theta_{f,T}\Theta_{f,T}^* = K_{f,T} K_{f,T}^*, \tag{3.32}$$

where $K_{f,T}$ is the corresponding Poisson kernel associated with T and $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. Consider the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{C(T)} &:= \left[I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \sqrt{a_{\tilde\alpha}}T_{\tilde\alpha}:\ \alpha\in\Gamma\right],\\ \widehat{C(\Lambda)} &:= [\sqrt{a_{\tilde\alpha}}\Lambda_\alpha\otimes I_{\mathcal H}:\ \alpha\in\Gamma],\\ \widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r &:= \left[\sqrt{a_{\tilde\alpha}}r^{|\alpha|}\Lambda_\alpha\otimes I_{\mathcal H}:\ \alpha\in\Gamma\right],\quad 0<r<1,\end{aligned}$$

and define

$A := \widehat{C(T)}^*$, $B := \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}^*}$, $C := \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}}$, $D := -\widehat{C(T)}$, and $Z := \widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r$, $0<r<1$.

Since $C(T)$ is a contraction, the operator matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B\\ C & D\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{C(T)}^* & \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}^*}\\ \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}} & -\widehat{C(T)}\end{pmatrix}$$

is unitary. Therefore,

$$AA^*+BB^*=I,\ CC^*+DD^*=I,\ \text{and}\ AC^*+BD^*=0. \tag{3.33}$$

According to Section 1.1, we have $I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde\alpha}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^* = P_{\mathbb C}$, which implies $\|Z\| = \|\widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r\|\leq r<1$ for $0<r<1$. Since $(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathcal D_f(\mathcal H)$, we have $\|A\|\leq 1$ and consequently $\|ZA\|<1$.

Therefore, the operator

$$\Phi(Z) := D + C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZB$$

is well-defined and, using relation (3.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I-\Phi(Z)\Phi(Z)^* &= I - DD^* - C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZBD^* - DB^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\ &\quad - C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZBB^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\ &= CC^* + C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZAC^* + CA^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\ &\quad - C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZZ^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\ &\quad + C(I-ZA)^{-1}ZAA^*Z^*(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\ &= C(I-ZA)^{-1}\left[(I-ZA)(I-A^*Z^*) + ZA(I-A^*Z^*)\right.\\ &\quad \left.+(I-ZA)A^*Z^* - ZZ^* + ZAA^*Z^*\right](I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*\\ &= C(I-ZA)^{-1}(I-ZZ^*)(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$I-\Phi(Z)\Phi(Z)^* = C(I-ZA)^{-1}(I-ZZ^*)(I-A^*Z^*)^{-1}C^*. \tag{3.34}$$

Therefore, according to our notations and the definition of $\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1,\dots,r\Lambda_n)$, the defect operator

$$I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal H} - \Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1,\dots,r\Lambda_n)\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1,\dots,r\Lambda_n)^*$$

is equal to the product

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}}\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal H} - \widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r\widehat{C(T)}^*\right)^{-1}&\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal H} - \widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r\widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r^*\right)\\ &\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal H} - \widehat{C(T)}\widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r^*\right)^{-1}\Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}},\end{aligned}$$

which is a positive operator for any $r \in (0,1)$. Consequently, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1, \ldots, r\Lambda_n)\| \leq 1.$$

An operator-valued extension of Theorem 2.3 shows that $\Theta_{f,T}$ is a multi-analytic operator with respect to the weighted left creation operators associated with the domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, i.e., in the operator space $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}, \mathcal{D}_{C(T)})$, and the characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$ is equal to

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\left[-\widehat{C(T)} + \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}}\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r\widehat{C(T)}^*\right)^{-1}\widehat{C(\Lambda)}_r\Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}^*}\right].$$

Now, the above calculations and relations (2.15) and (2.18) of Section 2.4, reveal that

$$\begin{aligned}
&I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} - \Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1, \ldots, r\Lambda_n)\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1, \ldots, r\Lambda_n)^* \\
&\quad = \left(I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \Delta_{f,T}\right)\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} r^{|\alpha|}\Lambda_\alpha \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*\right)^{-1} \\
&\qquad \left[\left(I_{F^2(H_n)} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} r^{2|\alpha|}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right] \\
&\qquad \left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} r^{|\alpha|}\Lambda_\alpha^* \otimes T_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)^{-1}\left(I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \Delta_{f,T}\right) \\
&\quad = \left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde{\gamma}} r^{|\gamma|}\Lambda_\gamma \otimes \Delta_{f,T}T_{\tilde{\gamma}}^*\right)\left[\left(I_{F^2(H_n)} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} r^{2|\alpha|}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right] \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} b_{\tilde{\beta}} r^{|\beta|}\Lambda_\beta^* \otimes T_{\tilde{\beta}}\Delta_{f,T}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we recall from Section 1.1 that

$$\Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{b_\gamma}}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}} e_\gamma & \text{if } \alpha = \gamma\tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\beta}} & \text{if } \alpha = \tilde{\beta} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence, and using again that $I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^* = P_{\mathbb{C}}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{r\to 1}\left\langle [I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}-\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1,\ldots,r\Lambda_n)\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1,\ldots,r\Lambda_n)^*](e_\alpha\otimes h), e_\omega\otimes k\right\rangle\\
&\quad=\lim_{r\to 1}\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ |\gamma|\leq|\omega|}}\sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ |\beta|\leq|\alpha|}}\left\langle b_{\tilde\gamma}b_{\tilde\beta}r^{|\gamma|+|\beta|}\Lambda_\gamma\left(I_{F^2(H_n)}-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_{\tilde\alpha}r^{2|\alpha|}\Lambda_\alpha\Lambda_\alpha^*\right)\Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha, e_\omega\right\rangle\\
&\qquad\qquad\left\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_{\tilde\gamma}^*T_{\tilde\beta}\Delta_{f,T}h,k\right\rangle\\
&\quad=\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ |\gamma|\leq|\omega|}}\sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ |\beta|\leq|\alpha|}}\left\langle b_{\tilde\gamma}b_{\tilde\beta}\Lambda_\gamma P_{\mathbb{C}}\Lambda_\beta^* e_\alpha, e_\omega\right\rangle\left\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_{\tilde\gamma}^*T_{\tilde\beta}\Delta_{f,T}h,k\right\rangle\\
&\quad=\sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ |\beta|\leq|\alpha|}}\left\langle b_{\tilde\gamma}b_\alpha\Lambda_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}\right), e_\omega\right\rangle\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_{\tilde\gamma}^*T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h,k\rangle\\
&\quad=\sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+\\ |\beta|\leq|\alpha|}} b_{\tilde\gamma}\sqrt{b_\alpha}\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{\tilde\gamma}}}\langle e_{\tilde\gamma}, e_\omega\rangle\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_{\tilde\gamma}^*T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h,k\rangle\\
&\quad=\sqrt{b_\omega}\sqrt{b_\alpha}\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_\omega^*T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h,k\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha,\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $h\in\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}$, $k\in\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$. An operator-valued version of Theorem 1.12, shows that

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1}\Theta_{f,T}(r\Lambda_1,\ldots,r\Lambda_n)^*=\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)^*.$$

Therefore, taking into account the above computations, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle [I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}-\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)^*](e_\alpha\otimes h), e_\omega\otimes k\right\rangle\\
&\qquad=\sqrt{b_\omega}\sqrt{b_\alpha}\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_\omega^*T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h,k\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha,\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $h,k\in\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$.

On the other hand, using the definition of the Poisson kernel associated with $(T_1,\ldots,T_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, we deduce that $K_{f,T}^*(e_\alpha\otimes h)=\sqrt{b_\alpha}T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle K_{f,T}K_{f,T}^*(e_\alpha\otimes h), e_\omega\otimes k\rangle&=\left\langle K_{f,T}\left(\sqrt{b_\alpha}T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h\right), e_\omega\otimes k\right\rangle\\
&=\left\langle\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\left(\sqrt{b_\beta}\sqrt{b_\alpha}e_\beta\otimes\ \Delta_{f,T}T_\beta^*T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h\right), e_\omega\otimes k\right\rangle\\
&=\sqrt{b_\alpha}\sqrt{b_\omega}\langle \Delta_{f,T}T_\omega^*T_\alpha\Delta_{f,T}h,k\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h,k\in\mathcal{D}_T$ and $\alpha,\omega\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Taking into account the above relations, we deduce that

$$I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}-\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\ldots,\Lambda_n)^*=K_{f,T}K_{f,T}^*,$$

which completes the proof. □

We recall from Section 2.4 that the joint spectral radius of an n-tuple of operators $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, with respect to the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, is defined by

$$r_f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \Phi^k_{f,X}(I) \right\|^{1/2k},$$

where $\Phi_{f,X}(Y) := \sum\limits_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha Y X_\alpha^*$ for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$. We also recall that if $f = \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, then so is $\tilde{f} := \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha$.

Corollary 3.24. *Let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ and let $\Theta_{f,T}$ be its characteristic function. If $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{f}}(\mathcal{K})$ is an n-tuple of operators with joint spectral radius $r_{\tilde{f}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) < 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} &I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} - \Theta_{f,T}(X_1, \dots, X_n)\Theta_{f,T}(X_1, \dots, X_n)^* \\ &\quad = \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}} \left(I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \widehat{C(X)}\widehat{C(T)}^* \right)^{-1} \left(I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \widehat{C(X)}\widehat{C(X)}^* \right) \\ &\qquad\qquad \left(I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \widehat{C(T)}\widehat{C(X)}^* \right)^{-1} \Delta_{\widehat{C(T)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{C(X)} := [\sqrt{a_{\tilde{\alpha}}} X_\alpha \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}} : \ \alpha \in \Gamma]$ (see the notations from the proof of Theorem 3.23).

Proof. As in Section 2.4, we deduce that

$$r\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha \otimes T^*_{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \leq r_{\tilde{f}}(X_1, \dots, X_n) r_f(T_1, \dots, T_n).$$

Since $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, we have $r_f(T_1, \dots, T_n) \leq 1$. The above inequality shows that the spectral radius $r\left(\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha \otimes T^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right) < 1$ and the operator $\left(I_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_{\tilde{\alpha}} X_\alpha \otimes T^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded. Now, replacing Z by $\widehat{C(X)}$ in relation (3.34) (see the proof of Theorem 3.23), we obtain the required factorization. □

We introduce now the constrained characteristic function associated with n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J} \subset \mathcal{D}_f$.

Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. As in our previous sections, define the subspaces of $F^2(H_n)$,

$$\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{JF^2(H_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_J,$$

and the *constrained weighted left* (resp. *right*) *creation operators* associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$ and J by setting

$$B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_i|\mathcal{N}_J \quad \text{and} \quad C_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \Lambda_i|\mathcal{N}_J, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now, we assume that the ideal J is generated by a family $\mathcal{P}_J$ of polynomials in $W_1, \dots, W_n$ and the identity. An n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ is called *J-constrained* if it belongs to the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0 \ \text{ for } q \in \mathcal{P}_J\}.$$

We define the *constrained characteristic function* associated with $T\in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ to be the multi-analytic operator (with respect to the constrained shifts $B_1,\dots,B_n$)

$$\Theta_{f,T,J}=\Theta_{f,T,J}(C_1,\dots,C_n):\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$$

defined by the formal Fourier representation

$$\begin{aligned}-I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes C(T)+\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\Delta_{C(T)}\right)\Big(I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_{\tilde\alpha}C_\alpha\otimes T_{\tilde\alpha}^*\Big)^{-1}&\\ [\sqrt{a_{\tilde\alpha}}C_\alpha\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}:\ \alpha\in\Gamma]\left(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\Delta_{C(T)^*}\right)&,\end{aligned}$$

where $C_i:=P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\Lambda_i|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Taking into account that $\mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace under $\Lambda_1^*,\dots,\Lambda_n^*$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)})\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}\quad\text{and}\\ P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}=\Theta_{f,T,J}(C_1,\dots,C_n),\end{aligned}\tag{3.35}$$

where $\Theta_{f,T}$ is the characteristic function of T as an element of the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. Since $\Theta_{f,T}\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*},\mathcal{D}_{C(T)})$, it is easy to see that $\Theta_{f,T,J}\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*},\mathcal{D}_{C(T)})$.

Let us remark that the above definition of the constrained characteristic function makes sense (and has the same properties) when J is an arbitrary w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $T:=(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ is an arbitrary c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3.25. *Let $J\neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by a family of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_J\subset F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. If $T:=(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ is in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}-\Theta_{f,T,J}\Theta_{f,T,J}^*=K_{f,T,J}K_{f,T,J}^*,$$

where $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ is the constrained characteristic function of $T\in\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ and $K_{f,T,J}$ is the corresponding constrained Poisson kernel.

Moreover, the factorization above holds when J is an arbitrary w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $T:=(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathcal{V}_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})$ is a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators.

Proof. The constrained Poisson kernel associated with $T\in\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ is the operator $K_{f,T,J}:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_{C(T)}\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$K_{f,T,J}:=(P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{C(T)}\mathcal{H}}})K_{f,T},\tag{3.36}$$

where $K_{f,T}$ is the Poisson kernel of $T\in\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. According to the proof of Theorem 3.12, we have range $K_{f,T}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\overline{\Delta_{C(T)}\mathcal{H}}$. Using Theorem 3.23 and taking the compression of relation (3.32) to the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\subset F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}-P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\Theta_{f,T}(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}&\\ =P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}K_{f,T}K_{f,T}^*|\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}&.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, and taking into account relations (3.35), (3.36), and that $C_i^*=\Lambda_i^*|\mathcal{N}_J$, $i=1,\dots,n$, we infer that

$$I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}-\Theta_{f,T,J}(C_1,\dots,C_n)\Theta_{f,T,J}(C_1,\dots,C_n)^*=K_{f,T,J}K_{f,T,J}^*.$$

The last part of the theorem can be proved in a similar manner, using Theorem 3.20 and Theorem 3.23. The proof is complete. □

Now we present a functional model for c.n.c. n-tuples of operators $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, in terms of the constrained characteristic functions.

THEOREM 3.26. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be a c.n.c. n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety*

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}) := \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}) : \ \varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0, \quad \varphi \in J\}.$$

Then $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is unitarily equivalent to the n-tuple $\mathbb{T} := (\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J})$ on the Hilbert space

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J} := \Big[\big(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\big) \oplus \overline{\Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*})} \Big] \\ \ominus \big\{ \Theta_{f,T,J}\varphi \oplus \Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}\varphi : \ \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*} \big\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}} := \Big(I - \Theta_{f,T,J}^* \Theta_{f,T,J}\Big)^{1/2}$ and the operator $\mathbb{T}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is uniquely defined by the relation

$$\big(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\big) \mathbb{T}_i^* x = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{C(T)}\mathcal{H}}}) \big(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\big) x, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J},$$

where $P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}$ is an injective operator, $P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}$ is the orthogonal projection of the Hilbert space $\big(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\big) \oplus \overline{\Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*})}$ onto the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$, and $B_1, \dots, B_n$ are the constrained weighted left creation operators associated with $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.

Moreover, T is a pure n-tuple of operators in $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, if and only if the constrained characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ is an inner multi-analytic operator. In this case, T is unitarily equivalent to the n-tuple

$$\big(P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(B_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}})|\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}, \dots, P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(B_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}})|\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}\big), \tag{3.37}$$

where $P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$ onto the Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J} = \big(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\big) \ominus \Theta_{f,T,J}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}).$$

PROOF. Define the Hilbert space

$$\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J} := \big(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\big) \oplus \overline{\Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*})}$$

and denote by $P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}$ onto the subspace $\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$, defined in the theorem. In what follows, we show that there is a unique unitary operator $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ such that

$$\Gamma(K_{f,T,J}^* g) = P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0), \quad g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}. \tag{3.38}$$

First, let us prove that

$$\|K_{f,T,J}^* g\| = \|P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0)\|, \quad g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}. \tag{3.39}$$

Due to Theorem 3.25, we have

$$\|K_{f,T,J}^* g\|^2 + \|\Theta_{f,T,J}^* g\|^2 = \|g\|^2, \quad g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}. \tag{3.40}$$

On the other hand, note that the operator $\Phi : \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*} \to \mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}$ defined by

$$\Phi\varphi := \Theta_{f,T,J}\varphi \oplus \Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*},$$

is an isometry and

$$\Phi^*(g \otimes 0) = \Theta_{f,T,J}^* g, \quad g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}. \tag{3.41}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|^2 &= \|P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0)\|^2 + \|\Phi\Phi^*(g \oplus 0)\|^2 \\ &= \|P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0)\|^2 + \|\Theta_{f,T,J}^* g\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$. Hence, and using (3.40), we deduce (3.39).

Since $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is c.n.c. n-tuple in $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$, Proposition 1.11 shows that $K_{f,T}$ is a one-to-one operator. On the other hand, $K_{f,T,J} = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \mathcal{H})K_{f,T}$ and the range of $K_{f,T}$ is included in the subspace $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}(\mathcal{H})}$. Consequently, the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J}$ is also one-to-one and range $K_{f,T,J}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, let $x \in \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ and assume that $x \perp P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$. Using the definition of $\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ and the fact that $\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}$ coincides with the span

$$\left\{g \oplus 0 : \ g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\right\} \bigvee \left\{\Theta_{f,T,J}\varphi \oplus \Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}\right\},$$

we deduce that $x = 0$. This shows that

$$\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J} = \left\{P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0) : \ g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\right\}$$

Hence, and using relation (3.39), we infer that there is a unique unitary operator Γ satisfying relation (3.38).

For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, let $\mathbb{T}_i : \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J} \to \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ be the transform of T_i under the unitary operator $\Gamma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ defined by (3.38), that is,

$$\mathbb{T}_i := \Gamma T_i \Gamma^*, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

We prove now that, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\left(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right) \mathbb{T}_i^* x = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}) \left(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right) x \tag{3.42}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ First, notice that using Theorem 3.25, relation 3.41, and the fact that Φ is an isometry, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} \Gamma K_{f,T,J}^* g &= P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(g \oplus 0) = g - P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} \Phi\Phi^*(g \oplus 0) \\ &= g - \Theta_{f,T,J}\Theta_{f,T,J}^* g = K_{f,T,J} K_{f,T,J}^* g\end{aligned}$$

for any $g \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$. Consequently, using the fact that the range of $K_{f,T,J}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, we deduce that

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} \Gamma = K_{f,T,J}. \tag{3.43}$$

Hence, and taking into account that the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J}$ is one-to-one, we can conclude that

$$P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}} = K_{f,T,J} \Gamma^* \tag{3.44}$$

is a one-to-one operator acting from $\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ to $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$. Now, using relation (3.43) and the properties of the constrained Poisson kernel, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right) \mathbb{T}_i^* \Gamma h &= \left(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right) \Gamma T_i^* h = K_{f,T,J} T_i^* h \\ &= \left(B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right) K_{f,T,J} h \\ &= \left(B_i^* \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right) \left(P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right) \Gamma h\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence, we deduce relation (3.42). We remark that, since the operator $P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}$ is one-to-one (see (3.44)), the relation (3.42) uniquely determines each operator $\mathbb{T}_i^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

To prove that last part of the theorem, assume that $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a pure n-tuple in $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$. Due to the proof of Theorem 1.15, the constrained Poisson kernel $K_{f,T,J} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$ is an isometry. Consequently, $K_{f,T,J}K_{f,T,J}^*$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}$ onto $K_{f,T,J}\mathcal{H}$. Using Theorem 3.25, we have

$$K_{f,T,J}K_{f,T,J}^* + \Theta_{f,T,J}\Theta_{f,T,J}^* = I_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \overline{\Delta_{f,T}\mathcal{H}}}.$$

This shows that $K_{f,T,J}K_{f,T,J}^*$ and $\Theta_{f,T,J}\Theta_{f,T,J}^*$ are mutually orthogonal projections. Therefore, $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ is a partial isometry, i.e., an inner multi-analytic operator, with respect to $B_1, \dots, B_n$. Consequently, $\Theta_{f,T,J}^*\Theta_{f,T,J}$ is a projection. This implies that $\Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}$ is the projection on the orthogonal complement of range $\Theta_{f,T,J}^*$.

Note that $u \oplus v \in \mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}$ is in the subspace $\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ if and only if

$$\left\langle u \oplus v, \Theta_{f,T,J}\varphi \oplus \Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}\varphi \right\rangle = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}$, which is equivalent to

$$\Theta_{f,T,J}^* u + \Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}} v = 0. \tag{3.45}$$

According to the above observations, we have $\Theta_{f,T,J}^* u \perp \Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}} v$. Consequently, relation (3.45) holds if and only if $\Theta_{f,T,J}^* u = 0$ and $v = 0$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J} = \left(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\right) \ominus \Theta_{f,T,J}(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}).$$

Notice also that, $P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}$ is the restriction operator and relation (3.42) implies

$$\mathbb{T}_i = P_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}})|\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Conversely, if we assume that $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ is inner, then it is a partial isometry. Once again, Theorem 3.25 implies that $K_{f,T,J}$ is a partial isometry. On the other hand, since T is c.n.c., Proposition 1.11 shows that $K_{f,T}$ and, consequently, $K_{f,T,J}$ are one-to-one partial isometries, and therefore isometries. Due to relation (1.22), we have

$$K_{f,T,J}^* K_{f,T,J} = K_{f,T}^* K_{f,T} = I_{\mathcal{H}} - \text{SOT-}\lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^k(I).$$

Consequently, we have SOT- $\lim_{k\to\infty} \Phi_{f,T}^k(I) = 0$, which proves that T is a pure n-tuple of operators with respect to $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$. This completes the proof. □

Let $\Phi \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ and $\Phi' \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1', \mathcal{K}_2')$ be two multi-analytic operators with respect to the constrained shifts $B_1, \dots, B_n$ associated with $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. We say that Φ and Φ' coincide if there are two unitary operators $\tau_j \in B(\mathcal{K}_j, \mathcal{K}_j')$ such that

$$\Phi'(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau_1) = (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau_2)\Phi.$$

Now we can show that the constrained characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ is a complete unitary invariant for c.n.c. n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.

THEOREM 3.27. *Let $J \neq F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ and $T' := (T_1', \dots, T_n') \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}')$ be two c.n.c. n-tuples of operators. Then T and T' are unitarily equivalent if and only if their constrained characteristic functions $\Theta_{f,T,J}$ and $\Theta_{f,T',J}$ coincide.*

Proof. First assume that the n-tuples T and T' are unitarily equivalent and let $U:\mathcal{H}\to\mathcal{H}'$ be a unitary operator such that $T_i=U^*T_i'U$ for any $i=1,\dots,n$. We recall that $\Gamma:=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+:\ a_\alpha\neq 0\}$, $N:=\operatorname{card}\Gamma$, and

$$C(T):=[\sqrt{a_{\tilde{\alpha}}}T_{\tilde{\alpha}}:\ \alpha\in\Gamma]$$

is the the row operator with the entries are arranged in the lexicographic order of $\Gamma\subset\mathbb{F}_n^+$. Note that $C(T)$ is an operator acting from $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ (the direct sum of N copies of $\mathcal{H}$) to $\mathcal{H}$. Since $\Delta_{C(T)}^2=I-\sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1}a_\alpha T_\alpha T_\alpha^*$, we deduce that $U\Delta_{C(T)}=\Delta_{C(T')}U$. Similarly, we obtain $(\oplus_{i=1}^N U)\Delta_{C(T)^*}=\Delta_{C(T)'^*}(\oplus_{i=1}^N U)$. Define the unitary operators τ and τ' by setting

$$\tau:=U|\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}:\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\to\mathcal{D}_{C(T')}\ \text{ and }\ \tau':=(\oplus_{i=1}^N U)|\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}:\mathcal{D}_{C(T)*}\to\mathcal{D}_{C(T')^*}.$$

Using the definition of the constrained characteristic function, it is easy to show that

$$(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau)\Theta_{f,T,J}=\Theta_{f,T',J}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau').$$

Conversely, assume that the constrained characteristic functions of T and T' coincide. Then there exist unitary operators $\tau:\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}\to\mathcal{D}_{C(T')}$ and $\tau_*:\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}\to\mathcal{D}_{C(T')^*}$ such that

$$(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau)\Phi_{f,T,J}=\Phi_{f,T',J}(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*). \tag{3.46}$$

It is clear that relation (3.46) implies

$$\Delta_{\Phi_{f,T,J}}=(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*)^*\,\Delta_{\Phi_{f,T',J}}\,(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*)$$

and

$$(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*)\,\overline{\Delta_{\Phi_{f,T,J}}(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*})}=\overline{\Delta_{\Phi_{f,T',J}}(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T')^*})}.$$

Define now the unitary operator $U:\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}\to\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}$ by setting

$$U:=(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau)\oplus(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*).$$

Simple computations reveal that the operator $\Phi:\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*}\to\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}$, defined by

$$\Phi\varphi:=\Theta_{f,T,J}\varphi\oplus\Delta_{\Theta_{f,T,J}}\varphi,\quad \varphi\in\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*},$$

and the corresponding Φ' satisfy the following relations:

$$U\Phi\,(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*)^*=\Phi' \tag{3.47}$$

and

$$(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau)\,P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}U^*=P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T')}}, \tag{3.48}$$

where $P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}$ onto $\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$. Note also that relation (3.47) implies

$$\begin{aligned}U\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}&=U\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}\ominus U\Phi(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*})\\&=\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}\ominus\Phi'(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau_*)(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*})\\&=\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}\ominus\Phi'(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{D}_{C(T')^*}).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the operator $U|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}:\mathbb{H}_{J,T}\to\mathbb{H}_{f,T',J}$ is unitary.

On the other hand, we have

$$(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T')}})(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau)=(I_{\mathcal{N}_J}\otimes\tau)(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}). \tag{3.49}$$

Let $\mathbb{T} := (\mathbb{T}_1, \dots \mathbb{T}_n)$ and $\mathbb{T}' := (\mathbb{T}'_1, \dots \mathbb{T}'_n)$ be the model operators provided by Theorem 3.26 for T and T', respectively. Using the relation (3.42) for T' and T, as well as (3.48) and (3.49), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T')}} \mathbb{T}'^*_i U x &= (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T')}}) P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} U x \\ &= (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T')}})(I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau) P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} x \\ &= (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau)(B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_{C(T)}}) P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} x \\ &= (I_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes \tau) P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T,J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}} \mathbb{T}_i^* x \\ &= P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T')}} U \mathbb{T}_i^* x \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Using the fact that $P^{\mathbb{K}_{f,T',J}}_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T')}} |_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T',J}}$ is an one-to-one operator (see Theorem 3.26), we deduce that

$$\left(U|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right) \mathbb{T}_i^* = \mathbb{T}'^*_i \left(U|_{\mathbb{H}_{f,T,J}}\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Finally, using again Theorem 3.26, we conclude that the n-tuples T and T' are unitarily equivalent. The proof is complete. □

We remark that in the particular case when $J = \{0\}$, we obtain the characteristic function $\Theta_{f,T}$ and model theory for n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$.

Now, we discuss the commutative case when $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{for} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Assume that $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. If J_c is the w^*-closed two-sided ideal of $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by the polynomials

$$\{W_i W_j - W_j W_i : \ i, j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

then $\mathcal{N}_{J_c} = F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$, the symmetric weighted Fock space, and

$$L_i := P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} W_i |_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are the weighted creation operators on the symmetric weighted Fock space. We showed in Section 1.6, that the symmetric Fock space $F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)$ can be identified with the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$, which is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_f : \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_f(z, w) := \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha z_\alpha \bar{w}_\alpha}, \qquad z, w \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) := \{z := (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha |z_\alpha|^2 < 1\}.$$

We proved in Section 1.6 that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c}) := P_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)} F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)|_{F_s^2(\mathcal{D}_f)}$, is the w^*-closed algebra generated by the operators L_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the identity. Moreover, we showed that $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$ can be identified with the algebra of all multipliers of $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$. Under this identification, the weighted creation operators $L_1, \dots, L_n$, generating $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$, become the multiplication operators

$M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_n}$ by the coordinate functions $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$. If the n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$, then $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J_c}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if

$$T_iT_j = T_jT_i, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Under the above-mentioned identifications, the constrained characteristic function of $T \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J_c}(\mathcal{H})$ is the multiplication operator

$$M_{\Theta_{f,T,J_c}} : H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)^*} \to H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{C(T)}$$

defined by

$$\Theta_{f,T,J_c}(z) := -C(T) + \Delta_{C(T)} \left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|\geq 1} a_\alpha z_\alpha T_\alpha^* \right)^{-1} [\sqrt{a_\alpha} z_\alpha I_{\mathcal{H}} : \ \alpha \in \Gamma] \, \Delta_{C(T)^*}$$

for $z \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 3.28. *All the results of this section can be written in the particular case when $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is a completely non-coisometric n-tuple of commuting operators in $\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H})$.*

A few comments about the results of this section are necessary. Note that, in particular, if $p = \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a positive regular polynomial, then $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ is a Reinhardt domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We should remark that we can recover, in this particular setting, the results from [**26**] when $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ is a pure n-tuple of commuting operators.

When $p = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ and $(T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ is a commuting n-tuple of operators, we obtain the characteristic function introduced in [**84**] and [**24**], and further studied in [**85**], [**25**] and [**20**].

3.5. Curvature invariant for n-tuples of operators in $\mathcal{D}_p$

We introduce the curvature and $*$-curvature associated with n-tuples of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$, where p is a positive regular noncommutative polynomial. We prove the existence of these numerical invariants and present basic properties. We show that both the curvature and $*$-curvature can be express in terms of the characteristic function $\Theta_{p,T}$ of $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$. The particular case when $\mathcal{D}_{p_e}(\mathcal{H})$ is the noncommutative ellipsoid

$$\{(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \ a_1X_1X_1^* + \cdots + a_nX_nX_n^* \leq I\}$$

is discussed in greater details.

Throughout this section, we assume that $p := \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a positive regular polynomial, i.e., $a_\alpha \geq 0$ and $a_\alpha > 0$ if $|\alpha| = 1$. As in the previous sections, we associate with the n-tuple of operators $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ the completely positive linear map

$$\Phi_{p,T}(X) := \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha T_\alpha X T_\alpha^*, \quad X \in B(\mathcal{H}).$$

The *adjoint* of $\Phi_{p,T}$ is defined by $\Phi_{p,T}^*(X) := \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha T_\alpha^* X T_\alpha, \quad X \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

LEMMA 3.29. *If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\operatorname{trace} \Phi_{p,T}(X) \leq \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| \operatorname{trace}(X) \leq \left(\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \right) \operatorname{trace}(X) \tag{3.50}$$

for any positive trace class operator X, where the coefficients b_α, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, are defined by (1.2).

PROOF. If $X \geq 0$ is a trace class operator, then

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{trace} \Phi_{p,T}(X) &= \operatorname{trace} \left(\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} X^{1/2} a_\alpha T_\alpha^* T_\alpha X^{1/2} \right) = \operatorname{trace} [X^{1/2} \Phi_{p,T}^*(I) X^{1/2}] \\ &\leq \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| \operatorname{trace} X.\end{aligned}$$

Using the von Neumann inequality of Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| &= \|[\sqrt{a_\alpha} T_\alpha^* :\ 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m]\|^2 \\ &\leq \|[\sqrt{a_\alpha} W_\alpha^* :\ 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m]\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha W_\alpha^* W_\alpha \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

The latter inequality is due to the fact that $\|W_\alpha\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_\alpha}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ (see Section 1.1). The proof is complete. □

In what follows, we define the curvature $\operatorname{curv}_p : \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H}) \to [0, \infty)$ and show that it exists.

THEOREM 3.30. *Let $p := \sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} a_\alpha X_\alpha$ be a positive regular noncommutative polynomial. If $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace} \left\{ K_{p,T}^* \left[I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}} \right] K_{p,T} \right\}}{\sum_{j=0}^{k} \left(\sum_{1\leq|\alpha|\leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \right)^j} \tag{3.51}$$

exists, where $K_{p,T}$ is the Poisson kernel associated with T and the defect operator $\Delta_{p,T} := (I - \Phi_{p,T}(I))^{1/2}$. Moreover,

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(T) < \infty \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \operatorname{trace}(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)) < \infty.$$

PROOF. Due to the properties of the Poisson kernel $K_{p,T}$ (see Section 1.2), we have $K_{p,T} T_i^* = (W_i^* \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) K_{p,T}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $K_{p,T}^* K_{p,T} = I - Q_{p,T}$, where $Q_{p,T} := \lim_{m\to\infty} \Phi_{p,T}^m(I)$. Notice also that

$$K_{p,T}^* (\Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I) K_{p,T} = \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I - Q_{p,T}) = \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I) - Q_{p,T}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{p,T}^*[I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}]K_{p,T} &= K_{p,T}^* K_{p,T} - K_{p,T}^*[\Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}]K_{p,T} \\ &= I - Q_{p,T} - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I) + Q_{p,T} \\ &= I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I). \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence of positive operators $\{I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is increasing, it is clear that $\operatorname{curv}_p(T) = \infty$ whenever $\operatorname{trace}(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)) = \infty$.

Assume now that $\operatorname{trace}(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)) < \infty$ and denote $\gamma := \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}$. Due to relation (1.2), we have $b_{g_i} = a_{g_i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Consequently, $\gamma \geq n$ and the equality holds if and only if the positive regular polynomial p has the form $a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n$.

First we consider the case when $\gamma > 1$. Using the definition (3.51) and the calculations above, we have

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(T) = (\gamma - 1) \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)]}{\gamma^k}. \tag{3.52}$$

We show now that this limit exists. Since

$$I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I) = I - \Phi_{p,T}(I) + \Phi_{p,T}(I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{3.53}$$

we infer that $I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I)$ is a trace class operator. From Lemma 3.29 and relation and (3.53), we obtain

$$\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I)] \leq \gamma \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)] + \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)]. \tag{3.54}$$

Therefore, setting

$$x_k := \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)]}{\gamma^k} - \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k-1}(I)]}{\gamma^{k-1}},$$

relation (3.54) implies

$$x_k \leq \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)]}{\gamma^k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Since $\gamma > 1$, it is clear that $\sum\limits_{k:\, x_k \geq 0} x_k < \infty$. Furthermore, every partial sum of the negative x_k's is greater then or equal to $-\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)]$, so $\sum_{k:\, x_k < 0} x_k$ converges. Therefore, $\sum_k x_k$ is convergent and consequently

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)]}{\gamma^k}$$

exists, which, due to relation (3.52), implies the existence of the limit defining the curvature.

Now, we consider the case when $\gamma = 1$. Using again Lemma 3.29, we get

$$0 \leq \operatorname{trace}[\Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I))] \leq \operatorname{trace}[\Phi_{p,T}^k(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I))] \tag{3.55}$$

for any $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Hence, the sequence $\{\operatorname{trace}[\Phi_{p,T}^k(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I))]\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is decreasing and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \operatorname{trace}[\Phi_{p,T}^k(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I))]$$

exists. Consequently, using an elementary classical result and the calculations presented in the beginning of the proof, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{curv}_p(T) :&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\{K^*_{p,T}[I-\Phi^k_{p,W}(I)\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}]K_{p,T}\}}{k}\\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{trace}\{K^*_{\varphi,T}[(\Phi^{k-1}_{p,W}(I)-\Phi^k_{p,W}(I))\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}]K_{p,T}\}\\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{trace}[\Phi^{k-1}_{p,T}(I-\Phi_{p,T}(I))].
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Corollary 3.31. *Let* $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ *be in the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ *and let* $\gamma := \sum_{1\le|\alpha|\le m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}$*. Then*

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(T) = (\gamma-1)\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{trace}[I-\Phi^k_{p,T}(I)]}{\gamma^k} \qquad \text{if } \gamma>1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{curv}_p(T) &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{trace}[I-\Phi^k_{p,T}(I)]}{k}\\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty}\operatorname{trace}[\Phi^k_{p,T}(I-\Phi_{p,T}(I))]
\end{aligned}$$

if $\gamma = 1$*. Moreover,*

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(T) \le \operatorname{trace}[I-\Phi_{p,T}(I)] \le \operatorname{rank}[I-\Phi_{p,T}(I)].$$

Proof. The equalities above were obtained in the proof of Theorem 3.30. To prove the last part, assume first that $\gamma > 1$. Using the inequality (3.54), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{trace}[I-\Phi^{k+1}_{p,T}(I)]}{\gamma^{k+1}} &\le \sum_{m=1}^{k+1}\frac{\operatorname{trace}[I-\Phi_{p,T}(I)]}{\gamma^m}\\
&= \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I-\Phi_{p,T}(I)]}{\gamma^{k+1}}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{k+1}-1}{\gamma-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the last part of the corollary. When $\gamma = 1$, one can use inequality (3.55) to complete the proof. □

Due to the Theorem 3.30 and Corollary 3.31, it is easy to see that if we have $A := (A_1, \dots, A_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and $B := (B_1, \dots, B_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{K})$, then the direct sum $A \oplus B := (A_1 \oplus B_1, \dots, A_n \oplus B_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{K})$ and

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(A\oplus B) = \operatorname{curv}_p(A) + \operatorname{curv}_p(B).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{K}$ is finite dimensional, then $\operatorname{curv}_p(A \oplus B) = \operatorname{curv}_p(A)$.

We can write now the curvature invariant in terms of the characteristic function associated with $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 3.32. *If* $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ *is in the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ *and rank* $\Delta_{p,T} < \infty$*, then*

$$\operatorname{curv}_p(T) = \lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\operatorname{trace}\left[\left(I-\Theta_{p,T}\Theta^*_{p,T}\right)\left(I-\Phi^{k+1}_{p,W}(I)\otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right)\right]}{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{k}\left(\sum\limits_{1\le|\alpha|\le m}\frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}\right)^j} \tag{3.56}$$

exists, where $\Theta_{p,T}$ *is the characteristic function associated with* T.

PROOF. Since $I - \Phi_{p,W}(I) = P_{\mathbb{C}}$ (see Section 1.1), the operator

$$I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \Phi_{p,W}^{k}(I - \Phi_{p,W}(I)), \qquad k = 0, 1, \ldots$$

has finite rank. On the other hand, rank $\Delta_{p,T} < \infty$ implies that $I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}$ has also finite rank. Using the properties of the trace and the factorization result of Theorem 3.30, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{trace}\left[K_{p,T}^*\left(I \ -\Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right) K_{p,T}\right] \\ &= \text{trace}\left\{\left(I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(I - \Theta_{p,T}\Theta_{p,T}^*\right) \left(I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right)^{1/2}\right\} \\ &= \text{trace}\left[\left(I - \Theta_{p,T}\Theta_{p,T}^*\right) \left(I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I_{\overline{\Delta_{p,T}\mathcal{H}}}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 3.23, we have $I - \Theta_{p,T}\Theta_{p,T}^* = K_{p,T}K_{p,T}^*$. Now, we can complete the proof. □

PROPOSITION 3.33. *Let* $p_1 := a_1X_1 + \cdots + a_nX_n$ *be a positive regular polynomial and let* $W := (W_1, \ldots, W_n)$ *be the model operator associated with the noncommutative domain* $\mathcal{D}_{p_1}$. *A pure* n*-tuple of operators* $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p_1}(\mathcal{H})$ *is unitarily equaivalent to* $(W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})$, *where* $\mathcal{K}$ *is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, if and only if*

$$\text{curv}_{p_1}(T) = \dim\left(I - \Phi_{p_1,T}(I)\right)^{1/2}\mathcal{H} < \infty. \tag{3.57}$$

PROOF. First, notice that due to the results of Section 1.1, $W_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}}S_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Consequently, $\Phi_{p_1,W}^k(I) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $(I - \Phi_{p_1,W}(I)) = P_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Assume that there is a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that $T_i = U^*(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})U$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $\Phi_{p_1,T}^k(I) = U^*\left[\Phi_{p_1,W}(I) \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}\right]U$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, we deduce that $\dim\left(I - \Phi_{p_1,T}(I)\right)^{1/2}\mathcal{H} = \dim\mathcal{K} < \infty$ and

$$\text{curv}_{p_1}(T) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\text{trace}\left[\left(I - \sum_{|\alpha|=k} S_\alpha S_\alpha^*\right) \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}\right]}{1 + n + n^2 + \cdots + n^k} = \dim\mathcal{K}.$$

Conversely, assume that the n-tuple $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p_1}(\mathcal{H})$ is pure and satisfies the condition (3.57). If $Y_i := \sqrt{a_i}T_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then $Y := [Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]$ is a row contraction and $\text{curv}_{p_1}(T) = \text{curv}(Y)$, where $\text{curv}(Y)$ is the curvature associated with a row contraction Y (see [**86**]). Notice that due to relation (3.57), we have

$$\text{curv}(Y) = \dim(I - Y_1Y_1^* - \cdots - Y_nY_n^*)^{1/2}\mathcal{H} < \infty.$$

Using Theorem 3.4 from [**86**], we deduce that $Y := [Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]$ is unitarily equivalent to $[S_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}, \ldots, S_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}]$ for some finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Therefore, $(T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ is unitarily equivalent to $(W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})$. This completes the proof. □

We introduce now the $*$-curvature associated with $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 3.34. *If $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T) := \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\{K_{p,T}^*[I - \Phi_{p,W}^{k+1}(I) \otimes I]K_{p,T}\}}{1 + \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| + \cdots + \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|^k}. \tag{3.58}$$

exists. Moreover, $\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T) < \infty$ *if and only if* $\operatorname{trace}(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)) < \infty$.

PROOF. When $\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| \geq 1$, the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.30. All we have to do is to replace γ by $\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|$ and use Lemma 3.29.

Now, assume $\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| < 1$. Since

$$I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I) = I - \Phi_{p,T}(I) + \Phi_{p,T}(I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)),\ k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

Lemma 3.29 implies

$$\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^{k+1}(I)] \leq \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)] + \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)]. \tag{3.59}$$

Iterating relation (3.59), we deduce that the sequence $\{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)]\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Since the sequence of operators$\{I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is increasing, we infer that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)]$ exists. According to the definition (3.58) and the calculations at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.30, we get

$$\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T) = (1 - \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|) \lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)].$$

The proof is complete. □

The $*$-curvature can be expressed in terms of the characteristic function of $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$. A result similar to Theorem 3.32 holds. Since the proof is essentially the same, we shall omit it.

COROLLARY 3.35. *If $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is in the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T) = \begin{cases} (\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| - 1) \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)]}{\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|^k} & \text{if } \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| > 1, \\ \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{trace}[\Phi_{p,T}^k(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I))] & \text{if } \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| = 1, \\ (1 - \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|) \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}^k(I)] & \text{if } \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if $\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| \geq 1$, then

$$\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T) \leq \operatorname{trace}[I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)] \leq \operatorname{rank}[I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)].$$

PROOF. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 3.31. □

Let Λ be a nonempty set of positive numbers $t > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{trace} \Phi_{p,T}(X) \leq t \operatorname{trace} X$$

for any positive trace class operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Notice that Theorem 3.34 and Corollary 3.35 remain true (with exactly the same proofs) if we replace $\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|$ with $t \in \Lambda$. The corresponding curvature is denoted by $\operatorname{curv}_p^t(T)$.

When $d := \inf \Lambda$, the curvature $\operatorname{curv}_p^d(T)$ is called the distinguished curvature associated with $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(\mathcal{H})$ and with respect to Λ. Now, using the analogues of

Theorem 3.34 and Corollary 3.35 for the curvatures $\operatorname{curv}_p^t(T)$, $t \in \Lambda$, one can easily prove the following. If $\operatorname{curv}_p^t(T) > 0$, then

$$\operatorname{curv}_p^t(T) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{curv}_p^d(T) & \text{if } \ t \geq 1, \\ \frac{1-t}{1-d} \operatorname{curv}_p^d(T) & \text{if } \ t < 1. \end{cases}$$

As we will see latter, if $\operatorname{curv}_p^{t_0}(T) = 0$ for some $t_0 \in \Lambda$, then, in general, $\operatorname{curv}_p^d(T) \neq 0$. Therefore, the distinguished curvature $\operatorname{curv}_p^d(T)$ is a refinement of all the other curvatures $\operatorname{curv}_p^t(T)$, $t \in \Lambda$.

All the results of this section concerning the $*$-curvature have analogues (and similar proofs) for the distinguished curvature.

Corollary 3.36. *If* $\operatorname{trace}(I - \Phi_{p,T}(I)) < \infty$ *and*

$$0 < \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| < \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha},$$

then $\operatorname{curv}_p(T) = 0$.

Proof. Assume $\operatorname{curv}_p(T) > 0$ and let $\gamma := \sum\limits_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}$. Due to Theorem 3.34, we have $\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T) < \infty$, which implies $\frac{\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T)}{\operatorname{curv}_p(T)} < \infty$. On the other hand, due to the fact that $\|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| < \gamma$, we can use Theorem 3.30 and Theorem 3.34 to deduce that

$$\frac{\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T)}{\operatorname{curv}_p(T)} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1 + \gamma + \cdots + \gamma^k}{1 + \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| + \cdots + \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\|^k} = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $\operatorname{curv}_p(T) = 0$. □

Due to Corollary 3.36, the curvature invariant $\operatorname{curv}_p(T)$ does not distinguish among the Hilbert modules over $\mathbb{CF}_n^+$ with $0 < \|\Phi_{p,T}^*(I)\| < \sum\limits_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \frac{a_\alpha}{b_\alpha}$. However, in this case, our $*$-curvature $\operatorname{curv}_p^*(T)$ in not zero in general.

Proposition 3.37. *Let* $p_1 := a_1 X_1 + \cdots + a_n X_n$ *be a polynomial with* $a_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

(i) *For any* $t > 0$ *there exists* $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p_1}(\mathcal{H})$ *such that*

$$\operatorname{curv}_{p_1}(T) = t.$$

(ii) *For any* $t > 0$ *there exists* $A := (A_1, \ldots, A_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p_1}(\mathcal{H})$ *such that*

$$\operatorname{curv}_{p_1}(A) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{curv}_{p_1}^*(A) = t.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3.8 from [**86**], there exists a row contraction $Y := [Y_1, \ldots, Y_n] \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ such that $\dim (I - Y_1 Y_1^* - \cdots Y_n Y_n^*)^{1/2} \mathcal{H} < \infty$ and $\operatorname{curv}(Y) = t$. Setting $T_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_i}} Y_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n) \in \mathcal{D}_{p_1}(\mathcal{H})$. Due to our Theorem 3.30 and Corollary 2.7 from [**86**], we deduce that

$$\operatorname{curv}_{p_1}(T) = \operatorname{curv}(Y) = t.$$

To prove part (ii), let $2 \leq m \leq n-1$ and define the positive regular polynomial $q := c_1 X_1 + \cdots c_m X_m$, where $c_j := a_j$ if $j = 1, \ldots, m-1$ and $c_m := \sqrt{a_m + a_{m+1} + \cdots + a_n}$. Due to part (i), we can find an m-tuple of operators $(T_1, \ldots, T_m) \in \mathcal{D}_q(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\operatorname{curv}_q(T) = t$. Using Corollary 3.36, we deduce that $\|\Phi^*_{q,T}(I)\| = m$. Now, define $A_i := T_i$ if $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$ and $A_j := T_m$ if $j = m, \ldots, n$. Notice that $\Phi_{q,T}(I) = \Phi_{p_1,A}(I)$ and $\Phi^*_{q,T}(I) = \Phi^*_{p_1,A}(I)$. Since $\|\Phi^*_{q,T}(I)\| = m$, we must have $\|\Phi^*_{p_1,A}(I)\| = m$. Hence, and using Theorem 3.34 and Theorem 3.30, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curv}^*_{p_1}(A) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[I - \Phi^{k+1}_{p_1,A}(I)]}{1 + \|\Phi^*_{p,T}(I)\| + \cdots + \|\Phi^*_{p,T}(I)\|^k} \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[I - \Phi^{k+1}_{q,T}(I)]}{1 + m + m^2 \cdots + m^k} \\ &= \operatorname{curv}_q(T) = t \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $m < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curv}_{p_1}(A) &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[I - \Phi^{k+1}_{p_1,A}(I)]}{1 + n + n^2 \cdots + n^k} \\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty} \left[\frac{\operatorname{trace}\,[I - \Phi^{k+1}_{q,T}(I)]}{1 + m + m^2 \cdots + m^k} \cdot \frac{1 + m + m^2 \cdots + m^k}{1 + n + n^2 \cdots + n^k} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{curv}_q(T) \cdot 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

An important problem that remains open is whether Proposition 3.33 and Proposition 3.37 remain true for noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_p$ generated by arbitrary positive regular polynomials.

CHAPTER 4

Commutant lifting and applications

4.1. Interpolation on noncommutative domains

In this section we provide a Sarason type commutant lifting theorem for pure n-tuples of operators in noncommutative domains $\mathcal{D}_f$ (resp. varieties $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$) and we obtain Nevanlinna-Pick and Schur-Carathéodory type interpolation results.

Let $f(X_1,\dots,X_n) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha X_\alpha$, $a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$. According to Section 1.1, f satisfies the conditions

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k}|a_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2k}<\infty,$$

$a_\alpha\geq 0$ for any $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$, $a_{g_0}=0$, and $a_{g_i}>0$, $i=1,\dots,n$.

Using Parrott's lemma [**61**], one can prove the following commutant lifting theorem which extends Arias' result [**5**] to our more general setting. Since the proof follows the same lines we should omit it.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let $(W_1,\dots,W_n)$ and $(\Lambda_1,\dots\Lambda_n)$ be the weighted left (resp.right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$. For each $j=1,2$, let $\mathcal{E}_j\subset F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$ be a co-invariant subspace under each operator $W_j\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$, $i=1,\dots,n$. If $X:\mathcal{E}_1\to\mathcal{E}_2$ is a bounded operator such that*

$$X[P_{\mathcal{E}_1}(W_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1}]=[P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(W_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{E}_2}]X,\quad i=1,\dots,n,$$

then there exists

$$\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)$$

such that $\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*\mathcal{E}_2\subseteq\mathcal{E}_1$,

$$\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2=X^*,\qquad \textit{and}\qquad \|\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\|=\|X\|.$$

We remark that a similar result to Theorem 4.1 holds if we start with co-invariant subspaces under $\Lambda_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$, $i=1,\dots,n$.

Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and assume that $T:=(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ is a pure the n-tuple of operators in the noncommutative variety

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H}):=\{X_1,\dots,X_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f(\mathcal{H}):\varphi(T_1,\dots,T_n)=0\quad\text{for any}\ \ \varphi\in J\}\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Due to Theorem 3.20, the n-tuple $(T_1,\dots,T_n)\in\mathcal{V}_{f,J}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitarily equivalent to the compression of $(B_1\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}},\dots,B_n\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})$ to a co-invariant subspace $\mathcal{E}$ under each operator $B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Therefore, we have

$$T_i=P_{\mathcal{E}}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}})|\mathcal{E},\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

As in our previous sections, define the subspaces of $F^2(H_n)$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{JF^2(H_n)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \mathcal{M}_J,$$

and the *constrained weighted left* (resp. *right*) *creation operators* associated with $\mathcal{D}_f$ and J by setting

$$B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_i|\mathcal{N}_J \quad \text{and} \quad C_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \Lambda_i|\mathcal{N}_J, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

The following result is a Sarason type [**91**] commutant lifting theorem for pure n-tuples of operators in the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $(B_1, \ldots, B_n)$ and $(C_1, \ldots, C_n)$ be the corresponding constrained weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. For each $j = 1, 2$, let $\mathcal{K}_j$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{E}_j \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j$ be a co-invariant subspace under each operator $B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. If $X : \mathcal{E}_1 \to \mathcal{E}_2$ is a bounded operator such that*

$$X[P_{\mathcal{E}_1}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1}] = [P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(B_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{E}_2}]X, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{4.2}$$

then there exists

$$G(C_1, \ldots, C_n) \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$$

such that $G(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^* \mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1$,

$$G(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2 = X^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \|G(C_1, \ldots, C_n)\| = \|X\|.$$

Proof. The subspace $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j$ is invariant under each operator $W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and

$$(W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j = B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_j \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j$ is invariant under $B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$ it is also invariant under $W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}$ and therefore

$$(W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j = (B_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Consequently, relation (4.2) implies

$$XP_{\mathcal{E}_1}(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{E}_1} = P_{\mathcal{E}_2}(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{E}_2}X, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{4.3}$$

It is clear that, for each $j = 1, 2$, the n-tuple $(W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j}, \ldots, W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})$ is a dilation of the n-tuple

$$[P_{\mathcal{E}_j}(W_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j, \ldots, P_{\mathcal{E}_j}(W_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_j})|\mathcal{E}_j].$$

Due to Theorem 4.1, we can find $\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$, a multi-analytic operator with respect to $W_1, \ldots, W_n$, such that $\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)^* \mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1$,

$$\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2 = X^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)\| = \|X\|. \tag{4.4}$$

Now, let $G(C_1, \ldots, C_n) := P_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_2} \Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)|\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_1$. According to Section 3.1, we have

$$G(C_1, \ldots, C_n) \in [P_{\mathcal{N}_J} R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)|\mathcal{N}_J] \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2) = R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J}) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2).$$

On the other hand, since $\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)^*(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_j \subseteq \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{K}_j$, relation (4.4) implies

$$G(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^* \mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad G(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^*|\mathcal{E}_2 = X^*.$$

Using again relation (4.4), we have

$$\|X\| \le \|G(C_1, \ldots, C_n)\| \le \|\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)\| = \|X\|.$$

Therefore, $\|G(C_1,\dots,C_n)\| = \|X\|$. The proof is complete. □

We remark that in the particular case when $\mathcal{E}_j := \mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{K}_j$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is a co-invariant subspace under each operator B_i and C_i, $i=1,\dots,n$, the implication of Theorem 4.2 becomes an equivalence. Indeed, the implication " $\Longrightarrow$ " is clear from the same theorem. For the converse, let $X = P_{\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{K}_2}\Psi(C_1,\dots,C_n)|\mathcal{G}\otimes\mathcal{K}_1$, where $\Psi(C_1,\dots,C_n)\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{K}_1,\mathcal{K}_2)$. Since $B_iC_j = C_jB_i$ for $i,j=1,\dots,n$, we have

$$(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})\Psi(C_1,\dots,C_n)^* = \Psi(C_1,\dots,C_n)^*(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2}),\quad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Now, taking into account that $\mathcal{G}$ is an invariant subspace under each of the operators B_i^* and C_i^*, $i=1,\dots,n$, we deduce relation (4.2). This proves our assertion.

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ and $(C_1,\dots,C_n)$ be the corresponding constrained weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{G}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J$ is an invariant subspace under each of the operators B_i^* and C_i^*, $i=1,\dots,n$, then*

$$\{[P_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{W}(B_1,\dots,B_n)|\mathcal{G}]\otimes I_{\mathcal{K}}\}' = [P_{\mathcal{G}}\mathcal{W}(C_1,\dots,C_n)|\mathcal{G}]\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{K}).$$

If $f(X_1,\dots,X_n) := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha X_\alpha$, $a_\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, is a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, we denote

$$\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathbb{C}^n:\ \sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1} a_\alpha|\lambda_\alpha|^2 < 1\right\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{f,J}^\circ(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}):\ g(\lambda_1,\dots\lambda_n) = 0 \text{ for } g\in J\right\}.$$

We recall, from Section 1.6, that the mapping $K:\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K_f(\mu,\lambda) := \frac{1}{1-\sum_{|\alpha|\ge 1} a_\alpha\mu_\alpha\overline{\lambda}_\alpha}\quad \text{for all }\ \lambda,\mu\in\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$$

is a positive definite kernel on $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$.

Now we can obtain the following Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation result in our setting.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ and $(C_1,\dots,C_n)$ be the corresponding constrained weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. Let $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k$ be k distinct points in the variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and let $A_1,\dots,A_k\in B(\mathcal{K})$.*

Then there exists $\Phi(C_1,\dots,C_n)\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\|\Phi(C_1,\dots,C_n)\|\le 1 \quad \textit{and}\quad \Phi(\lambda_j) = A_j,\ j=1,\dots,k,$$

if and only if the operator matrix

$$\left[(I_{\mathcal{K}} - A_iA_j^*)K_f(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)\right]_{k\times k} \tag{4.5}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Proof. Let $\lambda_j := (\lambda_{j1}, \ldots, \lambda_{jn}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$, and denote $\lambda_{j\alpha} := \lambda_{ji_1}\lambda_{ji_2}\ldots\lambda_{ji_m}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1}g_{i_2}\ldots g_{i_m} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $\lambda_{jg_0} := 1$. As in Section 1.6, define the vectors

$$z_{\lambda_j} := \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \sqrt{b_\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{j\alpha} e_\alpha, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

According to Theorem 1.17, for any $g \in J$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have

$$\langle z_\lambda, [W_\alpha g(W_1, \ldots, W_n)W_\beta](1)\rangle = \overline{\lambda}_\alpha \overline{g(\lambda)}\overline{\lambda}_\beta = 0,$$

which implies $z_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n) \ominus \overline{J(1)}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{f,J}^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Note also that, since $B_i^* = W_i^*|\mathcal{N}_J$ and $C_i^* = \Lambda_i^*|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the same theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} &B_i^* z_{\lambda_j} = W_i^* z_{\lambda_j} = \overline{\lambda}_{ji} z_{\lambda_j} \quad \text{for } \ i = 1, \ldots, n; j = 1, \ldots, k, \text{ and} \\ &C_i^* z_{\lambda_j} = \Lambda_i^* z_{\lambda_j} = \overline{\lambda}_{ji} z_{\lambda_j} \quad \text{for } \ i = 1, \ldots, n; j = 1, \ldots, k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that the subspace

$$\mathcal{M} := \operatorname{span}\{z_{\lambda_j} : \ j = 1, \ldots, k\}$$

is invariant under B_i^* and Λ_i^* for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}_J$. Define the operators $X_i \in B(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by setting $X_i = P_\mathcal{M} B_i|_\mathcal{M} \otimes I_\mathcal{K}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Since $z_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, z_{\lambda_k}$ are linearly independent, we can define an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ by setting

$$T^*(z_{\lambda_j} \otimes h) = z_{\lambda_j} \otimes A_j^* h \tag{4.7}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{K}$ and $j = 1, \ldots, k$. A simple calculation using relations (4.6) and (4.7) shows that $TX_i = X_i T$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a co-invariant subspace under each operator B_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we can apply Theorem 4.2 and find $\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K})$ such that the operator

$$\Phi(C_1, \ldots, C_n) := P_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}} \Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)|_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{K}} \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{K})$$

has the properties

$$\Phi(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^* \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K}, \quad \Phi(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^*|\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{K} = T^*, \tag{4.8}$$

and $\|\Phi(C_1, \ldots, C_n)\| = \|T\|$.

Now, we prove that $\Phi(\lambda_j) = A_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$, if and only if

$$P_{\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{K}} \Phi(C_1, \ldots, C_n)|_{\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{K}} = T.$$

Indeed, notice that since $\Lambda_i^* z_{\lambda_j} = \overline{\lambda}_{ji} z_{\lambda_j}$ we have

$$\varphi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$$

for any $\varphi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n) \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda)^*(z_\lambda \otimes h) = z_\lambda \otimes \Phi(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)^* h \tag{4.9}$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ and $h \in \mathcal{K}$. Now, due to relations (4.6) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \Phi(C_1, \ldots, C_n)^*(z_{\lambda_j} \otimes x), z_{\lambda_j} \otimes y \right\rangle &= \left\langle \Phi(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda)^*(z_{\lambda_j} \otimes x), z_{\lambda_j} \otimes y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle z_{\lambda_j} \otimes \Phi(\lambda_j)^* x, z_{\lambda_j} \otimes y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_j} \right\rangle \left\langle \Phi(\lambda_j)^* x, y \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, relation (4.7) implies

$$\left\langle T^*(z_{\lambda_j}\otimes x), z_{\lambda_j}\otimes y\right\rangle = \left\langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_j}\right\rangle \left\langle A_j^* x, y\right\rangle.$$

Due to Theorem 1.17, we have $\left\langle z_{\lambda_j}, z_{\lambda_j}\right\rangle = K_f(\lambda_j,\lambda_i)\neq 0$ for any $j=1,\dots,k$. Consequently, the above relations imply our assertion.

Now, since $\|\Phi(C_1,\dots,C_n)\| = \|T\|$, it is clear that $\|\Phi(C_1,\dots,C_n)\|\leq 1$ if and only if $TT^*\leq I_{\mathcal{M}}$. Notice that, for any $h_1,\dots,h_k\in\mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \sum_{j=1}^k z_{\lambda_j}\otimes h_j, \sum_{j=1}^k z_{\lambda_j}\otimes h_j\right\rangle - \left\langle T^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_{\lambda_j}\otimes h_j\right), T^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_{\lambda_j}\otimes h_j\right)\right\rangle \\ = \sum_{i,j=1}^k \left\langle z_{\lambda_i}, z_{\lambda_j}\right\rangle \left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} - A_j A_i^*)h_i, h_j\right\rangle \\ = \sum_{i,j=1}^k K_f(\lambda_j,\lambda_i)\left\langle (I_{\mathcal{K}} - A_j A_i^*)h_i, h_j\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $\|\Phi(C_1,\dots,C_n)\|\leq 1$ if and only if the matrix (4.5) is positive semidefinite. This completes the proof. □

Let us make a few remarks. According to Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.9, we have $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f) = U^*(F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde f}))U$. On the other hand, an n-tuple $(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_n)$ is in $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if it is in $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde f}^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Now, using Theorem 1.19 and Theorem 4.4, we can deduce that following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let f be a positive regular free holomorphic function on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let $\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_k$ be k distinct points in $\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Given $A_1,\dots,A_k\in B(\mathcal{K})$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *there exists $\Psi\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{K})$ such that*

$$\|\Psi\|\leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\lambda_j) = A_j,\ j=1,\dots,k;$$

(ii) *there exists $\Phi\in H^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))\bar\otimes B(\mathcal{K})$ such that*

$$\|\Phi\|\leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\lambda_j) = A_j,\ j=1,\dots,k,$$

where $H^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$ is the algebra of multipliers of $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$;

(iii) *the operator matrix*

$$\left[(I_{\mathcal{K}} - A_i A_j^*)K_f(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)\right]_{k\times k}$$

is positive semidefinite.

Using this corollary, we can obtain the the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let p be a positive regular free polynomial on $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ and let φ be a complex-valued function defined on the Reinhardt domain $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})\subset\mathbb{C}^n$, such that*

$$|\varphi(z_1,\dots,z_n)| < 1 \quad \text{for all } (z_1,\dots,z_n)\in\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then there exists $F\in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that

$$\varphi(z_1,\dots,z_n) = F(z_1,\dots,z_n) \quad \text{for all } (z_1,\dots,z_n)\in\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C}),$$

if and only if for each k-tuple of distinct points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, the matrix

$$\left[(1-\varphi(\lambda_i)\overline{\varphi(\lambda_j)})K_p(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)\right]_{k\times k} \tag{4.10}$$

is positive semidefinite. In particular, if (4.10) *holds, then φ is analytic on $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. One implication follows from Corollary 4.5. Conversely, assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ is such that the matrix (4.10) is positive semidefinite for any k-tuple of distinct points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a countable dense set in the Reinhardt domain $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Applying Theorem 4.4, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we find $F_k \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $\|F_k\| \leq 1$ and

$$F_k(\lambda_j) = \varphi(\lambda_j) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \tag{4.11}$$

Since the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ is w^*-closed subalgebra in $B(F^2(H_n))$ and $\|F_k\| \leq 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can use Alaoglu's theorem to find a subsequence $\{F_{k_m}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ and $F \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$ such that $F_{k_m} \to F$, as $m \to \infty$, in the w^*-topology. Since $\lambda_j := (\lambda_{j1}, \dots, \lambda_{jn}) \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, the n-tuple is also of class $C_{\cdot 0}$. Due to Theorem 1.12, the $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$-functional calculus for c.n.c n-tuples of operators is WOT-continuous on bounded sets. Consequently, we deduce that $F_{k_m}(\lambda_j) \to F(\lambda_j)$, as $m \to \infty$, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence and using (4.11), we obtain $\varphi(\lambda_j) = F(\lambda_j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Given an arbitrary element $z \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, we can apply again the above argument to find $G \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_p)$, $\|G\| \leq 1$ such that

$$G(z) = \varphi(z) \ \text{ and } \ G(\lambda_j) = \varphi(\lambda_j), \ j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Due to Proposition 2.21, the maps $\lambda \mapsto G(\lambda)$ and $\lambda \mapsto F(\lambda)$ are analytic on the Reinhardt domain $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. Since they coincide on the set $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, which is dense in $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, we deduce that $G(\lambda) = F(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, we have $F(z) = \varphi(z)$. Since z is an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{D}_p^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, the proof is complete. □

Let $\mathcal{P}_m$ be the set of all polynomials in the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$, of degree less then or equal to $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Here is our version of Schur-Carathéodory interpolation problem on the Hardy algebra $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $p(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) := \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes B_{(\alpha)}$ be a polynomial in $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$. Then there is an element $\varphi(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes D_{(\alpha)}$ in $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$ with*

$$\|\varphi(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_{(\alpha)} = D_{(\alpha)} \ \text{ for any } \ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \ |\alpha| \leq m,$$

if and only if

$$\|P_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2} p(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)|_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_1}\| \leq 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}_m$ is invariant under each operator W_i^* and Λ_i^*, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $W_i\Lambda_j = \Lambda_j W_i$ for any $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$(W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1}) p(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)^*|_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2} = p(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)^*(W_i^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2}.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$X[P_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_1}(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_1})|_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_1}] = [P_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2}(W_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{K}_2})|_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2}]X, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $X := P_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2} p(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)|_{\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_1}$. Applying Theorem 4.1, we find

$$\Phi(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} \Lambda_\alpha \otimes D_{(\alpha)} \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)\bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$$

such that $\|\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)\| = \|X\|$, $\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*(\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2)\subseteq\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_1$, and

$$\Phi(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*|\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2 = p(\Lambda_1,\dots,\Lambda_n)^*|\mathcal{P}_m\otimes\mathcal{K}_2.$$

Using the definition of the weighted right creation operators associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, the latter equality applied on vectors of the form $1\otimes h$, $h\in\mathcal{K}_2$, implies $D_{(g_0)} = B_{(g_0)}$. Now, using the same equality on vectors of type $e_{g_i}\otimes h$, $i=1,\dots,n$, we obtain $\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{g_i}}}\otimes D^*_{(g_i)}h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_{g_i}}}\otimes B^*_{(g_i)}h$ and, hence, $D_{(g_i)} = B_{(g_i)}$. Continuing this process and applying the above equality on vector $e_\alpha\otimes h$ with $|\alpha| = 2,3,\dots,m$, we deduce that $D_{(\alpha)} = B_{(\alpha)}$ for $|\alpha|\leq m$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We remark that Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.6, and Theorem 4.7 can be recast now as statements for multipliers of $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$.

4.2. Corona theorem for a class of Hardy algebras

In this section, we prove a corona type theorem for a class of Hardy algebras associated with the noncommutative domain $\mathcal{D}_f$, or the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$.

Let us recall that if J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, then the *constrained weighted left* (resp. *right*) *creation operators* associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$ are defined by setting

$$B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J}W_i|\mathcal{N}_J \quad\text{and}\quad C_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\Lambda_i|\mathcal{N}_J,\quad i=1,\dots,n,$$

where $\mathcal{N}_J := F^2(H_n)\ominus\overline{JF^2(H_n)}$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let J be a w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ and let $(B_1,\dots,B_n)$ and $(C_1,\dots,C_n)$ be the corresponding constrained weighted left (resp. right) creation operators associated with the noncommutative variety $\mathcal{V}_{f,J}$. If $A\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}')$ and $B\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}'',\mathcal{H}')$, then there exists a contraction $G\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}'')$ such that $A=BG$ if and only if $AA^*\leq BB^*$.*

Proof. Assume that $AA^*\leq BB^*$. Then, there is a contraction $Y:\mathcal{M} := \overline{B^*(\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}')}\to\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $YB^*=A^*$. Since $B\in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}'',\mathcal{H}')$, Corollary 4.3 implies

$$B(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}''}) = (B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})B,\quad i=1,\dots,n,$$

where $B_i := P_{\mathcal{N}_J}W_i|\mathcal{N}_J$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Hence, the subspace $\mathcal{M}\subseteq\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}''$ is invariant under each operator $B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}''}$, $i=1,\dots,n$. Define the operators

$$T_i := P_{\mathcal{M}}(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}''})|\mathcal{M},\quad i=1,\dots,n,$$

acting from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{M}$. Since A is in the operator space $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}')$, while B is in $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}'',\mathcal{H}')$, and $YB^*=A^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Y(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}''})B^*x &= YB^*(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})x = A^*(B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}'})x\\ &= (B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})A^*x = (B_i^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})YB^*x\end{aligned}$$

for any $x\in\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}'$. Hence,

$$Y^*(B_i\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) = T_iY^*,\quad i=1,\dots,n. \tag{4.12}$$

Applying the commutant lifting of Theorem 4.2 in our particular case, we find an operator $G \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}'')$ such that $G^*|_{\mathcal{M}} = Y$ and $\|G\| = \|Y^*\|$. Now, it is clear that

$$A = BY^* = BP_{\mathcal{M}}G = B(P_{\mathcal{M}} + P_{\mathcal{M}}^\perp)G = BG.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Applying Theorem 4.8 to the particular case when $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}'$ and $A = \delta I_{\mathcal{N}_J\otimes\mathcal{H}'}$, $\delta > 0$, we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 4.9. *Let $B \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}'',\mathcal{H}')$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *There is $D \in B(\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}', \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}'')$ such that $BD = I$;*
(ii) *There is $\delta > 0$ such that $\|B^*h\| \geq \delta\|h\|$, for any $h \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}'$;*
(iii) *There is $G \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}',\mathcal{H}'')$ such that $BG = I$.*

Another consequence of Theorem 4.8 is the following corona type result for the algebra $R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H})$.

Corollary 4.10. *If $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H})$, then there exist operators $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$\varphi_1 g_1 + \cdots + \varphi_k g_k = I$$

if and only if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\varphi_1\varphi_1^* + \cdots + \varphi_k\varphi_k^* \geq \delta^2 I.$$

Proof. Take

$$B := [\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k] \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H}^{(k)},\mathcal{H}), \text{ and}$$

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_k \end{bmatrix} \in R_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}^{(k)})$$

and apply Corollary 4.9. □

We remark that a similar result can be obtained for $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J})\bar{\otimes}B(\mathcal{H})$.

Now, let us discuss a few particular cases. First, we consider the case when $J = \{0\}$. If $\varphi_i \in F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we denote by $\sigma_r(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ the right joint spectrum with respect to the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ be a k-tuple of operators in the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$. Then the following properties hold:*

(i) *$(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \notin \sigma_r(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ if and only if there is $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(\lambda_1 I - \varphi_1)(\overline{\lambda}_1 I - \varphi_1^*) + \cdots + (\lambda_k I - \varphi_k)(\overline{\lambda}_k I - \varphi_k^*) \geq \delta^2 I;$$

(ii) *$\sigma_r(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^k$ and*

$$\{(\varphi_1(\lambda), \ldots, \varphi_k(\lambda)) : \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})\}^- \subseteq \sigma_r(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k).$$

Proof. The first part of the corollary follows from the remarks preceding Proposition 1.18, and Corollary 4.10. According to Theorem 1.17, we have

$$\varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda \quad \text{for all } \ \lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}).$$

Denote $\mu_j := \varphi_j(\lambda)$, $j = 1,\dots,k$, and notice that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \|(\overline{\mu}_j I - \varphi(W_1,\dots,W_n)^*) z_\lambda\|^2 = 0.$$

Since $\|z_\lambda\| \neq 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C})$, we can use again Corollary 4.10 and deduce that $(\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k) \in \sigma_r(\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_k)$. $\square$

Consider now the particular case when J_c is the w^*-closed two-sided ideal of the Hardy algebra $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{D}_f)$ generated by $W_iW_j - W_jW_i$, $i,j = 1,\dots,n$. Using Theorem 1.19 and Theorem 1.22, we can write all the results of this section for $F_n^\infty(\mathcal{V}_{f,J_c})$, the algebra of all multipliers of the Hilbert space $H^2(\mathcal{D}_f^\circ(\mathbb{C}))$. We leave this task to the reader.

Bibliography

[1] J. Agler, The Arveson extension theorem and coanalytic models, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **5** (1982), 608-631.

[2] J. Agler, Hypercontractions and subnormality, *J. Operator Theory* **13** (1985), 203–217.

[3] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy, Complete Nevanlina-Pick kernels, *J. Funct. Anal.* **175** (2000), 111–124.

[4] L. Aizenberg, Multidimensional analogues of Bohr's theorem on power series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1147–1155.

[5] A. Arias, Projective modules of Fock spaces *J. Operator Theory* **52** (2004), no. 1, 139–172.

[6] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Factorization and reflexivity on Fock spaces, *Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.* **23** (1995), 268–286.

[7] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms II, *Houston J. Math.* **25** (1999), No. 1, 79–97.

[8] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.

[9] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras, *Acta.Math.* **123** (1969), 141–224.

[10] W.B. Arveson, *An invitation to C^*-algebras*, Graduate Texts in Math., **39**. Springer-Verlag, New-York-Heidelberg, 1976.

[11] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, *Acta Math.* **181** (1998), 159–228.

[12] W.B. Arveson, The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **522** (2000), 173–236.

[13] J. A. Ball, I. Gohberg, and L. Rodman, *Interpolation of Rational Matrix Functions*, **OT 45**, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel-Boston, 1990.

[14] J. A. Ball, T. T. Trent, and V. Vinnikov, Interpolation and commutant lifting for multipliers on reproducing kernels Hilbert spaces, *Operator Theory and Analysis: The M.A. Kaashoek Anniversary Volume*, pages 89–138, **OT 122**, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2001.

[15] J.A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov, On bitangential interpolation problem for contractive-valued functions on the unit ball, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **353** (2002), 107–147.

[16] J. A. Ball and V. Bolotnikov, Realization and interpolation for Schur-Agler-class functions on domains with matrix polynomial defining function in $\mathbb{C}^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **213** (2004), 45–87.

[17] J. A. Ball and V. Vinnikov, Lax-Phillips Scattering and Conservative Linear Systems: A Cuntz-Algebra Multidimensional Setting, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **837**, (2005).

[18] J. A. Ball and V. Vinnikov, Functional models for representations of the Cuntz algebra, *Operator theory, systems theory and scattering theory: multidimensional generalizations*, 1–60, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **157**, Birkhuser, Basel, 2005.

[19] J. A. Ball, G. Groenewald, and T. Malakorn, Conservative structured noncommutative multidimensional linear systems, *The state space method generalizations and applications*, 179–223, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **161**, Birkhuser, Basel, 2006.

[20] C. Benhida, and D. Timotin, Characteristic functions for multicontractions and automorphisms of the unit ball, *Integr. Equat. Oper.Th.* **57** (2007), no. 2, 153–166.

[21] C. Benhida, and D. Timotin, Some automorphism invariance properties for multicontractions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **56** (2007), no. 1, 481–499.

[22] A. Beurling On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Math.* **81**, (1948), 239–251.

[23] B.V.R. Bhat, T. Bhattacharyya, and S. Dey, Standard noncommuting and commuting dilations of commuting tuples, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2003), 1551–1568.

[24] T. Bhattacharyya, J. Eschmeier, and J. Sarkar, Characteristic function of a pure commuting contractive tuple, *Integral Equation Operator Theory* **53** (2005), no.1, 23–32.

[25] T. Bhattacharyya, J. Eschmeier, and J. Sarkar, On CNC commuting contractive tuples, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **116** (2006), no. 3, 299–316.

[26] T. Bhattacharyya and J. Sarkar, Characteristic function for polynomially contractive commuting tuples, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321** (2006), no. 1, 242–259.

[27] H.P. Boas and D. Khavinson, Bohr's power series theorem in several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** 1997, 2975–2979.

[28] H. Bohr, A theorem concerning power series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2)**13** 1914, 1–5.

[29] J. W. Bunce, Models for n-tuples of noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57**(1984), 21–30.

[30] C. Carathéodory, Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffzienten von Potenzreinen die gegebene Werte nicht annehmen, *Math. Ann.* **64** (1907), 95–115.

[31] J.B. Conway, *Functions of one complex variable. I.* Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **159**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[32] R.E. Curto, F.H. Vasilescu, Automorphism invariance of the operator-valued Poisson transform, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **57** (1993), 65–78.

[33] R.E. Curto, F.H. Vasilescu, Standard operator models in the polydisc, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42** (1993), 791–810.

[34] R.E. Curto, F.H. Vasilescu, Standard operator models in the polydisc II, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **44** (1995), 727–746.

[35] K. R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Integr. Equat. Oper.Th.* **31** (1998), 321–337.

[36] K. R. Davidson and D. Pitts, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.

[37] K.R. Davidson and D. Pitts, Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 401–430.

[38] K.R. Davidson, D.W. Kribs, and M.E. Shpigel, Isometric dilations of non-commuting finite rank n-tuples, *Canad. J. Math.* **53** (2001), 506–545.

[39] K.R. Davidson, E. Katsoulis, and D. Pitts, The structure of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **533** (2001), 99–125.

[40] S. Dineen and R.M. Timoney, On a problem of H. Bohr, *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liege* **60** (1991), 401–404.

[41] S. Drury, A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to the complex ball, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **68** (1978), 300-304.

[42] J. Eschmeier and M. Putinar, Spherical contractions and interpolation problems on the unit ball, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **542** (2002), 219–236.

[43] C. Foias, A. E. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birhäuser Verlag, Bassel, 1990.

[44] C. Foias, A. E. Frazho, I. Gohberg, and M. Kaashoek, *Metric constrained interpolation, commutant lifting and systems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications vol. 100, Birhäuser Verlag, Bassel, 1998.

[45] A. E. Frazho, Models for noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **48** (1982), 1–11.

[46] J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[47] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1962.

[48] W.J. Helton, "Positive" noncommutative polynomials are sums of squares, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **156** (2002), no.2, 675–694.

[49] J.W. Helton and S.A. McCullough, A Positivstellensatz for non-commutative polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), no. 9, 3721–3737.

[50] J.W. Helton, S.A. McCullough, and M. Putinar, A non-commutative Positivstellensatz on isometries, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **568** (2004), 71–80.

[51] J.W. Helton, S.A. McCullough, and M. Putinar, Matrix representations for positive noncommutative polynomials, *Positivity* **10** (2006), no. 1, 145–163.

[52] J.W. Helton, S.A. McCullough, and V. Vinnikov, Noncommutative convexity arises from linear matrix inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **240** (2006), no. 1, 105–191.

[53] D. Kribs, The curvature invariant of a non-commuting N-tuple, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **41** (2001), no. 4, 426–454.

[54] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, Tensor algebras over C^*-correspondences: representations, dilations, and C^*-envelopes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **158** (1998), 389–457.

[55] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, Hardy algebras, W^*-correspondences and interpolation theory, *Math. Ann.* **330** (2004), 353–415.

[56] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, Canonical models for representations of Hardy algebras, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **53** (2005), 411–452.

[57] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, Schur class operator functions and automorphisms of Hardy algebras, preprint.

[58] V. Müller, Models for operators using weighted shifts, *J. Operator Theory* **20** (1988), 3–20.

[59] V. Müller and F.H. Vasilescu, Standard models for some commuting multioperators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1993), 979–989.

[60] R. Nevanlinna, Über beschränkte Functionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschribene Werte annehmen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser A* **13** (1919), 7–23.

[61] S. Parrott, On a quotient norm and the Sz.-Nagy–Foias lifting theorem, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **30** (1978), 311–328.

[62] V.I. Paulsen, Every completely polynomially bounded operator is similar to a contraction, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **55** (1984), 1–17.

[63] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.

[64] V.I. Paulsen, G. Popescu, and D. Singh, On Bohr's inequality, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **85** (2002), 493–512.

[65] G. Pisier, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., Vol.1618, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[66] G. Popescu, Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **53** (1989), 355–368.

[67] G. Popescu, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.

[68] G. Popescu, Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Operator Theory* **22** (1989), 51–71.

[69] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.

[70] G. Popescu, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.

[71] G. Popescu, On intertwining dilations for sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **167** (1992), 382–402.

[72] G. Popescu, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.

[73] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.

[74] G. Popescu, Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148.

[75] G. Popescu, Interpolation problems in several variables, *J. Math Anal. Appl.* **227** (1998), 227–250.

[76] G. Popescu, Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.

[77] G. Popescu, Structure and entropy for positive-definite Toeplitz kernels on free semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **254** (2001), 191–218.

[78] G. Popescu, Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.

[79] G. Popescu, Central intertwining lifting, suboptimization, and interpolation in several variables, *J. Funct. Anal.* **189** (2002), 132–154.

[80] G. Popescu, Multivariable Nehari problem and interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **200** (2003), 536–581.

[81] G. Popescu, Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **561** (2003), 87–129.

[82] G. Popescu, Entropy and Multivariable Interpolation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (2006), No.868, vi+83 pp.

[83] G. Popescu, Characteristic functions and joint invariant suspaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **237** (2006), 277–320.

[84] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **55**, no.2, (2006), 389–442.

[85] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), no. 7, 2151–2164.

[86] G. Popescu, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[87] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **241** (2006), 268–333.

[88] G. Popescu, Multivariable Bohr inequalities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), no. 11, 5283–5317.

[89] G. Popescu, Noncommutative Berezin transforms and multivariable operator model theory, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **254** (2008), 1003–1057.

[90] S. Pott, Standard models under polynomial positivity conditions, *J. Operator Theory* **41** (1999), no. 2, 365–389.

[91] D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in H^∞, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1967), 179–203.

[92] A.L. Shields, Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, *Topics in operator theory*, pp. 49–128. Math. Surveys, No. 13, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.,* 1974

[93] I. Schur, Über Potenzreihen die im innern des Einheitshreises beschränkt sind, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **148** (1918), 122–145.

[94] W.F. Stinespring, Positive functions on C^*-algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955) 211–216.

[95] B. Sz.-Nagy, Sur les contractions de l'espace de Hilbert, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **15** (1953), 87–92.

[96] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.

[97] F.H. Vasilescu, An operator-valued Poisson kernel, *J. Funct. Anal.* **110** (1992), 47–72.

[98] J. von Neumann, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.